Application for a §1915(c) Home and Community-Based Services Waiver

PURPOSE OF THE HCBS WAIVER PROGRAM

The Medicaid Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS) waiver program is authorized in §1915(c) of the Social Security Act. The program permits a State to furnish an array of home and community-based services that assist Medicaid beneficiaries to live in the community and avoid institutionalization. The State has broad discretion to design its waiver program to address the needs of the waiver's target population. Waiver services complement and/or supplement the services that are available to participants through the Medicaid State plan and other federal, state and local public programs as well as the supports that families and communities provide.

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) recognizes that the design and operational features of a waiver program will vary depending on the specific needs of the target population, the resources available to the State, service delivery system structure, State goals and objectives, and other factors. A State has the latitude to design a waiver program that is cost-effective and employs a variety of service delivery approaches, including participant direction of services.

Request for an Amendment to a §1915(c) Home and Community-Based Services Waiver

1. Request Information

- **A.** The **State** of **Kansas** requests approval for an amendment to the following Medicaid home and community-based services waiver approved under authority of §1915(c) of the Social Security Act.
- **B.** Program Title:

Autism Waiver

- C. Waiver Number: KS.0476
- D. Amendment Number: KS.0476.R01.01
- E. Proposed Effective Date: (mm/dd/yy)

01/01/13

Approved Effective Date: 01/01/13

Approved Effective Date of Waiver being Amended: 01/01/11

2. Purpose(s) of Amendment

Purpose(s) of the Amendment. Describe the purpose(s) of the amendment:

The purpose of this amendment is to integrate the services provided under this waiver with the State's Section 1115 KanCare Demonstration Project, effective January 1, 2013. KanCare is an integrated delivery system in which nearly all Medicaid services, including services provided under this waiver, will be provided through the KanCare health plans.

3. Nature of the Amendment

A. Component(s) of the Approved Waiver Affected by the Amendment. This amendment affects the following component(s) of the approved waiver. Revisions to the affected subsection(s) of these component(s) are being submitted concurrently (check each that applies):

Component of the Approved Waiver	Subsection(s)
Waiver Application	Main, 1.E, 1.G., 2, Tr
Appendix A – Waiver Administration and Operation	A.3, A.7, Quality Per
Appendix B – Participant Access and Eligibility	B.3.b, B.6, B.6.e, Qua
Appendix C – Participant Services	C-1/C-3, C.1.b, C.1.c
Appendix D – Participant Centered Service Planning and Delivery	D.1.b., D.1.d, D.2, Qı
Appendix E – Participant Direction of Services	Participant Direction

Component of the Approved Waiver	Subsection(s)
Appendix F – Participant Rights	Participant Rights
Appendix G – Participant Safeguards	G.1, G.2, G.3, Quality
Appendix H	
Appendix I – Financial Accountability	Amended to reflect ca
Appendix J - Cost-Neutrality Demonstration	Amended to reflect ca

Appendix I – Financial Accountability	Amended to reflect ca
Appendix J – Cost-Neutrality Demonstration	Amended to reflect ca
B. Nature of the Amendment. Indicate the nature of the changes to (check each that applies): Modify target group(s) Modify Medicaid eligibility Add/delete services Revise service specifications Revise provider qualifications Increase/decrease number of participants Revise cost neutrality demonstration Add participant-direction of services Other Specify: Integrate services into capitated health plans.	
Application for a §1915(c) Home and Com 1. Request Information (1 of 3)	nmunity-Based Services Waiver
A. The State of Kansas requests approval for a Medicaid home and	d community-based services (HCBS) waiver under th
 authority of §1915(c) of the Social Security Act (the Act). B. Program Title (optional - this title will be used to locate this we Autism Waiver C. Type of Request:amendment Requested Approval Period:(For new waivers requesting five individuals who are dually eligible for Medicaid and Medicare.) 3 years 5 years 	year approval periods, the waiver must serve
Waiver Number:KS.0476.R01.01 Draft ID: KS.04.01.01 D. Type of Waiver (select only one): Regular Waiver E. Proposed Effective Date of Waiver being Amended: 01/01/11	
Approved Effective Date of Waiver being Amended: 01/01/1	
1. Request Information (2 of 3)	
F. Level(s) of Care. This waiver is requested in order to provide he individuals who, but for the provision of such services, would re which would be reimbursed under the approved Medicaid State Hospital Select applicable level of care Hospital as defined in 42 CFR §440.10 If applicable, specify whether the State additionally lir of care:	equire the following level(s) of care, the costs of plan (check each that applies):

	Nursing Facility
	Select applicable level of care
	Nursing Facility As defined in 42 CFR §440.40 and 42 CFR §440.155 If applicable, specify whether the State additionally limits the waiver to subcategories of the nursing facility level of care:
	Institution for Mental Disease for persons with mental illnesses aged 65 and older as provided in 42 CFR §440.140
	Intermediate Care Facility for the Mentally Retarded (ICF/MR) (as defined in 42 CFR §440.150) If applicable, specify whether the State additionally limits the waiver to subcategories of the ICF/MR level of
•	care:
1. Reques	st Information (3 of 3)
progr	current Operation with Other Programs. This waiver operates concurrently with another program (or rams) approved under the following authorities at one:
\bigcirc 1	Not applicable
	Applicable Check the applicable authority or authorities: Services furnished under the provisions of §1915(a)(1)(a) of the Act and described in Appendix I Waiver(s) authorized under §1915(b) of the Act.
	Specify the §1915(b) waiver program and indicate whether a §1915(b) waiver application has been submitted or previously approved:
	Specify the §1915(b) authorities under which this program operates (check each that applies): §1915(b)(1) (mandated enrollment to managed care)
	§1915(b)(2) (central broker)
	§1915(b)(3) (employ cost savings to furnish additional services)
	§1915(b)(4) (selective contracting/limit number of providers)
	A program operated under §1932(a) of the Act.
	Specify the nature of the State Plan benefit and indicate whether the State Plan Amendment has been submitted or previously approved:
	A program authorized under §1915(i) of the Act.
	A program authorized under §1915(j) of the Act.
	A program authorized under §1115 of the Act.
	Specify the program: KanCare 1115 Demonstration Project
Chec	Eligiblity for Medicaid and Medicare. k if applicable: This waiver provides services for individuals who are eligible for both Medicare and Medicaid.
	Vaiver Description

Brief Waiver Description. *In one page or less*, briefly describe the purpose of the waiver, including its goals, objectives, organizational structure (e.g., the roles of state, local and other entities), and service delivery methods.

The purpose of the Kansas Autism Waiver is to provide eligible Kansans the option to receive early intensive interventions in their home and community in a cost- efficient manner. The goal of the Autism Waiver is to divert children from entering an Inpatient psychiatric facility for individuals age 21 and under as provided in 42CFR440.160 by providing early intensive intervention treatment. Autism Waiver services are available to children who have received a diagnosis of an Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), including Autism, Asperger Syndrome, and Other Pervasive Developmental Disorder-Not Otherwise Specified from a licensed Medical Doctor or Ph.D Psychologist using an approved Autism specific screening tool. Since research has shown that early intensive interventions with ASD children are effective, a child must be between the age of zero through their fifth year of age upon entering the waiver and are financially eligible for Medicaid. Children must also meet the Level of Care eligibility determination conducted initially and annually by a qualified Functional Eligibility Specialist. The Level of Care instrument used to determine initial and annual eligibility for the Autism waiver is the Vineland II Survey Interview Adaptive Behavioral Scale. The Kansas Autism waiver has a service limit of three years with a one time, one year extension possible if deemed medically necessary.

The Kansas Autism Waiver provides five distinctive services to participants and their families. These services are: Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist), Intensive Individual Supports, Respite Care, Parent Support and Training (peer to peer) Provider, and Family Adjustment Counseling. Given the challenges children with autism experience, a child must do more than learn how to speak. They must be taught conversational skills; initiation of spontaneous communication in functional activities across social partners and settings; comprehension of verbal and non verbal cues; and communication for a range of social functions that are reciprocal across all settings. Therefore Kansas would like to add Interpersonal Communication Therapy as a waiver service to complement present waiver services. Each waiver participant will have an Individualize Behavioral Program and plan of care (IBP/POC). The IBP/POC is developed by the Autism Specialist and will describe both waiver and non-waiver services (regardless of funding source) the child is to receive, their frequency, and the type of provider who is to furnish each service. All services will be furnished pursuant to a written plan of care. The plan of care will be subject to the approval by KanCare MCO. Federal Financial Participation (FFP) will not be claimed for waiver services which are not included in the child's written plan of care.

Programmatic oversight and control of the waiver is provided by Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services (KDADS). KDADS have taken the necessary safeguards to protect the health and welfare of children receiving services under this waiver by setting adequate standards for all types of providers that furnish HCBS/Autism waiver services; those standards of any State licensure or certification requirements are met for services or for individuals furnishing services through the waiver.

3. Components of the Waiver Request

The waiver application consists of the following components. Note: Item 3-E must be completed.

- **A.** Waiver Administration and Operation. Appendix A specifies the administrative and operational structure of this waiver.
- **B.** Participant Access and Eligibility. Appendix B specifies the target group(s) of individuals who are served in this waiver, the number of participants that the State expects to serve during each year that the waiver is in effect, applicable Medicaid eligibility and post-eligibility (if applicable) requirements, and procedures for the evaluation and reevaluation of level of care.
- **C.** Participant Services. Appendix C specifies the home and community-based waiver services that are furnished through the waiver, including applicable limitations on such services.
- **D.** Participant-Centered Service Planning and Delivery. Appendix **D** specifies the procedures and methods that the State uses to develop, implement and monitor the participant-centered service plan (of care).
- **E. Participant-Direction of Services.** When the State provides for participant direction of services, **Appendix E** specifies the participant direction opportunities that are offered in the waiver and the supports that are available to participants who direct their services. (*Select one*):
 - Yes. This waiver provides participant direction opportunities. Appendix E is required.

 No. This waiver does not provide participant direction opportunities. Appendix E is not required.
- **F.** Participant Rights. Appendix **F** specifies how the State informs participants of their Medicaid Fair Hearing rights and other procedures to address participant grievances and complaints.

- **G.** Participant Safeguards. Appendix G describes the safeguards that the State has established to assure the health and welfare of waiver participants in specified areas.
- H. Quality Improvement Strategy. Appendix H contains the Quality Improvement Strategy for this waiver.
- **I. Financial Accountability. Appendix I** describes the methods by which the State makes payments for waiver services, ensures the integrity of these payments, and complies with applicable federal requirements concerning payments and federal financial participation.
- **J.** Cost-Neutrality Demonstration. Appendix J contains the State's demonstration that the waiver is cost-neutral.

4. Waiver(s) Requested

A.	Comparability. The State requests a waiver of the requirements contained in §1902(a)(10)(B) of the Act in order to provide the services specified in Appendix C that are not otherwise available under the approved Medicaid State plan to individuals who: (a) require the level(s) of care specified in Item 1.F and (b) meet the target group criteria specified in Appendix B .
В.	Income and Resources for the Medically Needy. Indicate whether the State requests a waiver of §1902(a)(10)(C)(i) (III) of the Act in order to use institutional income and resource rules for the medically needy (select one):
	O Not Applicable
	○ No
	• Yes
C.	Statewideness. Indicate whether the State requests a waiver of the statewideness requirements in §1902(a)(1) of the Act (<i>select one</i>):
	No
	○ Yes
	If yes, specify the waiver of statewideness that is requested (<i>check each that applies</i>): Geographic Limitation. A waiver of statewideness is requested in order to furnish services under this
	waiver only to individuals who reside in the following geographic areas or political subdivisions of the State.
	Specify the areas to which this waiver applies and, as applicable, the phase-in schedule of the waiver by geographic area:
	Limited Implementation of Participant-Direction. A waiver of statewideness is requested in order to
	make <i>participant-direction of services</i> as specified in Appendix E available only to individuals who reside in the following geographic areas or political subdivisions of the State. Participants who reside in these areas may elect to direct their services as provided by the State or receive comparable services through the service delivery methods that are in effect elsewhere in the State.
	Specify the areas of the State affected by this waiver and, as applicable, the phase-in schedule of the waiver by geographic area:

5. Assurances

In accordance with 42 CFR §441.302, the State provides the following assurances to CMS:

- **A. Health & Welfare:** The State assures that necessary safeguards have been taken to protect the health and welfare of persons receiving services under this waiver. These safeguards include:
 - **1.** As specified in **Appendix C**, adequate standards for all types of providers that provide services under this waiver;
 - 2. Assurance that the standards of any State licensure or certification requirements specified in **Appendix C** are met for services or for individuals furnishing services that are provided under the waiver. The State assures that these requirements are met on the date that the services are furnished; and,

- Assurance that all facilities subject to §1616(e) of the Act where home and community-based waiver services
 are provided comply with the applicable State standards for board and care facilities as specified in Appendix
 C.
- **B. Financial Accountability.** The State assures financial accountability for funds expended for home and community-based services and maintains and makes available to the Department of Health and Human Services (including the Office of the Inspector General), the Comptroller General, or other designees, appropriate financial records documenting the cost of services provided under the waiver. Methods of financial accountability are specified in **Appendix I**.
- **C. Evaluation of Need:** The State assures that it provides for an initial evaluation (and periodic reevaluations, at least annually) of the need for a level of care specified for this waiver, when there is a reasonable indication that an individual might need such services in the near future (one month or less) but for the receipt of home and community based services under this waiver. The procedures for evaluation and reevaluation of level of care are specified in **Appendix B**.
- **D.** Choice of Alternatives: The State assures that when an individual is determined to be likely to require the level of care specified for this waiver and is in a target group specified in **Appendix B**, the individual (or, legal representative, if applicable) is:
 - 1. Informed of any feasible alternatives under the waiver; and,
 - 2. Given the choice of either institutional or home and community based waiver services. **Appendix B** specifies the procedures that the State employs to ensure that individuals are informed of feasible alternatives under the waiver and given the choice of institutional or home and community-based waiver services.
- **E.** Average Per Capita Expenditures: The State assures that, for any year that the waiver is in effect, the average per capita expenditures under the waiver will not exceed 100 percent of the average per capita expenditures that would have been made under the Medicaid State plan for the level(s) of care specified for this waiver had the waiver not been granted. Cost-neutrality is demonstrated in **Appendix J**.
- **F. Actual Total Expenditures:** The State assures that the actual total expenditures for home and community-based waiver and other Medicaid services and its claim for FFP in expenditures for the services provided to individuals under the waiver will not, in any year of the waiver period, exceed 100 percent of the amount that would be incurred in the absence of the waiver by the State's Medicaid program for these individuals in the institutional setting(s) specified for this waiver.
- **G. Institutionalization Absent Waiver:** The State assures that, absent the waiver, individuals served in the waiver would receive the appropriate type of Medicaid-funded institutional care for the level of care specified for this waiver.
- **H. Reporting:** The State assures that annually it will provide CMS with information concerning the impact of the waiver on the type, amount and cost of services provided under the Medicaid State plan and on the health and welfare of waiver participants. This information will be consistent with a data collection plan designed by CMS.
- **I. Habilitation Services.** The State assures that prevocational, educational, or supported employment services, or a combination of these services, if provided as habilitation services under the waiver are: (1) not otherwise available to the individual through a local educational agency under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) or the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; and, (2) furnished as part of expanded habilitation services.
- **J. Services for Individuals with Chronic Mental Illness.** The State assures that federal financial participation (FFP) will not be claimed in expenditures for waiver services including, but not limited to, day treatment or partial hospitalization, psychosocial rehabilitation services, and clinic services provided as home and community-based services to individuals with chronic mental illnesses if these individuals, in the absence of a waiver, would be placed in an IMD and are: (1) age 22 to 64; (2) age 65 and older and the State has not included the optional Medicaid benefit cited in 42 CFR §440.140; or (3) age 21 and under and the State has not included the optional Medicaid benefit cited in 42 CFR § 440.160.

6. Additional Requirements

Note: Item 6-I must be completed.

- A. Service Plan. In accordance with 42 CFR §441.301(b)(1)(i), a participant-centered service plan (of care) is developed for each participant employing the procedures specified in Appendix D. All waiver services are furnished pursuant to the service plan. The service plan describes: (a) the waiver services that are furnished to the participant, their projected frequency and the type of provider that furnishes each service and (b) the other services (regardless of funding source, including State plan services) and informal supports that complement waiver services in meeting the needs of the participant. The service plan is subject to the approval of the Medicaid agency. Federal financial participation (FFP) is not claimed for waiver services furnished prior to the development of the service plan or for services that are not included in the service plan.
- **B.** Inpatients. In accordance with 42 CFR §441.301(b)(1) (ii), waiver services are not furnished to individuals who are in-patients of a hospital, nursing facility or ICF/MR.
- **C. Room and Board**. In accordance with 42 CFR §441.310(a)(2), FFP is not claimed for the cost of room and board except when: (a) provided as part of respite services in a facility approved by the State that is not a private residence or (b) claimed as a portion of the rent and food that may be reasonably attributed to an unrelated caregiver who resides in the same household as the participant, as provided in **Appendix I**.
- **D.** Access to Services. The State does not limit or restrict participant access to waiver services except as provided in Appendix C.
- **E.** Free Choice of Provider. In accordance with 42 CFR §431.151, a participant may select any willing and qualified provider to furnish waiver services included in the service plan unless the State has received approval to limit the number of providers under the provisions of §1915(b) or another provision of the Act.
- **F. FFP Limitation**. In accordance with 42 CFR §433 Subpart D, FFP is not claimed for services when another third-party (e.g., another third party health insurer or other federal or state program) is legally liable and responsible for the provision and payment of the service. FFP also may not be claimed for services that are available without charge, or as free care to the community. Services will not be considered to be without charge, or free care, when (1) the provider establishes a fee schedule for each service available and (2) collects insurance information from all those served (Medicaid, and non-Medicaid), and bills other legally liable third party insurers. Alternatively, if a provider certifies that a particular legally liable third party insurer does not pay for the service(s), the provider may not generate further bills for that insurer for that annual period.
- **G. Fair Hearing:** The State provides the opportunity to request a Fair Hearing under 42 CFR §431 Subpart E, to individuals: (a) who are not given the choice of home and community- based waiver services as an alternative to institutional level of care specified for this waiver; (b) who are denied the service(s) of their choice or the provider(s) of their choice; or (c) whose services are denied, suspended, reduced or terminated. **Appendix F** specifies the State's procedures to provide individuals the opportunity to request a Fair Hearing, including providing notice of action as required in 42 CFR §431.210.
- **H. Quality Improvement**. The State operates a formal, comprehensive system to ensure that the waiver meets the assurances and other requirements contained in this application. Through an ongoing process of discovery, remediation and improvement, the State assures the health and welfare of participants by monitoring: (a) level of care determinations; (b) individual plans and services delivery; (c) provider qualifications; (d) participant health and welfare; (e) financial oversight and (f) administrative oversight of the waiver. The State further assures that all problems identified through its discovery processes are addressed in an appropriate and timely manner, consistent with the severity and nature of the problem. During the period that the waiver is in effect, the State will implement the Quality Improvement Strategy specified in **Appendix H**.
- I. Public Input. Describe how the State secures public input into the development of the waiver:

 The original Kansas Autism Waiver was written with considerable input provided by parents, childhood experts, advocates, teachers, and the Autism Commission. Stakeholders were instrumental in identifying which services would be included in the waiver. As a result of these meetings Kansas formed an Autism Steering Committee comprised of parents, providers, and childhood experts to consult, advice and assist in the development of the Autism policies and procedure manual. Current and proposed policies are presented to the Autism Steering Committee prior to implementation. The Autism Steering Committee met on a monthly basis during the first year of implemented and has since gone to quarterly meetings. The agency also updates and receives feedback from the Governors Autism Commission on a quarterly basis and public input is ongoing and requested from the above mentioned parties.

Prior to submitting the Autism Waiver for renewal, input was sought from stakeholders, parents, Autism Steering Committee and the Governor's Autism Commission. The agency held three parent forums across the state updating

parents, discussing the agency's proposal and the reasons for the proposed change.

In the summer of 2011, the State of Kansas facilitated a Medicaid public input and stakeholder consultation process, during which more than 1,700 participants engaged in discussions on how to reform the Kansas Medicaid system. Participants produced more than 2,000 comments and recommendations for reform. After three public forums in Topeka, Wichita and Dodge City, web teleconferences were held with stakeholders representing Medicaid population groups and providers. The State also made an online comment tool available, and a fourth, wrap-up public forum was conducted in Overland Park in August 2011.

The State carefully considered the input from this process and from meetings with advocates and provider associations. In November 2011, Kansas announced a comprehensive Medicaid reform plan that incorporated the themes that had emerged from the public process, including integrated, whole-person care; preserving and creating paths to independence; alternative access models; and enhancing community-based services. The State conducted a formal public comment period related to the KanCare waiver application in June and July 2012. The State also conducted two rounds of tribal consultation, an initial consultation meeting in February 2012, and the second in June and July 2012, incorporating feedback from that process in its August 6 application.

- **J. Notice to Tribal Governments**. The State assures that it has notified in writing all federally-recognized Tribal Governments that maintain a primary office and/or majority population within the State of the State's intent to submit a Medicaid waiver request or renewal request to CMS at least 60 days before the anticipated submission date is provided by Presidential Executive Order 13175 of November 6, 2000. Evidence of the applicable notice is available through the Medicaid Agency.
- K. Limited English Proficient Persons. The State assures that it provides meaningful access to waiver services by Limited English Proficient persons in accordance with: (a) Presidential Executive Order 13166 of August 11, 2000 (65 FR 50121) and (b) Department of Health and Human Services "Guidance to Federal Financial Assistance Recipients Regarding Title VI Prohibition Against National Origin Discrimination Affecting Limited English Proficient Persons" (68 FR 47311 August 8, 2003). Appendix B describes how the State assures meaningful access to waiver services by Limited English Proficient persons.

7. Contact Person(s)

Last Name:				
	Haverkamp			
First Name:				
	Rita			
Title:				
	Contract Manager			
Agency:				
	Kansas Department of Heal	th and Environment		
Address:				
	900 SW Jackson			
Address 2:				
	Room 900 N			
City:				
	Topeka			
State:	Kansas			
Zip:				
-	66612-1220			
	1			
Phone:			ı	
	(785) 296-5107	Ext:	TTY	

	Fax:				
	E-mail:	(785) 296-4813			
		Rita.Haverkamp@khpa.k	s.gov		
В.	If applicable, the	e State operating agency represen	ntative with whom CM	IS should communicate reg	garding the waiver is
	24501 (41110)	Pierson			
	First Name:	Kimberly			
	Title:	Program Manager			
	Agency:	Kansas Department for A	ging and Disability So	ervices	
	Address:	915 SW Harrison, 9th Flo	oor East		
	Address 2:				
	City:	Topeka			
	State:	Kansas			
	Zip:	66612-1570			
	Phone:	(785) 368-6302	Ext:	ТТ Ү	
	Fax:	(785) 296-0557			
	E-mail:	Kimberly.Pierson@kdads	s.ks.gov		
8. Au	uthorizing S	ignature			
to ame of the continus specifi	end its approved waiver, including uously operate the ied in Section VI	er with the attached revisions to the waiver under §1915(c) of the Soc g the provisions of this amendment waiver in accordance with the a of the approved waiver. The State e Medicaid agency in the form of	tial Security Act. The security when approved by cassurances specified interesting that addition	State affirms that it will abit CMS. The State further attent a Section V and the additional proposed revisions to the state of the sta	de by all provisions ests that it will nal requirements
Signati	ure:	Elizabeth Phelps			
		State Medicaid Director or Desi	gnee		
Submi	ssion Date:	Dec 12, 2012			

Last Name:	Note: The Signature and Submission Date fields will be automatically completed when the State Medicaid Director submits the application.
First Name: Attachment #1:	Mosier
Title: Transition Plan	Susan
Agency: Specify the transition plan Address: for	Medicaid Director
the waiver:	Kansas Department of Health and Environment - Division of Health Care Finance
Address 2: The integration of Autism City: waiver services into	Landon State Office Building - 9th Floor
State: KanCare health plans will	Topeka
	Kansas
Phone: effect January 1, 2013, with the implementation of	66612
Fax: KanCare. The change is limited to the	(785) 296-3981 Ext: TTY
E-mail: delivery system. There is no change in eligibility for the waiver services or the scope and amount of	(785) 296-4813 smosier@kdheks.gov
services available to waiver participants. Be	neficiaries who are American Indians and Alaska Natives will be presumptively enrolled in KanCare,

waiver participants. Beneficiaries who are American Indians and Alaska Natives will be presumptively enrolled in KanCare, but they will have the option of affirmatively opting-out of managed care.

The State's plan for transition of Autism services to KanCare is multi-pronged:

1. Participant Education and Notification; Targeted Readiness for HCBS Waiver Providers. The State has conducted extensive outreach to all Medicaid participants and providers regarding the integration of Autism waiver services into KanCare. There have been five rounds of educational tours to multiple cities and towns across the state since July 2012. These tours generally included daily sessions for providers and daily sessions for participants (and usually included two different participant sessions in the day – one earlier in the day and one later in the day to accommodate a wide range of schedules). Two of these tours were for all KanCare participants and providers; one focused on dental providers; and one was specifically focused on those participants and providers that have not previously been in managed care. The final tour is being conducted after member selection materials are distributed, in November 2012, designed specifically to assist participants in fully understanding their options and selecting their KanCare plan.

In addition to participants education, the providers that support HCBS waiver members have received additional outreach, information, transition planning and education regarding the KanCare program, to ensure an effective and smooth transition. In addition to the broader KanCare provider outreach (including educational tours and weekly stakeholder update calls), the providers that support HCBS waiver members have had focused discussions with state staff and MCO staff about operationalizing the KanCare program; about transition planning (and specific flexibility to support this) for the shift of targeted case management into MCO care management; and about member support in selecting their KanCare plan.

Participants received notices throughout November informing them of the changes that the KanCare program will bring

effective 1.1.13, pending CMS approval; advising them as to which of the three KanCare plans they had been tentatively assigned to; explaining how to make a different choice if desired; describing the relative benefits available to them under each of the three KanCare plans; describing grievances and appeals; and providing contact information for eligibility and the enrollment broker, as well as each of the KanCare plans. A further notice will be mailed in late November-early December 2012 to HCBS participants specifically, which will specifically address the how the HCBS services will transition into KanCare, how the HCBS waiver services will continue, the 180 day transition safeguard for existing plans of care, and when applicable the role of new level of care determination contractors. The materials provided are in languages, formats and reading levels to meet enrollee needs. The State will track returned mail and make additional outreach attempts for any participant whose notification is returned.

During the first 180 days of the program, the State will continue with its educational activities after initial implementation to ensure providers, participants, and stakeholders are reminded of their enrollment and choice options.

- 2. Efforts to Preserve Existing Provider Relationships. Wherever possible, the State has pre-assigned members to a health plan in which its existing providers are participating. Participants will be allowed to access services with existing providers during the first 90 days of implementation, regardless of whether the provider is in the plan's network. If a new plan of care is not established in this 90 day period, this protection of both services and existing providers will continue up to either 180 days or the time a new plan of care is established. This period is extended to one year for residential service providers. For participants who do not receive a service assessment and revised service plan within the first 180 days, the health plan will be required to continue the service plan already in existence until a new service plan is created, agreed upon by the enrollee, and implemented. A member who does not receive a service assessment and revised service plan during the 90 day choice period may disenroll from his or her health plan "for cause" within 30 days of receiving a new plan of care, and select another KanCare plan managed care organization.
- 3. Information Sharing with KanCare Health Plans. Once the member is assigned to a health plan, the State and/or current case management entities will transmit the following data to the consumer's new MCO:
- Outstanding Prior Authorizations
- Functional assessments
- Plan of Care (along with associated providers)
- Notices of Action
- · Historical claims
- Historical Prior Authorizations

This information serves as a baseline for the health plan's care management process and allows the care management team to assess the level of support and education the member may need.

4. Continuity of Services during the Transition. In order to maintain continuity of services and allow health plans time to outreach and assess the members, the State of Kansas has required the KanCare health plans to authorize and continue all existing Autism services for a period of 180 days, or until a comprehensive needs assessment is completed face-to-face and a new, person centric plan of care, is developed and approved.

Also, to ensure continuity of services, the State will allow providers to continue to use the State's MMIS to enter claims. The option will ease a technical consideration of the transition for providers who do not have experience billing directly to commercial clearinghouses or other payers.

5. Intensive State Oversight. Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services long term care licensure and quality assurance staff will provide oversight and "ride alongs" with health plan staff to ensure a smooth transition for the first 180 days. The State will review any reductions or termination of services and must approve any reduction in advance of the change.

Enrollees will have all appeal rights afforded through the MCO and state fair hearing process, including the ability to continue services during the appeal.

The State will require each health plan to maintain a call center and will review call center statistics daily. The State will also hold regular calls with each health plan to discuss key operational activities and address any concerns or questions that arise. Issues to be discussed can include, but are not limited to, network reporting and provider panel size reports, call center operations, reasons for member calls, complaint and appeal tracking, health plan outreach activities, service planning, data transfer, claims processing, and any other issue encountered during transition. The State will also review participants' complaints and grievances/appeals during the initial implementation on a frequent basis, and will have comprehensive managed care oversight, quality improvement and contract management.

6. Designation of an Ombudsman. There will be a KanCare Ombudsman in the Kansas Department for Aging and

Disability Services. The KanCare Ombudsman helps people in Kansas who are enrolled in a KanCare plan, with a primary focus on individuals participating the HCBS waiver program or receiving other long term care services through KanCare.

The KanCare Ombudsman helps health plan members with access and service concerns, provides information about the KanCare grievance and appeal process that is available through the KanCare plans and the state fair hearing process, and assists KanCare consumers seek resolution to complaints or concerns regarding their fair treatment and interaction with their KanCare plan.

The KanCare Ombudsman will:

Help consumers to resolve service-related problems when resolution is not available directly through a provider or health

Help consumers understand and resolve notices of action or non-coverage.

Assist consumers learn and navigate the grievance and appeal process at the KanCare plan, and the State fair hearing process, and help them as needed.

Assist consumers to seek remedies when they feel their rights have been violated.

Assist consumers understand their KanCare plan and how to interact with the programs benefits.

Additional Needed Information (Optional)

Provide additional needed information for the waiver (optional):

N/A

1.

endix A: Waiver Administration and Operation
State Line of Authority for Waiver Operation. Specify the state line of authority for the operation of the waiver (<i>select one</i>):
The waiver is operated by the State Medicaid agency.
Specify the Medicaid agency division/unit that has line authority for the operation of the waiver program (select one):
The Medical Assistance Unit.
Specify the unit name:
(Do not complete item A-2)
Another division/unit within the State Medicaid agency that is separate from the Medical Assistance Unit.
Specify the division/unit name. This includes administrations/divisions under the umbrella agency that has been identified as the Single State Medicaid Agency.
(Complete item A-2-a).
The waiver is operated by a separate agency of the State that is not a division/unit of the Medicaid agency.
Specify the division/unit name: Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services/Community Services and Programs Commission
In accordance with 42 CFR §431.10, the Medicaid agency exercises administrative discretion in the

administration and supervision of the waiver and issues policies, rules and regulations related to the waiver. The interagency agreement or memorandum of understanding that sets forth the authority and arrangements for this

policy is available through the Medicaid agency to CMS upon request. (Complete item A-2-b).

Appendix A: Waiver Administration and Operation

2. Oversight of Performance.

a. Medicaid Director Oversight of Performance When the Waiver is Operated by another Division/Unit within the State Medicaid Agency. When the waiver is operated by another division/administration within the umbrella agency designated as the Single State Medicaid Agency. Specify (a) the functions performed by that division/administration (i.e., the Developmental Disabilities Administration within the Single State Medicaid Agency), (b) the document utilized to outline the roles and responsibilities related to waiver operation, and (c) the methods that are employed by the designated State Medicaid Director (in some instances, the head of umbrella agency) in the oversight of these activities:

As indicated in section 1 of this appendix, the waiver is not operated by another division/unit within the State Medicaid agency. Thus this section does not need to be completed.

- b. Medicaid Agency Oversight of Operating Agency Performance. When the waiver is not operated by the Medicaid agency, specify the functions that are expressly delegated through a memorandum of understanding (MOU) or other written document, and indicate the frequency of review and update for that document. Specify the methods that the Medicaid agency uses to ensure that the operating agency performs its assigned waiver operational and administrative functions in accordance with waiver requirements. Also specify the frequency of Medicaid agency assessment of operating agency performance:

 Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHF), which is the single state Medicaid agency (SSMA)
 - Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), which is the single state Medicaid agency (SSMA), and the Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services (KDADS) have an interagency agreement which, among other things:
 - Specifies that the SSMA is the final authority on compensatory Medicaid costs.
 - Recognizes the responsibilities imposed upon the SSMA as the agency authorized to administer the Medicaid program, and the importance of ensuring that the SSMA retains final authority necessary to discharge those responsibilities.
 - Requires the SSMA approve all new contracts, MOUs, grants or other similar documents that involve the use of Medicaid funds.
 - Notes that the agencies will work in collaboration for the effective and efficient operation of Medicaid health care programs, including the development and implementation of all program policies, and for the purpose of compliance with all required reporting and auditing of Medicaid programs.
 - Requires the SSMA to provide KDADS with professional assistance and information, and both agencies to have designated liaisons to coordinate and collaborate through the policy implementation process.
 - Delegates to KDADS the authority for administering and managing certain Medicaid-funded programs, including those covered by this waiver application.
 - Specifies that the SSMA has final approval of regulations, SPAs and MMIS policies, is responsible for the policy process, and is responsible for the submission of applications/amendments to CMS in order to secure and maintain existing and proposed waivers, with KDADS furnishing information, recommendations and participation. (The submission of this waiver application is an operational example of this relationship. Core concepts were developed through collaboration among program and operations staff from both the SSMA and KDADS; functional pieces of the waiver were developed collectively by KDHE and KDADS staff; and overview/approval of the submission was provided by the SSMA, after review by key administrative and operations staff and approval of both agencies' leadership.)

In addition to leadership-level meetings to address guiding policy and system management issues (both ongoing periodic meetings and as needed, issue-specific discussions), the SSMA ensures that KDADS performs assigned operational and administrative functions by the following means:

- a. Regular meetings are held by the SSMA with representatives from KDADS to discuss:
- Information received from CMS;
- Proposed policy changes;
- · Waiver amendments and changes;
- Data collected through the quality review process
- Eligibility, numbers of consumers being served
- Fiscal projections; and
- Any other topics related to the waivers and Medicaid.
- b. All policy changes related to the waivers are approved by KDHE. This process includes a face to face meeting with KDHE staff.
- c. Waiver renewals, 372 reports, any other federal reporting requirements, and requests for waiver amendments must be approved by KDHE.
- d. Correspondence with CMS is copied to KDHE.

Kansas Department of Health and Environment, as the single state Medicaid agency, has oversight responsibilities for all Medicaid programs, including direct involvement or review of all functions related to HCBS waivers. In addition, under the KanCare program, as the HCBS waiver programs merge into comprehensive managed care, KDHE will have oversight of all portions of the program and the KanCare MCO contracts, and will collaborate with KDADS regarding HCBS program management, including those items identified in part (a) above. The key component of that collaboration will be through the KanCare Interagency Monitoring Team, an important part of the overall state's KanCare Quality Improvement Strategy, which will provide quality review and monitoring of all aspects of the KanCare program – engaging program management, contract management, and financial management staff from both KDHE and KDADS.

The services in this waiver are becoming part of the state's KanCare comprehensive Medicaid managed care program. The quality monitoring and oversight for that program, and the interagency monitoring (including the SSMA's monitoring of delegated functions to the Operating Agency) will be guided by the KanCare Quality Improvement Strategy. A critical component of that strategy is the engagement of the KanCare Interagency Monitoring Team, which will bring together leadership, program management, contract management, fiscal management and other staff/resources to collectively monitor the extensive reporting, review results and other quality information and data related to the KanCare program and services. Because of the managed care structure, and the integrated focus of service delivery/care management, the core monitoring processes – including IMT meetings – will be on a quarterly basis. While continuous monitoring will be conducted, including on monthly and other intervals, the aggregation, analysis and trending processes will be built around that quarterly structure. Kansas will be amending the KanCare QIS to include the concurrent HCBS waiver connections, and once the QIS is operational (and within 12 months of KanCare launching) will be seeking CMS approval of amendments of the HCBS waivers that embed the KanCare QIS structure.

During the first 2 weeks of implementation of KanCare, the state will hold daily calls with the MCOs to discuss any issues that arise during that day. The calls should cover all MCO operations and determine plans for correcting any issues as quickly as possible. After the first 2 weeks, if it is found that daily calls are no longer needed then the state can scale back the calls, but will maintain weekly calls for the first 90 days and bi-weekly calls for the first 180 days. After the first 180 days of the program, the state may move to the regular timeframe intended for meeting with each of the MCOs. During the initial implementation of KanCare, the state will review complaint; grievance, and appeal logs for each MCO and data from the state or MCO operated incident management system, to understand what issues beneficiaries and providers are having with each of the MCOs. The state will use this information to implement any immediate corrective actions necessary. The state will review these statistics at least weekly for the first 90 days and then at least bi -weekly for the first 180 days. The state will continue to monitor these statistics throughout the demonstration period and report on them in the quarterly reports. The state will participate in program implementation fail safe calls with CMS during the first 180 days of the demonstration. These calls will focus on all STCs in Section X of the STCs. During the first 60 days of the demonstration, these calls will be weekly and then both CMS and the state will determine the frequency of calls for the remaining 120 days. The state will provide CMS an update on all the program fail safes implemented and any issues that came up during the implementation as well as the plans to address the issues.

Appendix A: Waiver Administration and Operation

- **3. Use of Contracted Entities.** Specify whether contracted entities perform waiver operational and administrative functions on behalf of the Medicaid agency and/or the operating agency (if applicable) (*select one*):
 - Yes. Contracted entities perform waiver operational and administrative functions on behalf of the Medicaid agency and/or operating agency (if applicable).

Specify the types of contracted entities and briefly describe the functions that they perform. *Complete Items A-5 and A-6.*:

KDADS has contracted with one provider who acts as the entry point for the Waiver services across the state. The contracted entity (Functional Eligibility Specialist) will conduct the Level of Care Determination utilizing the Vineland II Survey Interview Adaptive Behavior Scale. The provider will also disseminate information to potential children/families, make referrals to appropriate providers, and conduct assessments and reassessments.

The state's contracted Managed Care Organizations (MCO) are responsible for ensuring the Autism Specialist (Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services) assist the child/family and paid support staff or other professionals with carrying out the Individual Behavioral Program and Plan of Care that supports the child's functional development and inclusion in the community. Consultative and therapeutic services, (remediation of the behavioral symptoms related to the diagnosis of an autism spectrum disorder by teaching more adaptive

skills) are provided by the Autism Specialist and include assessment of the child and family's strengths and needs, development of the individual behavioral program, training and technical assistance to the family and paid support staff in order to carry out the program, and monitoring of the child's progress within the program and of the family

The state's contracted MCOs conduct plan of care development and related service authorization, develop and review service plans, assist with utilization management, conduct provider credentialing, provider manual, and other provider guidance; and participate in the comprehensive state quality improvement strategy for the KanCare program including this waiver.

No. Contracted entities do not perform waiver operational and administrative functions on behalf of the Medicaid agency and/or the operating agency (if applicable).

Appendix A: Waiver Administration and Operation

4.	Role of Local/Regional Non-State Entities. Indicate whether local or regional non-state entities perform waiver operational and administrative functions and, if so, specify the type of entity (<i>Select One</i>):
	Not applicable
	 Applicable - Local/regional non-state agencies perform waiver operational and administrative functions. Check each that applies: Local/Regional non-state public agencies perform waiver operational and administrative functions at the
	local or regional level. There is an interagency agreement or memorandum of understanding between the State and these agencies that sets forth responsibilities and performance requirements for these agencies that is available through the Medicaid agency.
	Specify the nature of these agencies and complete items A-5 and A-6:
	Local/Regional non-governmental non-state entities conduct waiver operational and administrative functions at the local or regional level. There is a contract between the Medicaid agency and/or the operating agency (when authorized by the Medicaid agency) and each local/regional non-state entity that sets forth the responsibilities and performance requirements of the local/regional entity. The contract(s) under which private entities conduct waiver operational functions are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).
	Specify the nature of these entities and complete items A-5 and A-6:

Appendix A: Waiver Administration and Operation

5. Responsibility for Assessment of Performance of Contracted and/or Local/Regional Non-State Entities. Specify the state agency or agencies responsible for assessing the performance of contracted and/or local/regional non-state entities in conducting waiver operational and administrative functions:

Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services/ Community Services and Programs Commission

Appendix A: Waiver Administration and Operation

6. Assessment Methods and Frequency. Describe the methods that are used to assess the performance of contracted and/or local/regional non-state entities to ensure that they perform assigned waiver operational and administrative functions in accordance with waiver requirements. Also specify how frequently the performance of contracted and/or local/regional non-state entities is assessed:

Contracted entities, including both contracted entities/providers and the state's contracted KanCare managed care organizations, are monitored through the State's KanCare Quality Improvement Strategy, which will provide quality review and monitoring of all aspects of the KanCare program – engaging program management, contract management, and financial management staff from both KDHE and KDADS. All functions delegated to contracted entities will be included in the State's comprehensive quality strategy review processes. A key component of that

monitoring and review process will be the KanCare Interagency Monitoring Team, which will include HCBS waiver management staff from KDADS. In addition, the SSMA and the State operating agency will continue to operate collaboratively under an interagency agreement, as addressed in part A.2.b above, and that agreement will including oversight and monitoring of all HCBS programs and the KanCare MCOs and independent assessment contractors.

The KanCare Quality Improvement Strategy and interagency agreements/monitoring teams will ensure that the entities contracting with KDADS (the Waiver Operating Agency) are operating within the established parameters. These parameters include CMS rules/guidelines, the approved KanCare managed care contracts and related 1115 waiver, Kansas statutes and regulations, and related policies. Included in the QIS will be ongoing assessment of the results of onsite monitoring and in-person reviews with a sample of HCBS waiver participants. Initially the MCOs will partner with KDADS field staff to conduct those reviews, and over time the MCOs will become increasingly responsible for conducting those reviews and the state will take a monitoring role in that process.

Appendix A: Waiver Administration and Operation

7. **Distribution of Waiver Operational and Administrative Functions.** In the following table, specify the entity or entities that have responsibility for conducting each of the waiver operational and administrative functions listed (*check each that applies*):

In accordance with 42 CFR §431.10, when the Medicaid agency does not directly conduct a function, it supervises the performance of the function and establishes and/or approves policies that affect the function. All functions not performed directly by the Medicaid agency must be delegated in writing and monitored by the Medicaid Agency. Note: More than one box may be checked per item. Ensure that Medicaid is checked when the Single State Medicaid Agency (1) conducts the function directly; (2) supervises the delegated function; and/or (3) establishes and/or approves policies related to the function.

Function	Medicaid Agency	Other State Operating Agency	Contracted Entity
Participant waiver enrollment	✓	~	>
Waiver enrollment managed against approved limits	~	~	
Waiver expenditures managed against approved levels	~	✓	
Level of care evaluation	~	~	~
Review of Participant service plans	~	~	~
Prior authorization of waiver services	~	~	~
Utilization management	~	✓	V
Qualified provider enrollment	~	✓	V
Execution of Medicaid provider agreements	~		
Establishment of a statewide rate methodology	~	~	
Rules, policies, procedures and information development governing the waiver program	~	~	V
Quality assurance and quality improvement activities	~	>	V

Appendix A: Waiver Administration and Operation

Quality Improvement: Administrative Authority of the Single State Medicaid Agency

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

a. Methods for Discovery: Administrative Authority

The Medicaid Agency retains ultimate administrative authority and responsibility for the operation of the waiver program by exercising oversight of the performance of waiver functions by other state and local/regional non-state agencies (if appropriate) and contracted entities.

i. Performance Measures

For each performance measure/indicator the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator. Each performance measure must be specific to this waiver (i.e., data presented must be waiver specific).

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

Data Source (Select one):

Performance Standard=100%; Measure=total number of aggregated performance measure reports generated by the operating agency and reviewed by the Medicaid agency VS number of aggregated performance measure reports generated by the operating agency and reviewed by the Medicaid agency that contain discovery, remediation and system improvement efforts for ongoing compliance of the assurances.

Reports to State Medicaid Agency on delegated Administrative functions

If 'Other' is selected, specify: **Responsible Party for** Frequency of data Sampling Approach(check data collection/generation collection/generation each that applies): (check each that applies): (check each that applies): **State Medicaid** Weekly √ 100% Review Agency **Operating Agency Monthly** Less than 100% Review **Sub-State Entity** Quarterly Representative Sample Confidence Interval = Other **Annually** Stratified Specify: Describe Group: Continuously and Other **Ongoing** Specify:

Other Specify:

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data and analysis (check each the			data aggregation and k each that applies):
✓ State Medicaid Agency		Weekly	11 /
✓ Operating Agency		Monthly	,
Sub-State Entity		Quarter	ly
Other Specify:		Annually	y
		Continu	ously and Ongoing
		Other Specify:	
enewals, and financial repo	orts provided	by operating a	
enewals, and financial repo mendments, renewals, and pproved by the Medicaid a Data Source (Select one): Reports to State Medicaid A f 'Other' is selected, specify:	orts provided financial rep agency prior t Agency on del	by operating a orts provided o implementat egated Admin	agency VS number of waive by operating agency and ion by the operating agency istrative functions
enewals, and financial repo mendments, renewals, and pproved by the Medicaid a Data Source (Select one): Reports to State Medicaid A f 'Other' is selected, specify: Responsible Party for data collection/generation	orts provided financial rep agency prior t Agency on del	by operating a orts provided o implementat egated Admin of data eneration	agency VS number of waive by operating agency and ion by the operating agency istrative functions
enewals, and financial repo mendments, renewals, and pproved by the Medicaid a Data Source (Select one): Reports to State Medicaid A f 'Other' is selected, specify: Responsible Party for data collection/generation	orts provided financial rep agency prior t Agency on del Frequency o collection/ge	by operating a orts provided o implementat egated Admin of data eneration	agency VS number of waive by operating agency and ion by the operating agency istrative functions Sampling Approach(check
enewals, and financial reports mendments, renewals, and pproved by the Medicaid at Data Source (Select one): Reports to State Medicaid Af 'Other' is selected, specify: Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies): State Medicaid	orts provided financial rep agency prior t Agency on del Frequency o collection/ge (check each t	by operating a orts provided o implementate degated Admin of data eneration what applies):	agency VS number of waive by operating agency and ion by the operating agency istrative functions Sampling Approach(check each that applies):
renewals, and financial reportmendments, renewals, and approved by the Medicaid approved by the Medicaid approved to State Medicaid Aff 'Other' is selected, specify: Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies): State Medicaid Agency	orts provided financial repagency prior to Agency on del Frequency of collection/ge (check each to Weekly	by operating a orts provided o implementate degated Admin of data eneration what applies):	gency VS number of waive by operating agency and ion by the operating agency sistrative functions Sampling Approach(check each that applies): 100% Review Less than 100%

Continuously and

Ongoing

Other

Describe Group:

Other

Specify:

	Specify:		
Data Aggregation and Analy Responsible Party for data and analysis (check each the	aggregation		data aggregation and k each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	11 /	Weekly	k each that applies).
▽ Operating Agency		Monthly	
Sub-State Entity		V Quarterl	y
Other		Annually	7
Specify:			
		Continuo	ously and Ongoing
		Other Specify:	
the Operating agency VS nu programming provided by t prior to the development of Data Source (Select one): Reports to State Medicaid A	evelopment of imber of waiv he Operating a formal impl	f a formal imp er concepts an agency and ap lementation pl	lementation plan provided by d policies requiring MMIS oproved by the Medicaid agency an by the Operating agency.
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of collection/get (check each ti	neration	Sampling Approach(check each that applies):
State Medicaid	Weekly		№ 100% Review
Agency	- M (1)		T (1 1000/
Operating Agency	Monthly	7	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	 Quarter	ly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	Annuall	y	Stratified Describe Group:

Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
Other Specify:	

Data	Δσσ	regation	and	Anal	veie.
Data	AZZ	i czauvii	anu	Allai	voio.

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
V State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	☐ Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

Kansas Department of Health and Environment, Division of Health Care Finance (KDHE), the single state Medicaid agency, and Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services (KDADS) work together to develop state operating agency priority identification regarding all waiver assurances and minimum standards/basic assurances. The state agencies work in partnership with consumers, advocacy organizations, provider groups and other interested stakeholders to monitor the state quality strategy and performance standards and discuss priorities for remediation and improvement. The state quality improvement strategy includes protocols to review cross-service system data to identify trends and opportunities for improvement related to all Kansas waivers, policy and procedure development and systems change initiatives.

Data gathered by KDADS Regional Staff during the Quality Survey Process is compiled quarterly for evaluation and trending to identify areas for improvement. Upon completion of identified areas of improvement this information is compiled into reports and shared both internally and externally, including with KDHE. As the KanCare program is operationalized, staff of the three plans will be engaged with state staff to ensure strong understanding of Kansas' waiver programs and the quality measures associated with each waiver program. Over time, the role of the MCOs in collecting and reporting data regarding the waiver performance measures will evolve, with increasing responsibility once the MCOs fully understand the Kansas programs. These measures and collection/reporting protocols, together with others that are part of the KanCare MCO contract, are included in a statewide comprehensive KanCare quality improvement strategy

which is regularly reviewed and adjusted. That plan is contributed to and monitored through a state interagency monitoring team, which includes program managers, fiscal staff and other relevant staff/resources from both the state Medicaid agency and the state operating agency.

b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

i. Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items. State staff and/or KanCare MCO staff request, approve, and assure implementation of provider corrective action planning and/or technical assistance to address non-compliance with waiver and performance standards as detected through on-site monitoring, survey results and other performance monitoring. These processes are monitored by both program managers and other relevant state and MCO staff, depending upon the type of issue involved, and results tracked consistent with the statewide quality improvement strategy and the operating protocols of the Interagency Monitoring Team.

Monitoring and survey results are compiled, trended, reviewed, and disseminated consistent with protocols identified in the statewide quality improvement strategy. Each provider receives annual data trending which identifies Provider specific performance levels related to statewide performance standards and statewide averages. Corrective Action Plan requests, technical assistance and/or follow-up to remediate negative trending are included in annual provider reports where negative trending is evidenced.

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation

Remediation-related Data Aggregation and A	Analysis (including trend identification)
Responsible Party (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
✓ State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	✓ Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

c. Timelines

When the State does not have all elements of the Quality Improvement Strategy in place, provide timelines to design methods for discovery and remediation related to the assurance of Administrative Authority that are currently non-operational.

No
Yes

Please provide a detailed strategy for assuring Administrative Authority, the specific timeline for implementing identified strategies, and the parties responsible for its operation.

Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility

B-1: Specification of the Waiver Target Group(s)

a. Target Group(s). Under the waiver of Section 1902(a)(10)(B) of the Act, the State limits waiver services to a group or subgroups of individuals. Please see the instruction manual for specifics regarding age limits. In accordance with 42 CFR §441.301(b)(6), select one waiver target group, check each of the subgroups in the selected target group that

may receive services under the waiver, and specify the minimum and maximum (if any) age of individuals served in each subgroup:

				Maxim	um Age
Target Group	Included	Target SubGroup	Minimum Age	Maximum Age Limit	No Maximum Age Limit
Aged or Disa	bled, or Both - G	eneral			
		Aged			
		Disabled (Physical)			
		Disabled (Other)			
Aged or Disa	bled, or Both - S _I	pecific Recognized Subgroups			
		Brain Injury			
		HIV/AIDS			
		Medically Fragile			
		Technology Dependent			
Mental Retar	dation or Develo	pmental Disability, or Both			
	V	Autism	0	5	
		Developmental Disability			
		Mental Retardation			
Mental Illnes	s				
		Mental Illness			
_		Serious Emotional Disturbance			

b. Additional Criteria. The State further specifies its target group(s) as follows:

To be eligible for the HCBS/Autism Waiver services, the child must have a diagnosis of Autism Spectrum Disorder, (ASD) including Autism, Asperger Syndrome, and Other Pervasive Developmental Disorder-Not Otherwise Specified from a Medical Doctor or Ph.D Psychologist using an approved autism specific screening tool. The approved screening tools are;

- *CARS Childhood Autism Rating Scale
- *GARS Gilliam Autism Rating Scale
- *ADOS Autism Diagnostic Observation Scale
- *ADI Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised
- *ASDS Asperger Syndrome Diagnostic Scale
- *Other Autism specific tools as approved by SRS

Once the child has received a diagnosis of ASD they must also meet the Level of Care (functional) eligibility guidelines utilizing the Vineland II Survey Interview Adaptive Behavior Scales.

- **c. Transition of Individuals Affected by Maximum Age Limitation.** When there is a maximum age limit that applies to individuals who may be served in the waiver, describe the transition planning procedures that are undertaken on behalf of participants affected by the age limit (*select one*):
 - Not applicable. There is no maximum age limit
 - The following transition planning procedures are employed for participants who will reach the waiver's maximum age limit.

Specify:

If the child will require additional Waiver services after exiting the HCBS/Autism Waiver the Autism Specialist will assist the child/family in gaining access to other waiver services. The family may choose to transition the child to the HCBS/SED Waiver administered by Community Mental Health Centers (CMHC's) or

HCBS/MRDD administered by Community Developmental Disability Organizations (CDDO), providing the established criteria for each waiver are met by the child. The Autism Specialist will contact the appropriate agency 6 months prior to the child transitioning off the HCBS/Autism waiver to develop a transition plan to the appropriate waiver.

Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility

B-2: Individual Cost Limit (1 of 2)

a.	Individual Cost Limit. The following individual cost limit applies when determining whether to deny home an community-based services or entrance to the waiver to an otherwise eligible individual (select one) Please note State may have only ONE individual cost limit for the purposes of determining eligibility for the waiver:					
	No Cost Limit. The State does not apply an individual cost limit. Do not complete Item B-2-b or item B-2-c.					
	Cost Limit in Excess of Institutional Costs. The State refuses entrance to the waiver to any otherwise eligible individual when the State reasonably expects that the cost of the home and community-based services furnished to that individual would exceed the cost of a level of care specified for the waiver up to an amount specified by the State. Complete Items B-2-b and B-2-c.					
	The limit specified by the State is (select one)					
	○ A level higher than 100% of the institutional average.					
	Specify the percentage:					
	Other					
	Specify:					
	Institutional Cost Limit. Pursuant to 42 CFR 441.301(a)(3), the State refuses entrance to the waiver to any otherwise eligible individual when the State reasonably expects that the cost of the home and community-based services furnished to that individual would exceed 100% of the cost of the level of care specified for the waiver. Complete Items B-2-b and B-2-c.					
	Cost Limit Lower Than Institutional Costs. The State refuses entrance to the waiver to any otherwise qualified individual when the State reasonably expects that the cost of home and community-based services furnished to that individual would exceed the following amount specified by the State that is less than the cost of a level of care specified for the waiver.					
	Specify the basis of the limit, including evidence that the limit is sufficient to assure the health and welfare of waiver participants. Complete Items B-2-b and B-2-c.					
	The cost limit specified by the State is (select one):					
	The following dollar amount:					
	Specify dollar amount:					
	The dollar amount (select one)					
	Is adjusted each year that the waiver is in effect by applying the following formula:					
	Specify the formula:					

		d during the period the waiver is in effection CMS to adjust the dollar amount.	ct. The State will submit a waiver
	 The following percentage 	e that is less than 100% of the institution	al average:
	Specify percent:		
	Other:		
	Specify:		
Apper	ndix B: Participant Access	and Eligibility	
	B-2: Individual Cost l	Limit (2 of 2)	
Answer	s provided in Appendix B-2-a ind	icate that you do not need to complete th	is section.
b.]	Method of Implementation of the	Individual Cost Limit. When an individual wed to determine in advance of waiver entity	al cost limit is specified in Item B-2-a,
]	participant's condition or circumstant amount that exceeds the cost limit in following safeguards to avoid an advice The participant is referred to Additional services in excess of	State specifies an individual cost limit in It inces post-entrance to the waiver that require a order to assure the participant's health and werse impact on the participant (check each another waiver that can accommodate to the individual cost limit may be authorized.	es the provision of services in an welfare, the State has established the that applies): he individual's needs. rized.
	Specify the procedures for auth	orizing additional services, including the a	mount that may be authorized:
	Other safeguard(s)		
	Specify:		
Apper	ndix B: Participant Access	and Eligibility	
	B-3: Number of Indiv	iduals Served (1 of 4)	
] (participants who are served in each your common control of participants who are served in each your control of participants who are served in each your control of participants who are served in each your control of the participants who are served in each your control of the participants who are served in each your control of the participants who are served in each your control of the participants who are served in each your control of the participants who are served in each your control of the participants who are served in each your control of the participants who are served in each your control of the participants who are served in each your control of the participants who are served in each your control of the participants who are served in each your control of the participants who are served in each your control of the participants who are served in each your control of the participants who are served in each your control of the participant which is participant.	ants. The following table specifies the max year that the waiver is in effect. The State value of the specified for any year(s), including er reason. The number of unduplicated part of Appendix J:	will submit a waiver amendment to when a modification is necessary due
i	XX 7.	Table: B-3-a	Undunlicated Number of Posticinants
	W	arver rear	Unduplicated Number of Participants

Waiver Year	Unduplicated Number of Participants
	80
Year 2	80
Year 3	100
Year 4	125
Year 5	150

- **b.** Limitation on the Number of Participants Served at Any Point in Time. Consistent with the unduplicated number of participants specified in Item B-3-a, the State may limit to a lesser number the number of participants who will be served at any point in time during a waiver year. Indicate whether the State limits the number of participants in this way: (select one):
 - The State does not limit the number of participants that it serves at any point in time during a waiver year.
 - The State limits the number of participants that it serves at any point in time during a waiver year.

The limit that applies to each year of the waiver period is specified in the following table:

Table: B-3-b

Waiver Year	Maximum Number of Participants Served At Any Point During the Year
Year 1	
Year 2	
Year 3	
Year 4	
Year 5	

Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility

B-3: Number of Individuals Served (2 of 4)

- **c. Reserved Waiver Capacity.** The State may reserve a portion of the participant capacity of the waiver for specified purposes (e.g., provide for the community transition of institutionalized persons or furnish waiver services to individuals experiencing a crisis) subject to CMS review and approval. The State (*select one*):
 - Not applicable. The state does not reserve capacity.
 - **○** The State reserves capacity for the following purpose(s).

Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility

B-3: Number of Individuals Served (3 of 4)

- **d. Scheduled Phase-In or Phase-Out.** Within a waiver year, the State may make the number of participants who are served subject to a phase-in or phase-out schedule (*select one*):
 - The waiver is not subject to a phase-in or a phase-out schedule.
 - The waiver is subject to a phase-in or phase-out schedule that is included in Attachment #1 to Appendix B-3. This schedule constitutes an intra-year limitation on the number of participants who are served in the waiver.
- e. Allocation of Waiver Capacity.

	Select one:
	Waiver capacity is allocated/managed on a statewide basis.
	○ Waiver capacity is allocated to local/regional non-state entities.
	Specify: (a) the entities to which waiver capacity is allocated; (b) the methodology that is used to allocate capacity and how often the methodology is reevaluated; and, (c) policies for the reallocation of unused capacity among local/regional non-state entities:
f.	Selection of Entrants to the Waiver. Specify the policies that apply to the selection of individuals for entrance to the waiver:
	To be eligible for the HCBS/Autism Waiver services, the child must have a diagnosis of Autism Spectrum Disorder, (ASD) including Autism, Asperger Syndrome, and Other Pervasive Developmental Disorder-Not Otherwise Specified. The diagnosis of ASD must be made by a Medical Doctor or PhD Psychologist using an approved autism specific screening tool. The approved screening tools are;
	*CARS - Childhood Autism Rating Scale *GARS - Gilliam Autism Rating Scale *ADOS - Autism Diagnostic Observation Scale *ADI - Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised *ASDS - Asperger Syndrome Diagnostic Scale *Other Autism specific tools as approved by KDADS
	Once the child has received a diagnosis of ASD they must also meet the Level of Care (functional) eligibility guidelines utilizing the Vineland II Survey Interview Adaptive Behavior Scales. Entrance to the Waiver is determined on a first come first serve basis. The date and time request for waiver services received at KDADS will be the determining factor. The number of eligible entrance into the program is limited to the number of waiver capacity allowed by funding.
	The Autism Program Manager maintains a statewide "Proposed Recipient List" of those children who have a diagnosis of ASD, request Autism Waiver services, and have completed the necessary form indicating the name of the child, diagnosis, address, date of birth, phone number, and name of parent/guardian. The form can be taken to the local Department for Children and Families (DCF) office and date/time stamp or fax to the Autism Program Manager. The date/timed stamped and/or faxed date/time will be the determining factor for the first come first serve policy. The "Proposed Waiver Recipient" list is being utilized to determine when a child will be offered services as HCBS/Autism slot becomes available.
ppe	endix B: Participant Access and Eligibility
	B-3: Number of Individuals Served - Attachment #1 (4 of 4)
nswe	ers provided in Appendix B-3-d indicate that you do not need to complete this section.
	endix B: Participant Access and Eligibility

App

	1. State Classification. The State is a (select one)
	§1634 State
	SSI Criteria State
	209(b) State

2. Miller Trust State.

Indicate whether the State is a Miller Trust State (select one):

No

Application for 1915(c) HCBS Waiver: KS.0476.R01.01 - Jan 01, 2013 (as of Jan 01, 2... Page 27 of 136

300% of the SSI Federal Benefit Rate (FBR)
A percentage of FBR, which is lower than 300% (42 CFR §435.236)
Specify percentage:
A dollar amount which is lower than 300%.
Specify dollar amount:
Aged, blind and disabled individuals who meet requirements that are more restrictive than the
SSI program (42 CFR §435.121) Medically needy without spenddown in States which also provide Medicaid to recipients of SSI
(42 CFR §435.320, §435.322 and §435.324)
Medically needy without spend down in 209(b) States (42 CFR §435.330)
Aged and disabled individuals who have income at:
Select one:
100% of FPL
% of FPL, which is lower than 100%.
Specify percentage amount:
Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)
groups in the State plan that may receive services under this warver)
Specify:
Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility
B-5: Post-Eligibility Treatment of Income (1 of 4)
b 5. I ost Engionity Treatment of Income (1 of 4)
In accordance with 42 CFR §441.303(e), Appendix B-5 must be completed when the State furnishes waiver services to individuals in the special home and community-based waiver group under 42 CFR §435.217, as indicated in Appendix B-4. Post-eligibility applies only to the 42 CFR §435.217 group. A State that uses spousal impoverishment rules under §1924 of the Act to determine the eligibility of individuals with a community spouse may elect to use spousal post-eligibility rules under §1924 of the Act to protect a personal needs allowance for a participant with a community spouse.
a. Use of Spousal Impoverishment Rules. Indicate whether spousal impoverishment rules are used to determine eligibility for the special home and community-based waiver group under 42 CFR §435.217 (select one):
O Spousal impoverishment rules under §1924 of the Act are used to determine the eligibility of individuals with a community spouse for the special home and community-based waiver group.
In the case of a participant with a community spouse, the State elects to (select one):
Use spousal post-eligibility rules under §1924 of the Act. (Complete Item B-5-b (SSI State) and Item B-5-d)
Use regular post-eligibility rules under 42 CFR §435.726 (SSI State) or under §435.735 (209b State) (Complete Item B-5-b (SSI State). Do not complete Item B-5-d)
Spousal impoverishment rules under §1924 of the Act are not used to determine eligibility of individuals with a community spouse for the special home and community-based waiver group. The State uses regular post-eligibility rules for individuals with a community spouse.

(Complete Item B-5-b (SSI State). Do not complete Item B-5-d)

Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility

B-5: Post-Eligibility Treatment of Income (2 of 4)

b. Regular Post-Eligibility Treatment of Income: SSI State.

The State uses the post-eligibility rules at 42 CFR 435.726. Payment for home and community-based waiver services is reduced by the amount remaining after deducting the following allowances and expenses from the waiver participant's income:

Allowance for the ne	eds of the waiver participant (select one):
The following st	andard included under the State plan
Select one:	
SSI standar	rd
Optional St	ate supplement standard
Medically n	needy income standard
The special	income level for institutionalized persons
(select one):	:
300%	of the SSI Federal Benefit Rate (FBR)
O A perc	entage of the FBR, which is less than 300%
Specify	y the percentage:
A dolla	ar amount which is less than 300%.
Specify	y dollar amount:
O A percentag	ge of the Federal poverty level
Specify per	centage:
Other stand	dard included under the State Plan
Specify:	
The following do	ollar amount
Specify dollar an	nount: If this amount changes, this item will be revised.
The following fo	ormula is used to determine the needs allowance:
Specify:	
Other	
Specify:	
providers will co	e State will continue to calculate patient liability, or member Share of Cost, and ntinue to be responsible for collecting it. In practice, this means the State will reduce ents by the individual Share of Cost amounts. The reduction will be passed from the

MCO to the provider in the form of reduced reimbursement, and the provider will be responsible for

collecting the difference.

The dollar amount for the allowance is \$727. Excess income will only be applied to the cost of 1915(c) waiver services. ii. Allowance for the spouse only (select one): Not Applicable (see instructions) SSI standard Optional State supplement standard Medically needy income standard The following dollar amount: Specify dollar amount: If this amount changes, this item will be revised. ○ The amount is determined using the following formula: Specify: iii. Allowance for the family (select one): **○** Not Applicable (see instructions) AFDC need standard Medically needy income standard The following dollar amount: The amount specified cannot exceed the higher of the need standard Specify dollar amount: for a family of the same size used to determine eligibility under the State's approved AFDC plan or the medically needy income standard established under 42 CFR §435.811 for a family of the same size. If this amount changes, this item will be revised. **○** The amount is determined using the following formula: Specify: Other Specify: iv. Amounts for incurred medical or remedial care expenses not subject to payment by a third party, specified in 42 §CFR 435.726: a. Health insurance premiums, deductibles and co-insurance charges b. Necessary medical or remedial care expenses recognized under State law but not covered under the State's Medicaid plan, subject to reasonable limits that the State may establish on the amounts of these expenses. Select one: Not Applicable (see instructions) Note: If the State protects the maximum amount for the waiver participant, not applicable must be selected. The State does not establish reasonable limits. The State establishes the following reasonable limits

Specify: **Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility** B-5: Post-Eligibility Treatment of Income (3 of 4) c. Regular Post-Eligibility Treatment of Income: 209(B) State. Answers provided in Appendix B-4 indicate that you do not need to complete this section and therefore this section is not visible. **Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility** B-5: Post-Eligibility Treatment of Income (4 of 4) d. Post-Eligibility Treatment of Income Using Spousal Impoverishment Rules The State uses the post-eligibility rules of §1924(d) of the Act (spousal impoverishment protection) to determine the contribution of a participant with a community spouse toward the cost of home and community-based care if it determines the individual's eligibility under §1924 of the Act. There is deducted from the participant's monthly income a personal needs allowance (as specified below), a community spouse's allowance and a family allowance as specified in the State Medicaid Plan.. The State must also protect amounts for incurred expenses for medical or remedial care (as specified below). Answers provided in Appendix B-5-a indicate that you do not need to complete this section and therefore this section is not visible. **Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility** B-6: Evaluation/Reevaluation of Level of Care As specified in 42 CFR §441.302(c), the State provides for an evaluation (and periodic reevaluations) of the need for the level(s) of care specified for this waiver, when there is a reasonable indication that an individual may need such services in the near future (one month or less), but for the availability of home and community-based waiver services. a. Reasonable Indication of Need for Services. In order for an individual to be determined to need waiver services, an individual must require: (a) the provision of at least one waiver service, as documented in the service plan, and (b) the provision of waiver services at least monthly or, if the need for services is less than monthly, the participant requires regular monthly monitoring which must be documented in the service plan. Specify the State's policies concerning the reasonable indication of the need for services: i. Minimum number of services. The minimum number of waiver services (one or more) that an individual must require in order to be determined to need waiver services is: 2 **ii. Frequency of services.** The State requires (select one): The provision of waiver services at least monthly Monthly monitoring of the individual when services are furnished on a less than monthly basis If the State also requires a minimum frequency for the provision of waiver services other than monthly (e.g., quarterly), specify the frequency:

b. Responsibility for Performing Evaluations and Reevaluations. Level of care evaluations and reevaluations are

http://170.107.180.99/WMS/faces/protected/35/print/PrintSelector.jsp

performed (select one):

Directly by the Medicaid agency

•	By the operating agency specified in Appendix A By an entity under contract with the Medicaid agency.				
	Specify the entity:				
0	KDADS has contracted with one provider who is responsible for conducting Level of Care determinations, (Functional Eligibility Specialist)across the state. Other Specify:				

c. Qualifications of Individuals Performing Initial Evaluation: Per 42 CFR §441.303(c)(1), specify the educational/professional qualifications of individuals who perform the initial evaluation of level of care for waiver applicants:

****Must meet the qualifications specified by Pearson Assessments, as a level 3 user:

-"User has a licensure to practice psychology independently, or User has completed a doctoral (or in some cases master's) degree program in one of the fields of study indicated for the test that included training (through coursework and supervised practical experience) in the administration and interpretation of clinical instruments. If neither of these qualifications are met, Users must provide proof that they have been granted the right to administer tests at this level in their jurisdiction".

*Must be able to provide proof of professional liability insurance and automobile liability insurance coverage

*Must complete KDADS approved training criteria, and

*Must successfully pass KBI, APS, CPS, Nurse Aid, and Motor Vehicle screen

d. Level of Care Criteria. Fully specify the level of care criteria that are used to evaluate and reevaluate whether an individual needs services through the waiver and that serve as the basis of the State's level of care instrument/tool. Specify the level of care instrument/tool that is employed. State laws, regulations, and policies concerning level of care criteria and the level of care instrument/tool are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable), including the instrument/tool utilized.

A qualified Functional Eligibility Specialist conducts the Level of Care (functional eligibility) assessment of the child who is applying for waiver services within five (5) working days of the referral, unless a different timeframe is requested by the child/family applying for services or their legal representative, if appropriate.

The child must have a total score or a score on any two elements of the Adaptive Areas (Communication, Daily Living skills, Socialization, and Motor skills) of two standard deviations below the mean of 100 (i.e., a score of 70 or below) in order to be eligible for the waiver.

Or

A total score or a score on any two elements of the Adaptive Areas (Communication, Daily Living Skills, Socialization and Motor skills) of one standard deviation below the mean of 100 (score of 71-85). This prompts the assessor to review the scores on the Maladaptive Behaviors (internal, external or total). If the Maladaptive Score on the internal, external, or total is clinically significant, a v-scale score of 21-24, the child is eligible for the Waiver.

The Vineland II Survey Interview Adaptive Behavior Scales measures the personal and social skills of individuals from birth through adulthood. Because adaptive behavior refers to an individual's typical performance of the day-to-day activities required for personal and social sufficiency, these scales assess what a person actually does, rather than what he or she is able to do. The Vineland-II assesses adaptive behavior in four domains: Communication, Daily Living Skills, Socialization, and Motor Skills. It also provides a composite score that summarizes the individual's performance across all four domains.

e.	Level of Care Instrument(s). Per 42 CFR §441.303(c)(2), indicate whether the instrument/tool used to evaluate level
	of care for the waiver differs from the instrument/tool used to evaluate institutional level of care (select one):

The same instrument is used in determining the level of care for the waiver and for institutional c	are
under the State Plan.	

A different instrument is used to determine the level of care for the waiver than for institutional care under the State plan.

Describe how and why this instrument differs from the form used to evaluate institutional level of care and explain how the outcome of the determination is reliable, valid, and fully comparable.

The Community Mental Health Center Screening Form is utilized to screen for a variety of intensive inpatient psychiatric services. The form includes information on presenting problem, risk factors, clinical impressions, and inpatient criteria. The form is not based on a standardized tool or assessment, but solely on the self report of the consumer or family and the clinical judgment of qualified mental health practitioner.

The Vineland II Survey Interview Adaptive Behavior Scales (VABS) is the Autism Waiver Functional Eligibility tool (LOC Determination) to be utilized to determine functional eligibility). The VABS is a measurement of personal and social skills from birth to adulthood. The VABS focuses on four adaptive domains and one maladaptive domain: within all of the domains there are sub-domains which allow the Autism Specialist to have a greater in-depth holistic approach in developing the child's Individualized Behavioral Program and Plan of Care. The following domains and sub-domains are: 1) communication, (subdomain-receptive, expressive, and written), 2) Daily Living Skills (sub-domain-personal, domestic, and community), 3) Socialization (subdomain- interpersonal relationships, play and leisure time, and coping skills), 4) Motor Skills (subdomain-fine and gross), 5) Maladaptive Behavior Index (subdomain-internalizing, externalizing, and other).

Although the Mental Health Screening Form and the VABS are comparable in addressing the areas (domains) of a child's life the State of Kansas choose the VABS because it goes into greater details in each domain which in turn allows the assessor /Autism Specialist to identify the specific problematic areas a child is experiencing. This is accomplish because the VABS is a standardize tool, it guides the assessor throughout all domains by having set specific questions. The assessor must rate each question according to the following rating scale;

- 2 (behavior is usually or habitually performed),
- 1 (sometimes or partly performed),
- 0 (never performed).

In addition there is a code "N" for instances when the child has never had the opportunity to perform the activity and or behavior. A code "DK" is when the caregiver does not know if the child preformed the activity and or experience the behavior. It also provides a composite score that summarized the individual's performance across the domains. Therefore, Kansas views the VABS to not only be comparable or equivalent to the Mental Health Screening Instrument but actually exceeds it by indentifying and addressing the child's specific needs.

f. Process for Level of Care Evaluation/Reevaluation: Per 42 CFR §441.303(c)(1), describe the process for evaluating waiver applicants for their need for the level of care under the waiver. If the reevaluation process differs from the evaluation process, describe the differences:

The process for Level of Care Revaluation is the same as the process for initial Level of Care evaluation. The Functional Eligibility Specialist completes the annual evaluation utilizing the Vineland II Survey Interview Adaptive Behavior Scales to ensure the child continues to met eligibility criteria.

There are two areas of eligibility a consumer must meet: Level of Care (functional) and financial eligibility

KDADS has contracted with one provider who will administer the Vineland II Adaptive Behavior Scales in order to determine the Level of Care(LOC) for functional eligibility and assist the child/family in obtaining eligibility for waiver services. The following criteria applies for waiver eligibility:

- 1)Age- at the time of entrance to the waiver a child must be between the age of zero (0) through age five (5) years.
- 2) Diagnosis: Receive a diagnosis of Autism or Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) from a Licensed Medical Doctor or Ph.D. Psychologist using an approved Autism specific screening tool.
- 3)LOC determination: A functional assessment using the Vineland II Survey Interview Adaptive Behavior Scales must be completed and the child must meet the established scoring criteria in order to determine functional eligibility.

- 4)A child must be determined as likely to need inpatient psychiatric facility level of care in the absence of waiver services.
- 5) Family Choice form: Documentation to support Parents/Guardians choice of the waiver
- 6)Annual Revaluation The need for HCBS Autism Waiver services is re-evaluated (face to face) at a minimum on an annual basis but also any time the family feels it is appropriate, as needs change, and/or as goals are completed.
- 7) A child services shall be limited to three years unless medically necessary, for reason of medical necessity, services may be extended for one year with approval of the review team.

Review team will consist of; HCBS/Autism Program Manager, a Therapist or an individual who works with autistic children, and an Autism Specialist that is not directly involved with the child/family requesting the exception.

Notice of Action- When a child is found functionally eligible or ineligible during the initial evaluation or the annual re-evaluation the child/family will receive a Notice of Action advising them of the status of functional eligibility.

All functional eligibility documentation including the initial evaluation, the annual re-evaluation, freedmon of choice and the notice of action are to be maintained in the child's case file.

g. Reevaluation Schedule. Per 42 CFR §441.303(c)(4), reevaluations of the level of care required by a participant conducted no less frequently than annually according to the following schedule (<i>select one</i>):					
	Every three months				
	Every six months				
	Every twelve months				
	Other schedule				
	Specify the other schedule:				

- **h.** Qualifications of Individuals Who Perform Reevaluations. Specify the qualifications of individuals who perform reevaluations (*select one*):
 - The qualifications of individuals who perform reevaluations are the same as individuals who perform initial evaluations.

The	qualif	ications	are	different.
~		1.0		

Specify the qualifications:

i. Procedures to Ensure Timely Reevaluations. Per 42 CFR §441.303(c)(4), specify the procedures that the State employs to ensure timely reevaluations of level of care (*specify*):

The state employs the use of post pay reviews; MMIS review indicators (submitted with electronic Plans of Care); the use of the yearly reassessment as a component of Functional Eligibility Specialist; and edits in the computer system to ensure timely revaluations of level of care.

j. Maintenance of Evaluation/Reevaluation Records. Per 42 CFR §441.303(c)(3), the State assures that written and/or electronically retrievable documentation of all evaluations and reevaluations are maintained for a minimum period of 3 years as required in 45 CFR §92.42. Specify the location(s) where records of evaluations and reevaluations of level of care are maintained:

Records are maintained by the provider responsible for performing the initial eligibility determination and annual reevaluation. The state assures that written and/or electronically retrievable documentation of all evaluations and reevaluations are maintained for a minimum period of 3 years as required in 45 CFR §74.53. It will also be maintained in the State of Kansas Medicaid Management Information System(MMIS) .

Appendix B: Evaluation/Reevaluation of Level of Care

Quality Improvement: Level of Care

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

- a. Methods for Discovery: Level of Care Assurance/Sub-assurances
 - i. Sub-Assurances:
 - a. Sub-assurance: An evaluation for LOC is provided to all applicants for whom there is reasonable indication that services may be needed in the future.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure/indicator the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator. Each performance measure must be specific to this waiver (i.e., data presented must be waiver specific).

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

Performance Standard=100%; Measure=total number of new enrollees in sample who had a LOC indicating need for institutional LOC prior to receipt of services VS sample number of enrollees indicating need for institutional LOC.

Data Source (Select one):				
Other				
If 'Other' is selected	enecif			

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Vineland II

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95%
Other Specify: LOC contractor	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other

	Specify:		
1			
Other Specify	y:		
Data Aggregation and Analysis:	Encourage of data aggregation and		
Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):		
V State Medicaid Agency	WeeklyMonthly✓ Quarterly		
Operating Agency			
Sub-State Entity			
Other Specify: LOC contractor	Annually		
	Continuously and Ongoing		
	Other Specify:		

b. Sub-assurance: The levels of care of enrolled participants are reevaluated at least annually or as specified in the approved waiver.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure/indicator the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator. Each performance measure must be specific to this waiver (i.e., data presented must be waiver specific).

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

Performance Standard=100%; Total number of participants in sample required to receive annual reassessment VS sample number of participants receiving annual reassessment within 12 months of initial or 12 months of last reassessment.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Vineland II

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	☐ Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95%
Other Specify: LOC contractor	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
▼ State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
▽ Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly
Other Specify: LOC contractor	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

c. Sub-assurance: The processes and instruments described in the approved waiver are applied appropriately and according to the approved description to determine participant level of care.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure/indicator the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator. Each performance measure must be specific to this waiver (i.e., data presented must be waiver specific).

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

Performance Standard =100%; Measure = total number of persons receiving LOC determination assessment in sample VS sample number of persons appropriately eligible receiving waiver services.

Data Source (Select one): **Other** If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Vineland II

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95%
Other Specify: LOC contractor	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:	
Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
V State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
▽ Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

Performance Standard =100%; Measure = total number of enrolled participants required to receive LOC determination reassessment in sample VS sample number of persons for whom the approved assessment tool was utilized to determine accurate LOC reassessment during current service year.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Vineland II

Vineland II		1
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies).
State Medicaid Agency	☐ Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	V Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95%
Other Specify: LOC Contractor	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:

	Continuously and Ongoing Other Specify:		Other Specify:
Data Aggregation and An Responsible Party for dat	ta		f data aggregation and ck each that applies):
that applies): State Medicaid Agen			en eden man approces).
	<u> </u>	Weekly	**
Sub-State Entity	Operating Agency Monthly Sub-State Entity Quarter		
Other Specify:		Quarter	-
		Continu	ously and Ongoing
		Other Specify:	
Performance Measure: Performance Standard = 1 Pequired to receive LOC donumber of persons for who reassessment during current Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specifications.	letermination om the appreent service ye	n reassessmen oved assessor	
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):		Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	7	☐ 100% Review
○ Operating Agency	Monthl	ly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	 Quarte	rly	Representative Sample

		Confidence Interval = 95%
Other Specify: LOC contractor	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:	
Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
V State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
▽ Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

The following Performance Improvement Analysis Process occurs on an annual basis.

- 1. Performance Improvement Data Aggregation (Central Office Quality Program Management)
- 2. Performance Improvement Analysis Process including:
- a. Community Choice Reflection Team (100% consumer members) review of statewide data versus local provider trends)
- b. Performance Improvement Review Committee (Central Office Quality Program Management and Regional KDADS field staff)
 - c. Performance Improvement Executive Review Committee (Central Office Assistant Director,

Quality Program Management and waiver program managers.)

3. Performance Improvement Waiver Report provided to KDHE via the KDHE Long Term Care Committee, for review by the State Medicaid Agency (SSMA).

b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

i. Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items.

These measures and collection/reporting protocols, together with others that are part of the KanCare MCO contract, are included in a statewide comprehensive KanCare quality improvement strategy which is regularly reviewed and adjusted. That plan is contributed to and monitored through a state interagency monitoring team, which includes program managers, contract managers, fiscal staff and other relevant staff/resources from both the state Medicaid agency and the state operating agency.

State staff request, approve, and assure implementation of contractor corrective action planning and/or technical assistance to address non-compliance with performance standards as detected through on-site monitoring, survey results and other performance monitoring. These processes are monitored by both contract managers and other relevant state staff, depending upon the type of issue involved, and results tracked consistent with the statewide quality improvement strategy and the operating protocols of the

Interagency Monitoring Team.

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation

Remediation-related Data Aggregation and A	nalysis (including trend identification)
Responsible Party (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
☑ State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	☑ Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

c. Timelines

When the State does not have all element	nts of the Quality Impro	vement Strategy in pla	ce, provide timelines to desig
methods for discovery and remediation	related to the assurance	of Level of Care that	are currently non-operational.

No
Yes

Please provide a detailed strategy for assuring Level of Care, the specific timeline for implementing identified strategies, and the parties responsible for its operation.

Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility

B-7: Freedom of Choice

Freedom of Choice. As provided in 42 CFR §441.302(d), when an individual is determined to be likely to require a level of care for this waiver, the individual or his or her legal representative is:

i. informed of any feasible alternatives under the waiver; and

- ii. given the choice of either institutional or home and community-based services.
- **a. Procedures.** Specify the State's procedures for informing eligible individuals (or their legal representatives) of the feasible alternatives available under the waiver and allowing these individuals to choose either institutional or waiver services. Identify the form(s) that are employed to document freedom of choice. The form or forms are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

Kansas will continue to offer families a choice between an Inpatient psychiatric facility for individuals under 21 years of age as provided in 42CFR 440.160 and Home Community Based Services (HCBS). Due to the age, numbers served and targeted population for this waiver, if a family should choose an Inpatient psychiatric facility rather than HCBS. Kansas will enter into a contract with an out of state provider to provide services for that child. (1) informed of any feasible alternative available under the waiver, and (2) given the choice of either inpatient psychiatric facility or home and community -based services. [42 CFR 441.302 (d)

After the child is determined to be eligible for the HCBS/Autism waiver services, the child/family receives:

- 1)A copy of the form(s) used to document freedom of choice and to offer a fair hearing;
- 2)A description of the agency's procedure(s) for informing eligible children (or their legal representatives) of the feasible alternatives available under the waiver;
- 3)A description of the State's procedures for allowing consumers to choose either institutional or home and community-based services; and
- 4)A description of how the consumers (or legal representatives) is offered the opportunity to request a fair hearing under 42 CFR Part 431, Subpart E.
- 5) Because of its importance, the provider is responsible for providing or explaining the freedom of choice form to the child/family.
- **b.** Maintenance of Forms. Per 45 CFR §92.42, written copies or electronically retrievable facsimiles of Freedom of Choice forms are maintained for a minimum of three years. Specify the locations where copies of these forms are maintained.

The Family Choice Document (freedom of choice) form, Rights and Responsibilities, and Request for a Fair Hearing is maintained in the child's case file at the individual provider/agency responsible for determining eligibility per K.A.R 30-60-57. A child's/family members' signature on the Family Choice Document indicates and ensures they have been informed of the options available.

Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility

B-8: Access to Services by Limited English Proficiency Persons

Access to Services by Limited English Proficient Persons. Specify the methods that the State uses to provide meaningful access to the waiver by Limited English Proficient persons in accordance with the Department of Health and Human Services "Guidance to Federal Financial Assistance Recipients Regarding Title VI Prohibition Against National Origin Discrimination Affecting Limited English Proficient Persons" (68 FR 47311 - August 8, 2003):

KDADS has taken steps to assist staff in communicating with Limited English Proficient (LEP) consumers and to meet the provisions set out in the Department of Health and Human Services Policy Guidance of 2000 requiring agencies which receive federal funding to provide meaningful access to services by LEP consumers. In order to comply with federal requirements that consumers receive equal access to services provided by KDADS, and to determine the kinds of resources necessary to assist staff in ensuring meaningful communication with LEP consumers, states are required to capture language preference information. As not all currently used applications gather this information, an "Addendum to Application" has been developed. This addendum should capture the language needs of each consumer.

Each service access organization has either staff available to communicate with the consumer in his/her spoken language, accesses a phone-based translation service, or uses other interpreters for its consumers.

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-1: Summary of Services Covered (1 of 2)

a. Waiver Services Summary. *List the services that are furnished under the waiver in the following table. If case management is not a service under the waiver, complete items C-1-b and C-1-c:*

Service Type	Service	Π
Statutory Service	Intensive Individual Supports	Т
Statutory Service	Respite Care	Т
Other Service	Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist)	Τ
Other Service	Family Adjustment Counseling	Τ
Other Service	Interpersonal Communication Therapy	Т
Other Service	Parent Support and Training (peer to peer) Provider	Τ

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-1/C-3: Service Specification

State laws, regulations and policies referenced in the specification are readily available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

Service Type:

Statutory Service

Service:

Habilitation

Alternate Service Title (if any):

Intensive Individual Supports

Service Definition (Scope):

Services provided to a child with an autism spectrum disorder is designed to assist in acquiring, retaining, improving, and generalization of the self-help, socialization, and adaptive skills necessary to reside and function successfully in home and community settings. Services will be provided through evidence based and data driven methodologies. Intensive Individual Supports include the development of skills such as:

- a. Social skills to enhance participation in family, school, and community activities (e.g., imitation, social initiations and response to adults and peers, parallel and interactive play with peers and siblings);
- b. Expressive verbal language, receptive language, and nonverbal communication skills;
- c. A functional symbolic communication system;
- d. Increased engagement and flexibility in developmentally appropriate tasks and play, including the ability to attend to the environment and respond to an appropriate motivational system;
- e. Fine and gross motor skills used for age appropriate functional activities, as needed;
- f. Cognitive skills, including symbolic play and basic concepts, as well as academic skills;
- g. Replacement of problem behaviors with more conventional and appropriate behaviors; and
- h. Independent organizational skills and other socially appropriate behaviors that facilitate successful community integration (e.g., completing a task independently, following instructions in a group, asking for help).

The majority of these contacts must occur in customary and usual community locations where the child lives, attend schools and/or childcare, and/or socializes. Services provided in an educational setting must not be educational in purpose. Services furnished to an individual who is an inpatient or resident of a hospital, nursing facility, intermediate care facility for persons with mental retardation, or institution for mental disease are non-

covered.

Transportation is provided between the participant's place of residence and other services sites or places in the community and the cost of transportation is included in the rate paid to providers of this services.

Intensive Individual Supports service does not duplicate any other Medicaid State Plan Service or other services otherwise available to recipient at not cost.

Specify applicable (if any) limits on the amount, frequency, or duration of this service:

- 1) Intensive Individual Supports services are limited to 25 hours per week.
- 2) Services must be recommended by an Autism Specialist, are subject to prior approval, and must be intended to achieve the goals or objectives identified in the child's individualized behavioral program and plan of care.
- 3)Services will not duplicate any service included under IDEA or the Rehabilitative Services Act of 1973 per 1915 ℂ.

Service Delivery	Method	(check each	that ap	plies)	1:
-------------------------	--------	-------------	---------	--------	----

	Participant-directed as specified in Appendix	ŀ
/	Provider managed	

Specify whether the service may be provided by (check each that applies):

Legally Responsible Person
Relative
Legal Guardian

Provider Specifications:

Provider Category	Provider Type Title
Agency	Community Service Provider, (CSP) and Community Mental Health Center, (CMHC)
Individual	Intensive Individual Support Provider

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-1/C-3: Provider Specifications for Service

Service	Type:	Statutory	Service
Commisso	Mores	. T4	T., J!.,! J.,

Service Name: Intensive Individual Supports

Provider Category:

Agency

Provider Type:

Community Service Provider, (CSP) and Community Mental Health Center, (CMHC)

Provider Qualifications

License (specify):

Community Service Provider will be licensed by KDADS,

Community Mental Health Center will be licensed under K.A.R. 30-60-1

Certificate (specify):

-Bachelor's Degree, preferred degree in Human Services or Education

Other Standard (specify):

*"all standards, certifications and licenses that are required for the specific professional field through which service is provided including but not limited to: professional license / certification if required; adherence to KDADS training and professional development requirements; maintenance of clear background as evidenced through background checks of; KBI, APS, CPS, Nurse Aide Registry, and Motor Vehicle screen".

*60 college credit hours and 1,000 hours experience working with a child with an Autism Spectrum Disorder,

*completion of the state approved training curriculum,

*Will work under the direction of the Autism Specialist, and

*Medicaid Enrolled Provider

Verification of Provider Qualifications

Entity Responsible for Verification:

Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), through the state fiscal agent; and KanCare MCOs.

Frequency of Verification:

As deemed necessary by KDHE

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-1/C-3: Provider Specifications for Service

Service Type: Statutory Service

Service Name: Intensive Individual Supports

Provider Category:

Individual

Provider Type:

Intensive Individual Support Provider

Provider Qualifications

License (*specify*):

Certificate (specify):

Bachelor's Degree, preferred degree in Human Services or Education

Other Standard (specify):

*"all standards, certifications and licenses that are required for the specific professional field through which service is provided including but not limited to: professional license / certification if required; adherence to KDADS training and professional development requirements; maintenance of clear background as evidenced through background checks of; KBI, APS, CPS, Nurse Aide Registry, and Motor Vehicle screen".

*60 college credit hours and 1,000 hours experience working with a child with an Autism Spectrum Disorder,

*Medicaid Enrolled Provider

Verification of Provider Qualifications

Entity Responsible for Verification:

Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), through the state fiscal agent; and KanCare MCOs.

Frequency of Verification:

As deemed necessary by KDHE

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-1/C-3: Service Specification

^{*}completion of the state approved training cirriculum,

^{*}Will work under the direction of the Autism Specialist, and

ne individual's
jectives
tal Hospital
e primary care
ble to recipient
nd/or any
s relief to neet the needs dered content ght.

Provider Category	Provider Type Title
Agency	Community Service Provider, (CSP) and Community Mental Health Center, (CMHC)
Individual	Respite Care Provider

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-1/C-3: Provider Specifications for Service

Service Type: Statutory Service Service Name: Respite Care

Provider Category:

Agency

Provider Type:

Community Service Provider, (CSP) and Community Mental Health Center, (CMHC)

Provider Qualifications

License (*specify*):

Community Service Provider will be licensed by KDADS,

Community Mental Health Center will be licensed under K.A.R. 30-60-1

Certificate (specify):

Other Standard (specify):

*"all standards, certifications and licenses that are required for the specific professional field through which service is provided including but not limited to: professional license / certification if required; adherence to KDADS training and professional development requirements; maintenance of clear background as evidenced through background checks of; KBI, APS, CPS, Nurse Aide Registry, and Motor Vehicle screen".

- *High School Diploma or equivalent,
- *Eighteen years of age or older,
- *Must meet family's qualifications,
- *Must reside outside of child's home,
- *Will work under the direction of an Autism Specialist,
- *completion of the state approved training curriculum, and
- *Medicaid Enrolled Provider

Verification of Provider Qualifications

Entity Responsible for Verification:

Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), through the state fiscal agent; and KanCare MCOs.

Frequency of Verification:

As deemed necessary by KDHE

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-1/C-3: Provider Specifications for Service

Service Type: Statutory Service Service Name: Respite Care

Provider Category:

Individual

Provider Type:

Respite Care Provider

Provider Qualifications

License (specify):

Certificate (*specify*):

Other Standard (specify):

*"all standards, certifications and licenses that are required for the specific professional field through which service is provided including but not limited to: professional license / certification if required; adherence to KDADS training and professional development requirements; maintenance of clear background as evidenced through background checks of; KBI, APS, CPS, Nurse Aide Registry, and Motor Vehicle screen".

- *High School Diploma or equivalent,
- *Eighteen years of age or older,
- *Must meet family's qualifications,
- *Must reside outside of child's home,
- *Will work under the direction of an Autism Specialist,
- *completion of the state approved training curriculum, and
- *Medicaid Enrolled Provider

Verification of Provider Qualifications

Entity Responsible for Verification:

Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), through the state fiscal agent; and KanCare MCOs.

Frequency of Verification:

As deemed necessary by KDHE

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-1/C-3: Service Specification

State laws, regulations and policies referenced in the specification are readily available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

Service Type:

Other Service

As provided in 42 CFR §440.180(b)(9), the State requests the authority to provide the following additional service not specified in statute.

Service Title:

Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist)

Service Definition (*Scope*):

Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services, (therapeutic is working towards remediation of the behavioral symptoms related to the diagnosis of an autism spectrum disorder by teaching more adaptive skills), are intended to assist the family and paid support staff or other professionals with carrying out the individual behavioral program that supports the child's functional development and inclusion in the community. Consultative and therapeutic services provided by the Autism Specialist include; assessment of the child and family's strengths and needs, development of the individual behavioral program and plan of care, coordinate services, training and technical assistance to the family and paid support staff in order to carry out the program, and monitoring of the child's progress within the program. The individual behavioral program and plan of care is a required component of the child's individualized behavioral program and plan of care. These services may be provided in all customary and usual community locations including where the child lives, attend schools and/or childcare, and/or socializes.

For the purposes of this service, "family" is defined as the persons who live with or provide care to a child served on the waiver, and may include a parent, step parent, legal guardian, sibling's relatives, grandparents, or foster parents.

The Autism Specialist service does not duplicate any other Medicaid State Plan Service or other services otherwise available to recipient at not cost.

Specify applicable (if any) limits on the amount, frequency, or duration of this service:

1)Autism Specialist is limited to 50 hours per calendar year, hours may be exceeded with prior authorization by KanCare MCO.

2)Services will not duplicate any service included under IDEA or the Rehabilitative Services Act of 1973 (per 1915 ©).

Service Delivery Method (check each that applies):

I al licibant-un ecteu as specificu in Appendix	Participant-directed as specified in Append	\mathbf{x}
---	---	--------------

	anaged
Specify whether the s	service may be provided by (check each that applies):
Legally Res	ponsible Person
Relative	
Legal Guar	dian
Provider Specification	ons:
Provider Category	Provider Type Title
Individual	Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist) Provider
Agency	Community Service Provider, (CSP), and Community Mental Health Centers (CMHC)
Annendix C: Pa	articipant Services
	2-3: Provider Specifications for Service
Service Type: C Service Name: C	Other Service Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist)
Provider Category:	
Individual	
Provider Type:	
Provider Qualification	and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist) Provider
License (specify	
	Behavior Analyst (BCBA) with 1000 hours of supervised experience working with
a child with an	
	m Disorder. K.A.R 28-4-564.
Certificate (spec	
	e, preferred degree in Human Services or Education
Other Standard	certifications and licenses that are required for the specific professional field through
	provided including but not limited to: professional license / certification if
	nce to KDADS training and professional development requirements; maintenance of
	d as evidenced through background checks of; KBI, APS, CPS, Nurse Aide
	otor Vehicle screen".
* 2000 hours of	supervised experience working with a child with an Autism Spectrum Disorder
* Completion of	state approved curriculum
* An exception of	can be requested to SRS to waiver 1,000 hours of the required experience for
	are Board Certified Behavior Analysts (BCBA).
* Medicaid Enro	lled Provider
Verification of Provi	
	ble for Verification:
	ent of Health and Environment (KDHE), through the state fiscal agent; and
KanCare MCOs.	
Frequency of V	
As deemed neces	ssaly by KDTE
Appendix C: Pa	articipant Services
	2-3: Provider Specifications for Service

Service Type: Other Service

Service Name: Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist)

Provider Category:

Agency

Provider Type:

Community Service Provider, (CSP), and Community Mental Health Centers (CMHC)

Provider Qualifications

License (specify):

Community Service Provider will be licensed by KDADS,

Community Mental Health Center will be licensed under K.A.R. 30-60-1

Certificate (*specify*):

- -Master's degree, preferred degree in Human Services or Education
- -Board Certified Behavior Analyst (BCBA) with 1000 hours of supervised experience working with a child with Autism Spectrum Disorder, K.A.R 28-4-564.

Other Standard (specify):

- *"all standards, certifications and licenses that are required for the specific professional field through which service is provided including but not limited to: professional license / certification if required; adherence to KDADS training and professional development requirements; maintenance of clear background as evidenced through background checks of; KBI, APS, CPS, Nurse Aide Registry, and Motor Vehicle screen".
- *2000 hours of supervised experience working with a child with an Autism Spectrum Disorder
- * Completion of state approved curriculum
- * An exception can be requested to KDADS to waiver 1,000 hours of the required experience for individuals who are Board Certified Behavior Analysts (BCBA).
- * Medicaid Enrolled Provider

Verification of Provider Qualifications

Entity Responsible for Verification:

Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), through the state fiscal agent; and KanCare MCOs.

Frequency of Verification:

As deemed necessary by KDHE

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-1/C-3: Service Specification

State laws, regulations and policies referenced in the specification are readily available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

Service Type:

Other Service

As provided in 42 CFR §440.180(b)(9), the State requests the authority to provide the following additional service not specified in statute.

Service Title:

Family Adjustment Counseling

Service Definition (*Scope*):

Counseling can be provided to the family members of a child with an autism spectrum disorder in order to guide and help them cope with the child's illness and the related stress that accompanies the initial understanding of the diagnosis and the ongoing continuous, daily care required by the child with an autism spectrum disorder. Enabling the family to manage this stress improves the likelihood that the child with the disorder will continue to be cared for at home, thereby preventing premature and otherwise unnecessary institutionalization. Family Adjustment Counseling offers the family a mechanism for expressing emotions associated with the comprehension of the disorder and asking questions about the disorder in a safe and supporting environment. When acceptance of the disorder can be achieved the family is prepared to support the

child on an ongoing basis. The service is provided by a Licensed Mental Health Professional (LMHP) and is responsible for maintaining an ongoing collaborative relationship with the Autism Specialist beginning at the time of the referral.

For the purposes of this service, "family" is defined as unpaid persons who live with or provide care to a person served on the waiver, and may include a parent, step parent, legal guardian, siblings, relatives, or grandparents. Services may be provided individually or in a group setting, are subject to prior approval, and must be intended to achieve the goals or objectives identified in the child's individualized plan of care.

Family Adjustment Counseling does not duplicate any other Medicaid State Plan Service or other services otherwise available to recipient at no cost.

Specify applicable (if any) limits on the amount, frequency, or duration of this service:

- 1) Family Adjustment Counseling is limited to 12 hours per calendar year.
- 2) Services must be recommended by an Autism Specialist, are subject to prior approval, and must be intended to achieve the goals or objectives identified in the child's individualized behavioral plan of care.
- 3) Group setting can not consist of more than 3 families.
- 4) The group membership requirement for Family Adjustment Counseling is that members each have a family member with a diagnosis of ASD.
- 5) Families must agree to a group setting.

Service Delivery Method (check each that applies):

	Participant-directed as specified in Appendix E
V	Provider managed

Specify whether the service may be provided by (check each that applies):

Legally Responsible Person
Relative

Provider Specifications:

Legal Guardian

Provider Category	Provider Type Title	
Individual	Family Adjustment Counseling Provider	
Agency	Licensed Mental Health Professional, Community Mental Health Center	

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-1/C-3: Provider Specifications for Service

Service Type: Other Service	
Service Name: Family Adjustment Counseling	
Service Name. Family Adjustment Counseling	

Provider Category:

Individual

Provider Type:

Family Adjustment Counseling Provider

Provider Qualifications

License (specify):

a Licensed Mental Health Professional (LMHP) must hold a current licensed to practice in the state of Kansas by the Kansas Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board, K.A.R. 28-5-564 **Certificate** (*specify*):

Other Standard (specify):

- *"all standards, certifications and licenses that are required for the specific professional field through which service is provided including but not limited to: professional license / certification if required; adherence to KDADS training and professional development requirements; maintenance of clear background as evidenced through background checks of; KBI, APS, CPS, Nurse Aid Registry, and Motor vehicle screen".
- *Will maintain an ongoing collaborative relationship with the Autism Specialist beginning at time of referral.
- *Medicaid Enrolled Provider

Verification of Provider Qualifications

Entity Responsible for Verification:

Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), through the state fiscal agent; and KanCare MCOs.

Frequency of Verification:

As deemed necessary by KDHE

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-1/C-3: Provider Specifications for Service

Service Type: Other Service

Service Name: Family Adjustment Counseling

Provider Category:

Agency

Provider Type:

Licensed Mental Health Professional, Community Mental Health Center

Provider Qualifications

License (specify):

- -A Licensed Mental Health Professional (LMHP) must hold a current licensed to practice in the State of Kansas by the Kansas Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board, K.A.R. 28-5-564
- -Community Mental Health Center must operate and function within regulatory guidelines set forth in K.A.R. 30-60-1

Certificate (*specify*):

Other Standard (*specify*):

- *"all standards, certifications and licenses that are required for the specific professional field through which service is provided including but not limited to: professional license / certification if required; adherence to KDADS training and professional development requirements; maintenance of clear background as evidenced through background checks of; KBI, APS, CPS, Nurse Aid Registry, and Motor vehicle screen".
- *Will manintain an ongoing collaborative relationship with the Autism specialist beginning at time of referral.
- *Medicaid Enrolled Provider

Verification of Provider Qualifications

Entity Responsible for Verification:

Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), through the state fiscal agent; and KanCare MCOs.

Frequency of Verification:

As deemed necessary by KDHE

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-1/C-3: Service Specification

State laws, regulations and policies referenced in the specification are readily available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

Service Type:

Other Service

As provided in 42 CFR §440.180(b)(9), the State requests the authority to provide the following additional service not specified in statute.

Service Title:

Interpersonal Communication Therapy

Service Definition (*Scope*):

Interpersonal Communication Therapy (ICT) services works toward remediation of social communication symptoms relate to the diagnosis of an autism spectrum disorder and will be provided through evidence based methodologies. The Autism Specialist is the entity that identifies needed services and the providers for meeting those needs through the development of an individualized plan of care. This tool (the Individualized Behavioral Program/ Plan of Care (IBP/POC) is used to delineate the specific objective/goals that the various team members are to work on. The plan of care (approved KanCare MCO) will demonstrate that the role of the specialist doing the Interpersonal Communication Therapy (ICT) service is to evaluate and identify on an ongoing basis the necessary communication needs of the child since they have the skill set to perform this function. The Intensive Individualized Support (IIS) is the identified provider who will implement the interventions identified in the IBP/POC by the Autism Specialist in consultation with the ICT Provider. ICT include the development of skills such as;

- a. teaching conversational skills;
- b. the initiation of spontaneous communication in functional activities across social partners and settings;
- c. the comprehension of verbal and nonverbal discourse in social and community settings;
- d. communication for a range of social functions that are reciprocal; and
- e. the development of a functional communication system.

The majority of these contacts must occur in customary and usual community locations where the child lives, has childcare, and /or socializes. Services furnished to an individual who is an inpatient or resident of a hospital, nursing facility, intermediate care facility for persons with mental retardation, or institution for mental disease are non-covered.

Transportation is provided between the participant's place of residence and other services sites or places in the community and the cost of transportation is included in the rate paid to providers of this services.

For the purposes of this service, "family" is defined as persons who live with or provide care to a child served on the waiver, and may include a parent, step parent, legal guardian, siblings, relatives, grandparents, or foster parents.

Interpersonal Communication Therapy does not duplicate any other Medicaid State Plan Service or other services otherwise available to recipient at no cost.

Specify applicable (if any) limits on the amount, frequency, or duration of this service:

- 1.) Interpersonal communication Therapy services are limited to two (2) hours per week.
- 2.) Services must be recommended by an Autism Specialist and Physician, an order must be written for services, is subject to prior approval and must be intended to achieve the goals or objectives identified in the child's individualized behavioral program and plan of care developed by the Autism Specialist.
- 3.) Services will not duplicate any service included under IDEA or the Rehabilitative Services Act of 1973 (per 1915 c)

Service Delivery Method (check each that applies):

✓ Provider m	anagad
	service may be provided by (check each that applies):
	ponsible Person
Relative	dian
Legal Guar Provider Specification	
Provider Category	Provider Type Title
Agency Individual	Community and or Private Speech – Language Clinics
Individual	Interpersonal Communication Therapy
Appendix C: Pa	articipant Services
C-1/C	C-3: Provider Specifications for Service
Service Type: C Service Name: 1	Other Service Interpersonal Communication Therapy
Provider Category:	
Agency	
Provider Type:	
Community and or Pr Provider Qualificati	rivate Speech –Language Clinics
License (specify	
N/A	
Certificate (spec	cify):
Other Standard	(specify):
"all standards, ce	ertifications and licenses that are required for the specific professional field through
	provided including but not limited to: professional license / certification if required;
	ADS training and professional development requirements; maintenance of clear videnced through background checks of; KBI, APS, CPS, Nurse Aide Registry, and
Motor Vehicle se	
* Performing Pro	ovider must be a licensed Speech Pathologist with a certificate of clinical
	n the American Speech and Hearing
Association.	
	perience working with a child with an Autism Spectrum Disorder, the state approved training curriculum
	and the goals or objectives indentified in the child's individualized behavioral
	n of care developed by the Autism
Specialist, and * Medicaid enro	
Verification of Provi	
	ible for Verification:
Kansas Departm KanCare MCOs.	ent of Health and Environment (KDHE), through the state fiscal agent; and
Frequency of V	
As deemed nece	
	articipant Services
C-1/C	C-3: Provider Specifications for Service
Service Type: C	Other Service
C NI 1	futous out of Communication Thomas

Provider Category:

Individual

Provider Type:

Interpersonal Communication Therapy

Provider Qualifications

License (*specify*):

Must be a licensed Speech Pathologist with a cerificate of clinical completence from the American Speech and Hearing Association.

Certificate (specify):

Other Standard (specify):

"all standards, certifications and licenses that are required for the specific professional field through which service is provided including but not limited to: professional license / certification if required; adherence to KDADS training and professional development requirements; maintenance of clear background as evidenced through background checks of; KBI, APS, CPS, Nurse Aide Registry, and Motor Vehicle screen".

- * 1,000 hours experience working with a child with an Autism Spectrum Disorder,
- * completion of the state approved training curriculum
- * will work toward the goals or objectives indentified in the child's individualized behavioral program and plan of care developed by the Autism
 - Specialist, and
- * Medicaid enrolled provider

Verification of Provider Qualifications

Entity Responsible for Verification:

Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), through the state fiscal agent; and KanCare MCOs.

Frequency of Verification:

As deemed necessary by KDHE

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-1/C-3: Service Specification

State laws, regulations and policies referenced in the specification are readily available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

Service Type:

Other Service

As provided in 42 CFR §440.180(b)(9), the State requests the authority to provide the following additional service not specified in statute.

Service Title:

Parent Support and Training (peer to peer) Provider

Service Definition (Scope):

Parent Support and Training is designed to provide the training and support necessary to ensure engagement and active participation of the family in the treatment process and with the ongoing implementation and reinforcement of skills learned throughout the treatment process. Support and Training is provided to family members to increase their ability to provide a safe and supportive environment in the home and community for the child. This involves assisting the family with the acquisition of knowledge and skills necessary to understand and address the specific needs of the child in relation to their autism spectrum disorder and treatment; and development and enhancement of the family's specific problem-solving skills, coping mechanisms, and strategies for the child's symptom/behavior management.

For the purposes of this service, "family" is defined as persons who live with or provide care to a child served on the waiver, and may include a parent, step parent, legal guardian, siblings, relatives, grandparents, or foster parents. Services may be provided individually or in a group setting, are subject to prior approval, and must be

intended to achieve the goals or objectives identified in the child's individualized plan of care.

Parent Support and Training does not duplicate any other Medicaid State Plan Service or other services otherwise available to recipient at no cost.

Specify applicable (if any) limits on the amount, frequency, or duration of this service:

- 1) Parent Support and Training is limited to 30 hours per calendar year.
- 2) Services must be recommended by an Autism Specialist, are subject to prior approval, and must be intended to achieve the goals or objectives identified in the child's individualized behavioral plan of care.
- 3) Group settings can not consist of more than 3 families.
- 4) The group membership requirement for Parent Support is that members each have a family member with a diagnosis of ASD.
- 5) Families must agree to a group setting.

Service Delivery Method	(check each that applies)
-------------------------	---------------------------

	Participant-directed as specified in Appendix I	£
V	Provider managed	

Specify whether the service may be provided by (check each that applies):

Le	gally Responsible Person
Re	lative
Le	gal Guardian
Provider Sp	ecifications:

Provider Category	Provider Type Title	
Individual	Parent Support Provider	
Agency	Community Service Providers, (CSP) and Community Mental Health Centers (CMH	

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-1/C-3: Provider Specifications for Service

Service Type: Other S	Service
-----------------------	---------

Service Name: Parent Support and Training (peer to peer) Provider

Provider Category:

Individual

Provider Type:

Parent Support Provider **Provider Qualifications License** (*specify*):

Certificate ((specify)	١٠
Cei unicate	SDECHVI	١.

Other Standard (specify):

*"all standards, certifications and licenses that are required for the specific professional field through which service is provided including but not limited to: professional license / certification if required; adherence to KDADS training and professional development requirements; maintenance of clear background as evidenced through background checks of; KBI, APS, CPS, Nurse Aide Registry, and Motor Vehicle screen".

^{*}High School Diploma or equivalent

- *Twenty-one years of age or older
- *Completion of parent support training or other approved training curriculum.
- *Must have three years of direct care experience with a child with an autism spectrum disorder, or is the parent of a child with an autism spectrum disorder
- *Will work under the direction of the Autism Specialist
- *Medicaid Enrolled Provider

Verification of Provider Qualifications

Entity Responsible for Verification:

Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), through the state fiscal agent; and KanCare MCOs.

Frequency of Verification:

As deemed necessary by KDHE

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-1/C-3: Provider Specifications for Service

Service Type: Other Service

Service Name: Parent Support and Training (peer to peer) Provider

Provider Category:

Agency

Provider Type:

Community Service Providers, (CSP) and Community Mental Health Centers (CMHC)

Provider Qualifications

License (specify):

Community Service Providers are licensed by KDADS

Community Mental Health Center will be licensed under K.A.R. 30-60-1

Certificate (specify):

Other Standard (specify):

- *"all standards, certifications and licenses that are required for the specific professional field through which service is provided including but not limited to: professional license / certification if required; adherence to KDADS training and professional development requirements; maintenance of clear background as evidenced through background checks of; KBI, APS, CPS, Nurse Aide Registry, and Motor Vehicle screen".
- *High School Diploma or equivalent
- *Twenty-one years of age or older
- *Completion of parent support training or other approved training curriculum.
- *Must have three years of direct care experience with a child with an autism spectrum disorder, or is the parent of a child with an autism spectrum disorder.
- *Medicaid Enrolled provider.
- *Will work under the direction of the Autism Specialist.

Verification of Provider Qualifications

Entity Responsible for Verification:

Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), through the state fiscal agent; and KanCare MCOs.

Frequency of Verification:

As deemed necessary by KDHE

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-1. Summary of Services Covered (2 of 2)

	C-1. Summary of Scr vices Covered (2 of 2)
b.	Provision of Case Management Services to Waiver Participants. Indicate how case management is furnished to waiver participants (<i>select one</i>):
	Not applicable - Case management is not furnished as a distinct activity to waiver participants.
	 Applicable - Case management is furnished as a distinct activity to waiver participants. Check each that applies: As a waiver service defined in Appendix C-3. Do not complete item C-1-c.
	As a Medicaid State plan service under §1915(i) of the Act (HCBS as a State Plan Option). Complete item C-1-c.
	As a Medicaid State plan service under §1915(g)(1) of the Act (Targeted Case Management). Complete item C-1-c. As an administrative activity. Complete item C-1-c.
c.	Delivery of Case Management Services. Specify the entity or entities that conduct case management functions on behalf of waiver participants:
App	endix C: Participant Services
	C-2: General Service Specifications (1 of 3)
a.	Criminal History and/or Background Investigations. Specify the State's policies concerning the conduct of criminal history and/or background investigations of individuals who provide waiver services (select one):
	No. Criminal history and/or background investigations are not required.
	Yes. Criminal history and/or background investigations are required.

are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid or the operating agency (if applicable):

The contactor / sub contactor and /or provider must complete a Kansas Bureau of Investigations (KBI), APS, CPS, Nurse Aide Registry, and motor vehicle screen upon the hiring of the following providers of services:

- -Eligibility Determination (Functional Eligibility Specialist)
- -Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist)
- -Intensive Individual Supports Provider
- -Respite Care Provider
- -Parent Support Specialist Provider
- -Family Adjustment Counseling Provider
- -Interpersonal Communication Therapy Provider

The contactor / sub contactor and /or provider must provide evidence that required standards have been met at the time of renewing their license. This standard can be reviewed by KDADS Regional Field Staff at the time of their reviews and sooner if a potential problem is identified. At any time deemed appropriate by KDADS, a

certification may be formally resurveyed by KDADS to determine whether the licensee continues to be in compliance with the requirements, per K.A.R. 30-60-6

A single provider must provide the above documentation along with qualifications to the HCBS/Autism Program Manager and receive prior authorization before the delivery of services.

- **b. Abuse Registry Screening.** Specify whether the State requires the screening of individuals who provide waiver services through a State-maintained abuse registry (select one):
 - No. The State does not conduct abuse registry screening.
 - Yes. The State maintains an abuse registry and requires the screening of individuals through this registry.

Specify: (a) the entity (entities) responsible for maintaining the abuse registry; (b) the types of positions for which abuse registry screenings must be conducted; and, (c) the process for ensuring that mandatory screenings have been conducted. State laws, regulations and policies referenced in this description are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable):

The contractor / sub contactor and /or provider must check all individuals against the Kansas Department for Children and Families (DCF) child abuse, adult abuse and nurses aid registries. DCF Children and Adults Services maintain the registries for all confirmed perpetrators.

- -Functional Eligibility Determination (Eligibility Specialist)
- -Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist)
- -Intensive Individual Supports Provider
- -Respite Care Provider
- -Parent Support Specialist Provider
- -Family Adjustment Counseling Provider
- -Interpersonal Communication Therapy Provider

The contractor / sub contactors and /or providers must provide evidence that required standards have been met at the time of renewing their license. This standard can be reviewed by KDADS Regional Field Staff at the time of their reviews and sooner if a potential problem is identified. At any time deemed appropriate by KDADS, a certification may be formally resurveyed by KDADS to determine whether the licensee continues to be in compliance with the requirements, per K.A.R. 30-60-6

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-2: General Service Specifications (2 of 3)

- c. Services in Facilities Subject to §1616(e) of the Social Security Act. Select one:
 - No. Home and community-based services under this waiver are not provided in facilities subject to \$1616(e) of the Act.
 - Yes. Home and community-based services are provided in facilities subject to §1616(e) of the Act. The standards that apply to each type of facility where waiver services are provided are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-2: General Service Specifications (3 of 3)

d. Provision of Personal Care or Similar Services by Legally Responsible Individuals. A legally responsible individual is any person who has a duty under State law to care for another person and typically includes: (a) the parent (biological or adoptive) of a minor child or the guardian of a minor child who must provide care to the child or (b) a spouse of a waiver participant. Except at the option of the State and under extraordinary circumstances specified by the State, payment may not be made to a legally responsible individual for the provision of personal care or similar services that the legally responsible individual would ordinarily perform or be responsible to perform on behalf of a waiver participant. Select one:

planning and education regarding the KanCare program, to ensure an effective and smooth transition. In addition to the broader KanCare provider outreach (including educational tours and weekly stakeholder update calls), the providers that support HCBS waiver members have had focused discussions with state staff and MCO staff about operationalizing the KanCare program; about transition planning (and specific flexibility to support this) for the shift

of targeted case management into MCO care management; and about member support in selecting their KanCare plan. The requirements, procedures and timeframes to quality have been clearly communicated via state and MCO information development and outreach as described above, and also via standardized credentialing applications and state-approved contracts which MCOs offered to each existing provider; and related information, including provider manuals has been made available via state and MCO websites.

Appendix C: Participant Services

Quality Improvement: Qualified Providers

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

- a. Methods for Discovery: Qualified Providers
 - i. Sub-Assurances:
 - a. Sub-Assurance: The State verifies that providers initially and continually meet required licensure and/or certification standards and adhere to other standards prior to their furnishing waiver services.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure/indicator the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator. Each performance measure must be specific to this waiver (i.e., data presented must be waiver specific).

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

Performance Standard =100%; Measure = total number of new waiver provider applications, by provider type in sample VS sample number of enrolled new waiver provider applications, by provider type, in which the provider obtained appropriate licensure/certification in accordance with state law and waiver provider qualifications prior to service provision.

Data Source (Select one):

Provider performance monitoring

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	☐ 100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	✓ Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95%
Other Specify:	Annually	Stratified

KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas			Describe Group:	
	Continuously and Ongoing Other Specify:		Other Specify:	
Data Aggregation and Ang Responsible Party for dat aggregation and analysis that applies):	a		f data aggregation and ck each that applies):	
V State Medicaid Agen	cy	Weekly		
Operating Agency		Monthly		
Sub-State Entity		Quarter	erly	
OtherSpecify:KanCare MCOs contrKansas	acting with	Annuall	ly	
		Continu	ously and Ongoing	
		Other Specify:		
provider type, meeting ap VS sample number of enro license / certification requi Data Source (Select one):	plicable licen olled provide irements foll	sure/certifica ers, by provide	mber of enrolled providers, b ation requirements in sample er type, meeting applicable enrollment.	
Provider performance mo If 'Other' is selected, specify				
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of collection/ge (check each		Sampling Approach (check each that applies):	
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	7	100% Review	
✓ Operating Agency	Monthl	ly	Less than 100% Review	

Sub-State Entity	✓ Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95%
Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas	☐ Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
V State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
○ Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

b. Sub-Assurance: The State monitors non-licensed/non-certified providers to assure adherence to waiver requirements.

For each performance measure/indicator the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator. Each performance measure must be specific to this waiver (i.e., data presented must be waiver specific).

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information

on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

Performance Standard = 100%; Measure = total number of new non-licensed, non-certified waiver provider applicants, by provider type in sample VS sample number of new non-licensed, non-certified waiver provider applicants, by provider type, who meet initial waiver provider qualifications, including training requirements.

Data Source (Select one): **Provider performance monitoring** If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	☐ 100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95%
Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
V State Medicaid Agency	Weekly

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
▽ Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

Performance Standard =100%; Measure = total number of non-licensed, non-certified providers, by provider type in sample VS sample number of non-licensed, non-certified providers, by provider type, continuing to meet waiver provider qualifications, including training requirements.

Data Source (Select one):

Provider performance monitoring

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	☐ 100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity Other	✓ Quarterly Annually	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95%
Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas		Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other	

	Specify	:		
'			1	ı
B				
Responsible Party for dat aggregation and analysis (that applies):	a		f data aggregation and ck each that applies):	
✓ State Medicaid Agend	ey	Weekly		
Operating Agency		Monthly Monthly	у	
Sub-State Entity		 Quarte ı	·ly	
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contra Kansas	acting with	Annual	у	
		Continu	ously and Ongoing	
		Other Specify:		
For each performance measurance complete the follomeasure must be specific to a formation measure meas	ure/indicator wing. Where this waiver (i. ure, provide i uss toward the a source of da	the State will possible, incluee., data prese information on performance ta is analyzed	use to assess compliance value numerator/denominator the numerator/denominator the aggregated data that measure. In this section particulty of	with the statutory or. Each performance ic). will enable the State rovide information r inductively, how
Performance Measure: Performance Standard =100%; Measure = total number of enrolled waiver providers in sample VS sample number of enrolled providers that have met established State training requirements in accordance with approved waiver. Data Source (Select one): Provider performance monitoring If 'Other' is selected, specify:				
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of collection/go		Sampling Approach (check each that applies).	
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly		100% Review	

Operating Agency

Monthly

Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly	Less than 100% Review Representative
		Sample Confidence Interval = 95%
Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
V State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
○ Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

These measures and collection/reporting protocols, together with others that are part of the KanCare MCO contract, are included in a statewide comprehensive KanCare quality improvement strategy which is regularly reviewed and adjusted. That plan is contributed to and monitored through a state interagency

monitoring team, which includes program managers, fiscal staff and other relevant staff/resources from both the state Medicaid agency and the state operating agency.

b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

i. Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items.
State staff request, approve, and assure implementation of contractor corrective action planning and/or technical assistance to address non-compliance with performance standards as detected through on-site monitoring, MCO compliance monitoring, survey results and other performance monitoring. These processes are monitored by both contract managers and other relevant state staff, depending upon the type of issue involved, and results tracked consistent with the statewide quality improvement strategy and the operating protocols of the Interagency Monitoring Team.

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation
Remediation-related Data Aggregation and Analysis (including trend identification)

Responsible Party(check each that applies):

State Medicaid Agency

Weekly

Operating Agency

Monthly

F	(check each that applies):
✓ State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	✓ Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

c. Timelines

When the State does not have all elements of the Quality Improvement Strategy in place, provide timelines to design methods for discovery and remediation related to the assurance of Qualified Providers that are currently non-operational.

O Yes

Please provide a detailed strategy for assuring Qualified Providers, the specific timeline for implementing identified strategies, and the parties responsible for its operation.

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-3: Waiver Services Specifications

Section C-3 'Service Specifications' is incorporated into Section C-1 'Waiver Services.'

Appendix C: Participant Services

C-4: Additional Limits on Amount of Waiver Services

a. Additional Limits on Amount of Waiver Services. Indicate whether the waiver employs any of the following additional limits on the amount of waiver services (*select one*).

	t applicable - The State does not impose a limit on the amount of waiver services except as provided in pendix C-3.
Ap	plicable - The State imposes additional limits on the amount of waiver services.
inc me hov exc the	nen a limit is employed, specify: (a) the waiver services to which the limit applies; (b) the basis of the limit, luding its basis in historical expenditure/utilization patterns and, as applicable, the processes and thodologies that are used to determine the amount of the limit to which a participant's services are subject; (c) we the limit will be adjusted over the course of the waiver period; (d) provisions for adjusting or making septions to the limit based on participant health and welfare needs or other factors specified by the state; (e) safeguards that are in effect when the amount of the limit is insufficient to meet a participant's needs; (f) how ticipants are notified of the amount of the limit. (check each that applies)
	Limit(s) on Set(s) of Services. There is a limit on the maximum dollar amount of waiver services that is authorized for one or more sets of services offered under the waiver. <i>Furnish the information specified above.</i>
	Prospective Individual Budget Amount. There is a limit on the maximum dollar amount of waiver
	services authorized for each specific participant. Furnish the information specified above.
	Budget Limits by Level of Support. Based on an assessment process and/or other factors, participants are assigned to funding levels that are limits on the maximum dollar amount of waiver services. <i>Furnish the information specified above.</i>
V	Other Type of Limit. The State employs another type of limit.
	Describe the limit and furnish the information specified above.
	Kansas has chosen to focus on an HCBS/Autism early intensive intervention waiver in order to have a greater impact on the lives of those children with ASD. Research and studies show that early intensive intervention for these children is the most effective method for increasing functional skills, replacing challenging behavior and improving quality of life. Research also states systematic early intensive interventions are the most effective and range from two to four years. Therefore, based on research and the effectiveness of early intensive interventions the Autism Waiver services shall be limited to three years

For reason of medical necessity services may be extended for one year with approval of the review team. The Autism Review team will consist of the HCBS/Autism Program Manager, a therapist who works with autistic children, and an Autism Specialist who is not directly involved with the child/family requesting the

Due to Kansas having two other waivers that address' children needs and if the child will require additional Waiver services after exiting the HCBS/Autism Waiver the Autism Specialist will assist the child/family in gaining access to other waiver services. The family may choose to transition the child to the HCBS/SED Waiver administered by Community Mental Health Centers (CMHC's) or HCBS/MRDD administered by Community Developmental Disability Organizations (CDDO), providing the established criteria for each waiver are met by the child. The Autism Specialist will contact the appropriate agency 6 months prior to the child transitioning off the HCBS/Autism waiver to develop a transition plan to the appropriate waiver.

unless medically necessary.

extension.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (1 of 8)

State Participant-Centered Service Plan Title:

Individualize Behavioral Program / Plan of Care (IBP/POC)

a.		ponsibility for Service Plan Development. Per 42 CFR §441.301(b)(2), specify who is responsible for the elopment of the service plan and the qualifications of these individuals (<i>select each that applies</i>):
	ueve	Registered nurse, licensed to practice in the State
		Licensed practical or vocational nurse, acting within the scope of practice under State law
		Licensed physician (M.D. or D.O)
		Case Manager (qualifications specified in Appendix C-1/C-3)
		Case Manager (qualifications not specified in Appendix C-1/C-3).
		Specify qualifications:
		Social Worker.
		Specify qualifications:
	V	Other
		Specify the individuals and their qualifications:
		For the purpose of this waiver Autism Specialist will be responsible for developing the IBP/POC for
		(Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services):
		Qualifications for Autism Specialist are:

- -Must be Board Certified Behavior Analyst (BCBA) with 2000 hours of supervised experience working with a child with an Autism spectrum Disorder or hold a Master's degree, preferred degree in Human Services or Education with 2000 hours of supervised experience working with a child with an Autism Spectrum Disorder and;
- -Must have completed the state approved curriculum
- -Must have an understanding of family dynamics, multidisciplinary team approach, and a philosophy of empowerment.
- -Must participate in all state mandated HCBS/Autism or Autism Specialist training to ensure the proficiency of the program, services, rules, regulations, policies, and procedures set forth by the state agency administering this program.
- -Must be an enrolled Medicaid Provider.
- -KDADS may waive 1,000 hours of the required experience for individuals who are Board Certified Behavior Analysts, (BCBA).

Kansas has contracted with three managed care organizations, to provide overall management of these services as one part of the comprehensive KanCare program. The MCOs are responsible for plan of care development, and will be using their internal staff to provide that service. Kansas requires that conflict of interest be mitigated, and recognizes that the primary way in which that mitigation has been achieved is by separating from service providers the plan of care developmenting, and making that an MCO function. (In addition, conflict has been mitigated by Kansas separating the level of care determination from any service delivery or plan of care development.) Some of the additional safeguards that will be in place to ensure that there is no conflict of interest in this function include the operational strategies for each MCO that are described in detail at Section D.1.d of this appendix.

Regarding Amerigroup: Service plans for Amerigroup members in waivers are developed by Service Coordinators who must have at least two years of experience working with individuals with chronic illness, comorbidities, and/or disabilities in a Service Coordinator, Case Management, Advocate or similar role. Preferred qualifications include experience in home health, health care, discharge planning, behavioral health, collaborating with nursing facilities, community resources, and/or other home and community-based agencies. Experience working with Medicare, Medicaid and managed care programs is also preferred.

While a Masters degree is preferred, education/experience for Service Coordinators must include one of the following

- Bachelors degree from an accredited college or university in Nursing, Social Work, Counseling, Special Education, Sociology, Psychology, Gerontology, or a closely related field, or State Waiver;
- Bachelors Degree in an unrelated field and at least two years of geriatric experience; or
- In lieu of a bachelor's degree, six years of case management experience

Regarding Sunflower: Sunflower employs an Integrated Care Team approach for Service Plan Development. Teams conducting care coordination/care management are generally comprised of multidisciplinary clinical and nonclinical staff. This integrated approach allows non-medical personnel to perform non-clinical based service coordination and clerical functions, and permits the licensed professional staff to focus on the more complex and clinically based service coordination needs. Care Managers have primary responsibilty for ensuring service plan development. Care managers are Registered Nurses and Master's level Behavioral Health clinicians with care management experience and, as applicable to the position, expertise including adult and pediatric medical, maternity and behavioral health/psychiatric care. Each Member receiving Care Management is assigned a lead Care Manager who oversees the Member's care. This includes, but is not limited to, participation in inpatient rounds with concurrent review nurses to assist with discharge and transitional care planning, and coordination with the Member's treating providers. Care Managers perform assessments, work with Members/caregivers to develop care plans, and provide educational resources and follow up in conjunction with the Integrated Care Team.

Regarding United: Service plans are developed by licensed nurses or licensed social workers.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (2 of 8)

- b. Service Plan Development Safeguards. Select one:
 - Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility for service plan development may not provide other direct waiver services to the participant.
 - Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility for service plan development may provide other direct waiver services to the participant.

The State has established the following safeguards to ensure that service plan development is conducted in the best interests of the participant. *Specify:*

The State has established the following safeguards to ensure that an Individualize Behavioral Program /Plan of Care (IBP/POC)is conducted in the best interests of the child/family. The child/family members are informed verbally and in writing in the first meeting with the Functional Eligibility Specialist what their rights are and if they should disagree with an adverse action: choice of HCBS vs. inpatient psychiatric facility, choice of provider or services, and denial, reduction, suspension or termination of services.

- -The State employs KDADS Regional Field Staff. These individuals are assigned to specific KADS regional service areas around the State and are responsible for assuring that individuals receive quality services. This is done through ongoing observation, monitoring and feedback of the services provided by the service providers.
- -The KDADS Regional Field Staff, on a quarterly basis, review the services of sample waiver consumers.
- -The Autism Specialist along with the family involvement develops an individualized behavioral program and plan of care(IBP/POC)that supports the child's functional development and inclusion in the community.
- -The child/family is supported to make informed choices regarding services and providers.

- -Choice is offered at least annually, regardless of current provider, or at the other life choices decision points, or any time at the request of the child/family.
- -Choice is documented with the child/family signature and place for review in the case file/individual file.
- -The IBP/POC and choice is monitored by KDADS Regional Field Staff as a component of waiver assurance and minimum standards.
- -The IBP/POCPOC is modified to meet change in needs, eligibility, or preferences, or at least annually during the re-evaluation process.
- -The annual POC is reviewed and monitored electronically.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (3 of 8)

c. Supporting the Participant in Service Plan Development. Specify: (a) the supports and information that are made available to the participant (and/or family or legal representative, as appropriate) to direct and be actively engaged in the service plan development process and (b) the participant's authority to determine who is included in the process.

According to K.A.R. 30-5-305 qualified staff and assessment providers shall conduct an assessment prior to the implementation of any HCBS services.

a. When the Functional Eligibility Specialist has determined a child likely to require the level of care provided in inpatient psychiatric facility for individuals under 21 years of age, the child/family or his/her legal representative will be (1) informed of any feasible alternative available under the waiver, and (2) given the choice of either institutional or home and community based services [42 CFR 441.302 (d), and permitted to choose between them.

Child/family has access to the following:

- -A copy of the forms(s) used to document freedom of choice and to offer a fair hearing
- -The HCBS/Autism Waiver Participant Rights and Responsibilities which, among other Rights and Responsibilities, lists the right to services which are provided to persons in their category of eligibility in accordance with the Medicaid State Plan, based on the availability of services and fiscal limitations.
- b. Once the child/family has received the above mention information and would like to receive HCBS/Autism waiver services the child/family is then given an Autism Specialist provider list in which the family chooses their provider. The child/family, unless a guardian is in place, the right to determine who is included in the process, which ongoing Autism Specialist to use and which service providers to use.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (4 of 8)

- d. Service Plan Development Process. In four pages or less, describe the process that is used to develop the participant-centered service plan, including: (a) who develops the plan, who participates in the process, and the timing of the plan; (b) the types of assessments that are conducted to support the service plan development process, including securing information about participant needs, preferences and goals, and health status; (c) how the participant is informed of the services that are available under the waiver; (d) how the plan development process ensures that the service plan addresses participant goals, needs (including health care needs), and preferences; (e) how waiver and other services are coordinated; (f) how the plan development process provides for the assignment of responsibilities to implement and monitor the plan; and, (g) how and when the plan is updated, including when the participant's needs change. State laws, regulations, and policies cited that affect the service plan development process are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable):
 - (a) All applicants for HCBS/Autism waiver services who receive a diagnosis of an Autism Spectrum Disorders, from a licensed Medical Doctor or PhD Psychologist using an approved Autism specific screening tool, must undergo an assessment to determine level of care (functional)eligibility for the waiver. (b)The Vineland II Survey Interview Adaptive Behavior Scale is a measure of personal and social skills from birth to adulthood. It can be employed to: "support diagnosis of mental retardations, autism, and developmental delays, determine eligibility or qualification for special services, plan rehabilitation or intervention programs and track and report progress".

A qualified Functional Eligibility Specialist conducts the assessment of the child who is applying for waiver services within five (5) working days of the referral, unless a different timeframe is requested by the child/family applying for services or their legal representative, if appropriate. The child must have a total score or a score on any two elements of the Adaptive Areas (Communication, Daily Living skills, Socialization, and Motor skills) of two standard deviations below the mean of 100 (i.e., a score of 70 or below) in order to be eligible for the waiver.

Or

A total score or a score on any two elements of the Adaptive Areas (Communication, Daily Living Skills, Socialization and Motor skills) of one standard deviation below the mean of 100 (score of 71-85). This prompts the assessor to review the scores on the Maladaptive Behaviors (internal, external or total). If the Maladaptive Score on the internal, external, or total is clinically significant, a v-scale score of 21-24, the child is eligible for the Waiver.

SRS uses the Vineland II Survey Interview Adaptive Behavior Scales due to its ability to measure the personal and social skills of individuals from birth through adulthood. Because adaptive behavior refers to an individual's typical performance of the day-to-day activities required for personal and social sufficiency, these scales assess what a person actually does, rather than what he or she is able to do. The Vineland-II assesses adaptive behavior in four domains: Communication, Daily Living Skills, Socialization, and Motor Skills. The following explains how each item is rated; 2 (behavior is usually or habitually performed), 1 (sometimes or partly performed), or 0 (never performed). In addition, there is a code "N" for instances when the child has never had the opportunity to perform the activity and a code "DK" when the caregiver does not know if the child performed the activity. It also provides a composite score that summarizes the individual's performance across all four domains.

If the child meets waiver eligibility, the child/family or their legal representative, if appropriate, meets further with the Functional Eligibility Specialist who explains HCBS/Autism waiver services. The Functional Eligibility Specialist then offers, HCBS /Autism waiver services, inpatient psychiatric facility for individuals under 21 years of age, or the option of remaining in the community without waiver services. When the child/family chooses the waiver and an Autism Specialist, a referral and the Vineland II Survey Interview Adaptive Behavior assessment is sent to the service provider the family has chosen.

The Autism Specialist will meet with the family within five (5) working days of the referral, unless a different time frame is requested by child/family applying for services or their legal representative, if appropriate. Consultative and therapeutic (therapeutic is working towards remediation of the behavioral symptoms related to the diagnosis of an autism spectrum disorder by teaching more adaptive skills), are intended to assist the family and paid support staff or other professionals with carrying out the individualized behavioral program/ plan of care (IBP/POC) which supports the child's functional development and inclusion in the community. The Autism Specialist responsibilities include; assessment of the child and family's strengths and needs, development and monitoring of the child's IBP/POC and progress, coordination of services, training and technical assistance to the family and paid support staff, in order to carry out the IBP/POC.

It will be the Autism Specialist responsibility to coordinated services with other providers of State Plan services, services furnished through other State and Federal programs, and/or non-state services.

Along with the Vineland- II the primary source of the information gathered is from the child/family applying for services. If needed, with the child/family release of information, the Autism Specialist may contact other sources of information such as physicians, other health care providers, or family members.

The information obtained from the Vineland-II and the assessment of the family's needs and strengthens is utilized in the development of the waiver eligible individualized behavioral program and plan of care. The child/family and Autism Specialist work together in developing the individualized behavioral program and plan of care which identifies the supports needed, as well as who is responsible for meeting those needs, the specific amount of services that will be approved to meet those needs and also the amount of funds that the provider(s) will be reimbursed to meet those needs. Once the individualized behavioral program and plan of care is approved, the child/family can begin receiving services.

The IBP/POC is updated at a minimum of every 12 months. However, the Autism Specialist is responsible for the on -going review of the plan implementation and of changes as needed to assure it meets the services/supports/health and welfare needs of the child/family. Changes in conditions that may prompt the need for changes to the services provided are identified by the Autism Specialist/family and or support team and service revisions are submitted to the HCBS/Autism Program Manager for authorization.

During the assessment process, the child/family, his/her guardian (if appropriate), Autism Specialist, and any other person(s) identified by the family who may know or care about the child are invited to be a part of the process.

A face to face re-evaluation encounter is to be conducted, at a minimum, annually by the Functional Eligibility Specialist to determine that the child remains eligible for waiver services.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (5 of 8)

e. Risk Assessment and Mitigation. Specify how potential risks to the participant are assessed during the service plan development process and how strategies to mitigate risk are incorporated into the service plan, subject to participant needs and preferences. In addition, describe how the service plan development process addresses backup plans and the arrangements that are used for backup.

The child's individualized behavioral program and plan of care (IBP/POC) takes into account information from the Vineland II assessment which identifies potential risk factors, and informal supports and other non waiver services. The IBP/POC will contain, at a minimum, the type of services to be furnished, the amount, the frequency and duration of each service, and the type of provider to furnish each service, including informal services and providers. The IBP/POC is the fundamental tool by which the State will ensure the health and welfare of the children/families receiving services under this waiver. The IBP/POC will be subject to periodic review and update. Reviews will take place to determine the appropriateness and adequacy of the services, and to ensure that the services furnished are consistent with the nature and severity of the child's disability. Each child's individualized behavioral program and plan of care identified potential risks; identified emergency contact information; and a back-up plan to maintain both formal and informal services and supports. Back up plans are based on the needs of the child/family. Examples of back up plans could include evacuation planning and /or backup staff in the event scheduled staff would not be available to work. The Autism Specialist discloses to the family that if an individual provider of services (non-agency employee) is not available to work the family will bear the liability of staffing the waiver hours to meet health and safety to the child.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (6 of 8)

f. Informed Choice of Providers. Describe how participants are assisted in obtaining information about and selecting from among qualified providers of the waiver services in the service plan.

The States assures that each child/family found eligible for the waiver will be given informed choice of all qualified providers for the service of an Autism Specialist. The Functional Eligibility Specialist presents each child/family found eligible for the waiver a list of Autism Specialist's from which the child/family can choose.

After the Autism Specialist has meet with the child/family, developed the individualized behavioral program and plan of care (IBP/POC) identified waiver services that will be utilized by the child/family, the Autism Specialist will provide a list of service providers identified from his/her written plan from which the child/family can choose. The child/family can choose to change service providers at anytime during the delivery of services.

Family choice is offered at least annually, (re-evaluation) regardless of current provider, or other life choice decision points, or any time at the request of the child/family.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (7 of 8)

g. Process for Making Service Plan Subject to the Approval of the Medicaid Agency. Describe the process by which the service plan is made subject to the approval of the Medicaid agency in accordance with 42 CFR §441.301(b)(1)(i):

The MCO, Autism Specialist and the child/family develop a plan of care. This plan is then submitted to the contracted MCO of choice for plan of care approval.

The Autism Specialist and MCO is responsible for maintaining a copy of an electronic or papaer plan of care is to be maintained in the child's file.

For the first 180 days of the transition to the KanCare program, any reduction in HCBS services on a participant's plan of care must be reviewed and approved by the state. Further monitoring of services is conducted by the state consistent with the comprehensive KanCare quality improvement strategy. Included in that strategy is review of data that addresses:

- · Access to services
- · Freedom of choice
- · Participants needs met
- Safeguards in place to assure the health and welfare of the participant are maintained
- Access to non-waiver services and informal supports
- Follow-up and remediation of identified programs

A critical component of that strategy is the engagement of the KanCare Interagency Monitoring Team, which will bring together leadership, program management, contract management, fiscal management and other staff/resources of the SSMA and the Operating Agency to collectively monitor the extensive reporting, review results and other quality information and data related to the KanCare program and services on a quarterly basis.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (8 of 8)

	D-1. Service I fair Development (8 of 8)
h.	Service Plan Review and Update. The service plan is subject to at least annual periodic review and update to assess the appropriateness and adequacy of the services as participant needs change. Specify the minimum schedule for the review and update of the service plan:
	Every three months or more frequently when necessary
	Every six months or more frequently when necessary
	Every twelve months or more frequently when necessary
	Other schedule
	Specify the other schedule:
i.	Maintenance of Service Plan Forms. Written copies or electronic facsimiles of service plans are maintained for a minimum period of 3 years as required by 45 CFR §92.42. Service plans are maintained by the following (check each that applies): Medicaid agency
	Operating agency
	Case manager
	✓ Other Specify:
	The Eligibility Specialist will maintain the copies of the original Vineland II Survey Interview Adaptive Behavioral Scales, freedom of choice forms, and the Rights and Responsibilities forms.
	The Autism Specialist will maintain the originals of the above mention information as well as any additional forms such as; the child/family strengthens and needs assessment, individualized behavioral program and plan of care, detail progress notes, and etcin the child's case file.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

Copies will be maintained for a minimum period of 3 years as required by 45 CFR 74.53

D-2: Service Plan Implementation and Monitoring

a. Service Plan Implementation and Monitoring. Specify: (a) the entity (entities) responsible for monitoring the implementation of the service plan and participant health and welfare; (b) the monitoring and follow-up method(s) that are used; and, (c) the frequency with which monitoring is performed.

The three KanCare contracting managed care organizations are responsible for monitoring the implementation of the Plan of Care that was developed as a partnership between the consumer and the MCO and for ensuring the health and welfare of the consumer with input from the Autism Program Manager, involvement of KDADS Regional Field Staff, and assessed with the comprehensive statewide KanCare quality improvement strategy (which includes all of the HCBS waiver performance measures).

On an ongoing basis, the MCOs monitor the Plan of Care and consumer needs to ensure:

- Services are delivered according to the Plan of Care;
- Consumers have access to the waiver services indicated on the Plan of Care;
- Consumers have free choice of providers;
- Services meet consumer's needs;
- Liabilities with self-direction(if applicable)/agency-direction are discussed, and back-up plans are effective;
- Consumer's health and safety are assured, to the extent possible; and
- Consumers have access to non-waiver services that include health services.

The Plan of Care is the fundamental tool by which the State will ensure the health and welfare of consumers served under this waiver. The KanCare MCOs, who deliver no direct waiver services to waiver participants, are responsible for both the initial and updated plans of care.

In-person monitoring by the MCOs is ongoing:

- Choice and monitoring are offered at least annually, regardless of current provider or self-direction, or at other life choice decision points, or any time at the request of the consumer.
- Choice is documented.
- The Plan of Care is modified to meet change in needs, eligibility, or preferences, or at least annually. In addition, the Plan of Care and choice are monitored by state quality review and/or performance improvement staff as a component of waiver assurance and minimum standards. Issues found needful of resolution are reported to the MCO and waiver provider for prompt follow-up and remediation. Related information is reported to the Autism Program Manager.

Service plan implementation and monitoring performance measures and related collection/reporting protocols, together with others that are part of the KanCare MCO contract, are included in a statewide comprehensive KanCare quality improvement strategy which is regularly reviewed and adjusted. That plan is contributed to and monitored through a state interagency monitoring team, which includes HCBS waiver program managers, fiscal staff and other relevant staff/resources from both the state Medicaid agency and the state operating agency.

State staff request, approve, and assure implementation of contractor/provider corrective action planning and/or technical assistance to address non-compliance with performance standards as detected through on-site monitoring, MCO compliance monitoring, survey results and other performance monitoring. These processes are monitored by both contract managers and other relevant state staff, depending upon the type of issue involved, and results tracked consistent with the statewide quality improvement strategy and the operating protocols of the Interagency Monitoring Team.

b. Monitoring Safeguards. Select one:

- Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility to monitor service plan implementation and participant health and welfare may not provide other direct waiver services to the participant.
- Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility to monitor service plan implementation and participant health and welfare may provide other direct waiver services to the participant

The State has established the following safeguards to ensure that monitoring is conducted in the best interests of the participant. *Specify:*

The State assures that each child/family found eligible for the waiver will be given informed choice of all qualified providers. The Functional Eligibility Specialist presents each child/family found eligible for the waiver a list of Autism Specialists from which the child/family can choose. The Functional Eligibility Specialist shall not provide any additional Autism Waiver services to a child/family to which they provided a functional eligibility determination.

After the child/family has chosen the Autism Specialist they will meet with the Autism Specialist. Once the Individualized behavioral program and plan of care has been developed with the child/family's involvement the Autism Specialist will provide the child/family a list of qualified providers for each service identified on the plan of care from which to choose from. The fact that child/family can select a different Autism Specialist for ongoing implementation and monitoring of their Individualized behavioral program and plan of care services mitigates potential Autism Specialist influence and addresses conflict of interest.

-Choice and monitoring are offered at least annually, regardless of current provider or at other life choice decision points, or any time at the request of the child/family.

- -Choice is documented with the child/family signature and place for review in the case file which is with the Autism Specialist. If the Autism Specialist is employed by an agency, then the file will be maintained at the agency's office.
- -Individualized behavioral program and plan of care and choice are monitored by KDADS Regional Field Staff as a component of waiver assurance and minimum standards.
- -Individualized behavioral program and plan of care is modified to meet change in needs, eligibility, or preferences, or at least annually during the re-evaluation process.

The safeguards in place for all other Medicaid providers apply to these providers. Post pay reviews completed by the fiscal agent and quality assurance reviews completed by KDADS Regional Field Staff monitor child/family services. In addition, Individualized behavioral program and plans of care are written for child/family needs with the child/family's input.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

Quality Improvement: Service Plan

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

- a. Methods for Discovery: Service Plan Assurance/Sub-assurances
 - i. Sub-Assurances:
 - a. Sub-assurance: Service plans address all participants' assessed needs (including health and safety risk factors) and personal goals, either by the provision of waiver services or through other means.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure/indicator the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator. Each performance measure must be specific to this waiver (i.e., data presented must be waiver specific).

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

Performance Standard =100%; Measure = total number of enrolled participants in sample VS sample number of participants with service plans that address assessed functional needs during current service year.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Autism Quality Review Process

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	✓ Quarterly	

		Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95%
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
V State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

Performance Standard =100%; Measure = total number of enrolled participants in sample VS sample number of participants with service plans that address health and safety risk factors during current service year.

Data Source (Select one):
Other
If 'Other' is selected, specify:
Autism Quality Review Process

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	☐ Weekly	☐ 100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95%
Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
V State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

Performance Standard =100%; Measure = total number of enrolled participants in sample VS sample number of participants with service plans including personal goals during current service year.

Data Source (Select one): **Other**

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	V Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95%
Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
V State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly
Other	Annually
Specify:	

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

b. Sub-assurance: The State monitors service plan development in accordance with its policies and procedures.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure/indicator the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator. Each performance measure must be specific to this waiver (i.e., data presented must be waiver specific).

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

Performance Standard =100%; Measure = total number of enrolled participants in sample VS sample number of participants with service plans developed in accordance with approved policies and procedures.

Data Source (Select one): **Other**If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Autism Quality Review P	r deess	1
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95%
Other Specify:	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:

KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.		
	☐ Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
V State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

c. Sub-assurance: Service plans are updated/revised at least annually or when warranted by changes in the waiver participant's needs.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure/indicator the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator. Each performance measure must be specific to this waiver (i.e., data presented must be waiver specific).

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

Data Source (Select one):

Other

Performance Standard =100%; Measure = total number of enrolled participants in sample VS sample number of participants with service plans which are updated/revised annually during current service year.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of collection/got (check each		Sampling Approach (check each that applies)
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	Ÿ	☐ 100% Review
Operating Agency	Month	ly	✓ Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	 Quarte	rly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95%
Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annually		Stratified Describe Group:
	Contine	uously and	Other Specify:
	Other Specify	<i>r</i> :	
Data Aggregation and An Responsible Party for da aggregation and analysis that applies):	ta		of data aggregation and ck each that applies):
State Medicaid Agen	псу	Weekly	
Operating Agency		Monthly Monthly	y
Sub-State Entity		Quarter	

Annually

Other

Specify:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

Performance Standard =100%; Measure = total number of enrolled participants in sample VS sample number of participants with service plans which are updated/revised as warranted by participant's needs / preferred lifestyle during current service year.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Autism Quality Review P	rocess	
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	☐ 100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
✓ Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	V Quarterly ☐ Annually	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95% Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (that applies):		nency of data aggregation and sis(check each that applies):	
V State Medicaid Agend	ey 🗆 V	Veekly	
Operating Agency	_ N	Monthly	
Sub-State Entity	▽ C	Quarterly	7
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contra Kansas.		nnually	
		Continuously and Ongoing	
		Other specify:	
For each performance measi to analyze and assess progre on the method by which each themes are identified or conc	ure, provide informa ss toward the perfor source of data is an	a presented must be waiver spectation on the aggregated data that mance measure. In this section palyzed statistically/deductively how recommendations are form	t will enable the Star provide information or inductively, how
in sample VS sample numb	er of participants	tal number of enrolled partici that verify they have received nt and frequency as specified i	the
Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specify Autism Quality Review Pr			
Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specify Autism Quality Review Pr Responsible Party for data		on (check each that applies):
Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specify Autism Quality Review Pr Responsible Party for data collection/generation	ocess Frequency of data collection/generati	on (check each that applies):

Review

V Sub-State Entity	✓ Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95%
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
▼ State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
✓ Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly
OtherSpecify:KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

e. Sub-assurance: Participants are afforded choice: Between waiver services and institutional care; and between/among waiver services and providers.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure/indicator the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator. Each performance measure must be specific to this waiver (i.e., data presented must be waiver specific).

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

Data Source (Select one):

Performance Standard =100%; Measure = total number of enrolled participants in sample VS sample number of participants whose records contain an appropriately completed and signed freedom of choice form that specifies choice was offered between HCBS Waiver Services and Institutional care.

If 'Other' is selected, specify Freedom of Choice Form	y:	
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
○ Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
✓ Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	✓ Quarterly Annually	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95% Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies): State Medicaid Agency Operating Agency Sub-State Entity Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.		Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies): Weekly Monthly Quarterly Annually				
						Continuously and Ongoing
						Other Specify:
				n sample VS sample num	ber of partic	are = total number of enrolled participa cipants whose records contain
				n sample VS sample num locumentation that speciforoviders. Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specif	ber of partic ies choice be	cipants whose records contain
n sample VS sample num locumentation that speciforoviders. Data Source (Select one): Other	ies choice be y: ers Form Frequency of collection/g	cipants whose records contain it is tween and among HCBS Waiver Serving of data Sampling Approach				
n sample VS sample num locumentation that speciforoviders. Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, speciforoice of Service Provide Responsible Party for data collection/generation	ies choice be y: ers Form Frequency of collection/g	of data eneration that applies):				
n sample VS sample num locumentation that specific providers. Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specific Choice of Service Provide Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies): State Medicaid	y: Frequency collection/g	of data eneration that applies): Sampling Approach (check each that applies):				

Annually

Ongoing

Continuously and

Stratified

Other

Describe

Group:

Specify:

V Other

Specify: KanCare MCOs

contracting with

Kansas.

Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
V State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
○ Operating Agency	☐ Monthly
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

Kansas Department of Health and Environment, Division of Health Care Finance (KDHE), the single state Medicaid agency, and Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services (KDADS) work together to develop state operating agency priority identification regarding all waiver assurances and minimum standards/basic assurances. The state agencies work in partnership with consumers, advocacy organizations, provider groups and other interested stakeholders to monitor the state quality strategy and performance standards and discuss priorities for remediation and improvement. The state quality improvement strategy includes protocols to review cross-service system data to identify trends and opportunities for improvement related to all Kansas waivers, policy and procedure development and systems change initiatives.

Data gathered by KDADS Regional Staff during the Quality Survey Process, and data provided by the KanCare MCOs, is compiled quarterly for evaluation and trending to identify areas for improvement. Upon completion of identified areas of improvement this information is compiled into reports and shared both internally and externally, including with KDHE. As the KanCare program is operationalized, staff of the three plans will be engaged with state staff to ensure strong understanding of Kansas' waiver programs and the quality measures associated with each waiver program. Over time, the role of the MCOs in collecting and reporting data regarding the waiver performance measures will evolve, with increasing responsibility once the MCOs fully understand the Kansas programs. These measures and collection/reporting protocols, together with others that are part of the KanCare MCO contract, are included in a statewide comprehensive KanCare quality improvement strategy which is regularly reviewed and adjusted. That plan is contributed to and monitored through a state interagency monitoring team, which includes program managers, fiscal staff and other relevant staff/resources from both the state Medicaid agency and the state operating agency.

b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

i. Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items.

State staff and/or KanCare MCO staff request, approve, and assure implementation of provider corrective action planning and/or technical assistance to address non-compliance with waiver and performance standards as detected through on-site monitoring, survey results and other performance monitoring. These processes are monitored by both program managers and other relevant state and MCO staff, depending upon the type of issue involved, and results tracked consistent with the statewide quality improvement strategy and the operating protocols of the Interagency Monitoring Team.

Monitoring and survey results are compiled, trended, reviewed, and disseminated consistent with protocols identified in the statewide quality improvement strategy. Each provider receives annual data trending which identifies Provider specific performance levels related to statewide performance standards and statewide averages. Corrective Action Plan requests, technical assistance and/or follow-up to remediate negative trending are included in annual provider reports where negative trending is evidenced.

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation

Responsible Party(check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
○ Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

c. Timelines

When the State does not have all elements of the Quality Improvement Strategy in place, provide timelines to design methods for discovery and remediation related to the assurance of Service Plans that are currently non-operational.

No
Yes

Please provide a detailed strategy for assuring Service Plans, the specific timeline for implementing identified strategies, and the parties responsible for its operation.

Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services

Applicability (from Application Section 3, Components of the Waiver Request):

- Yes. This waiver provides participant direction opportunities. Complete the remainder of the Appendix.
- No. This waiver does not provide participant direction opportunities. Do not complete the remainder of the Appendix.

CMS urges states to afford all waiver participants the opportunity to direct their services. Participant direction of services includes the participant exercising decision-making authority over workers who provide services, a participant-managed budget or both. CMS will confer the Independence Plus designation when the waiver evidences a strong commitment to participant direction.

Indicate whether Independence Plus designation is requested (select one):
 Yes. The State requests that this waiver be considered for Independence Plus designation. No. Independence Plus designation is not requested.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (1 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (2 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (3 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (4 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (5 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (6 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (7 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (8 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (9 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.

Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (10 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (11 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (12 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (13 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-2: Opportunities for Participant Direction (1 of 6)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-2: Opportunities for Participant-Direction (2 of 6)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-2: Opportunities for Participant-Direction (3 of 6)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-2: Opportunities for Participant-Direction (4 of 6)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-2: Opportunities for Participant-Direction (5 of 6)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-2: Opportunities for Participant-Direction (6 of 6)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.

Appendix F: Participant Rights

Appendix F-1: Opportunity to Request a Fair Hearing

The State provides an opportunity to request a Fair Hearing under 42 CFR Part 431, Subpart E to individuals: (a) who are not given the choice of home and community-based services as an alternative to the institutional care specified in Item 1-F of the request; (b) are denied the service(s) of their choice or the provider(s) of their choice; or, (c) whose services are denied, suspended, reduced or terminated. The State provides notice of action as required in 42 CFR §431.210.

Procedures for Offering Opportunity to Request a Fair Hearing. Describe how the individual (or his/her legal representative) is informed of the opportunity to request a fair hearing under 42 CFR Part 431, Subpart E. Specify the notice (s) that are used to offer individuals the opportunity to request a Fair Hearing. State laws, regulations, policies and notices referenced in the description are available to CMS upon request through the operating or Medicaid agency.

Kansas has contracted with Functional Eligibility Specialists, as independent assessors to conduct level of care determinations. Decisions made by the Functional Eligibility Specialists are subject to state fair hearing review, and notice of that right and related process will be provided by the independent assessors with their decision on the LOC determination/redetermination.

Kansas has contracted with three KanCare managed care organizations (MCOs) who are required to have grievance and appeal processes that meet all relevant federal and state standards, including state fair hearings and expedited appeals. Each MCO has established operational processes regarding these issues, about which they must inform every member. In addition, the State will review member grievances/appeals during the initial implementation of the KanCare program on a daily basis to see if there are issues with getting into care, ability to get prescriptions or ability to reach a live person on the phone. The State will report to CMS the number and frequency of these types of complaints/grievances during the initial transition period, and will continue to monitor this issue throughout the KanCare program.

Each member is provided information about grievances, appeals and fair hearings in their KanCare member enrollment packet.

KanCare members have the right to file a grievance. A grievance is any expression of dissatisfaction about any matter other than an Action. Grievances can be filed in writing or verbally. Grievances will be acknowledged by MCOs in writing within 10 business days of receipt, and a written response to the grievance will be given to the member within 30 business days (except in cases where it is in the best interest of the member that the resolution timeframe be extended).

All KanCare members are advised the following regarding appeals and state fair hearings:

An appeal can only occur under the following circumstances:

- If an Action has occurred. An Action is the denial of services or a limitation of services, including the type of service; the reduction, suspension, or termination of a service you have been receiving; the denial, in whole or part, of payment for a service; or the failure of the health plan to act within established time requirements for service accessibility.
- You will receive a Notice of Action in the mail if an Action has occurred.
- An Appeal is a request for a review of any of the above actions.
- To file an Appeal: You, your friend, your attorney, or anyone else on your behalf can file an appeal.
- An appeal can be filed verbally, but it must be followed by a written request. The Customer Service Center for your health plan can also help you with an appeal.
- An appeal must be filed within 30 calendar days after you have received a Notice of Action.
- The appeal will be resolved within 30 calendar days unless more time is needed. You will be notified of the delay, but your appeal will be resolved in 45 calendar days.

You have other options for a quicker review of your appeal. Call your health plan for more information.

Fair Hearings

A Fair Hearing is a formal meeting where an impartial person (someone you do not know), assigned by the Office of Administrative Hearings, listens to all of the facts and then makes a decision based on the law.

• If you are not satisfied with the decision made on your appeal, you or your representative may ask for a fair hearing. It must be done in writing and mailed or faxed to:

Office of Administrative Hearings 1020 S. Kansas Ave. Topeka, KS 66612-1327 Fax: 785-296-4848

• The letter or fax must be received within 30 days of the date of the appeal decision.

Members have the right to benefits while a hearing is pending, and can request such benefits as part of their fair hearing request. All three MCOs will advise members of their right to a State Fair Hearing. Members do not have to finish their appeal with the MCO before requesting a State Fair Hearing.

Addressing specific additional elements required by CMS:

I. How individuals are informed of the Fair Hearing process during entrance to the waiver including how, when and by whom this information is provided to individuals.

For all KanCare MCOs: In addition to the education provided by the State, members receive information about the Fair Hearing process in the member handbook they receive at the time of enrollment. The member handbook is included in the welcome packet provided to each member. It will also be posted online at the MCOs' member web site. In addition, every notice of action includes detailed information about the Fair Hearing process, including timeframes, instructions on how to file, and who to contact for assistance. And, at any time a member can call the MCO to get information and assistance with the Fair Hearing process.

II. All instances when a notice must be made to an individual of an adverse action including: 1) choice of HCBS vs. institutional services, 2) choice of provider or service, and 3) denial, reduction, suspension or termination of service.

The state requires that all MCOs define an "action" pursuant to KanCare RFP Attachment C and 42 CFR §438.400. While the State determines, including through contracting entities, eligibility for HCBS waivers and is responsible for notifying an individual of an adverse action in the event that their application (choice of HCBS vs. institutional services) is denied, MCOs issue a notice of adverse action under the following circumstances:

- The denial or limited authorization of a requested service, including the type or level of service;
- The reduction, suspension, or termination of a previously authorized service;
- The denial, in whole or in part, of payment for a service;
- The failure to provide services in a timely manner;
- The failure of an Amerigroup to act within the timeframes provided in 42 CFR §438.408(b); and
- For a resident of a rural area with only one MCO, the denial of a Medicaid enrollee's request to exercise his or her right, under 42 CFR §438.52(b)(2)(ii), to obtain services outside the network.

III. How notice of adverse action is made.

Amerigroup: Once the decision to deny a service is made, the Medical Director notifies the Health Care Management Services department of the denial by routing the authorization request to specified queues within Amerigroup's system of record (Facets). An Amerigroup Utilization Management nurse reviews the denial, makes any necessary updates to the authorization and routes it to the designated denial queue in Facets. The Case Specialist assigned to the queue will create the letter in Amerigroup's document repository system (Macess) under the member's account and send to the Amerigroup Document Control Center (DCC) for mailing to both the member and the provider.

Sunflower: Sunflower will issue notice of adverse actions in writing. The notice of action letters utilized by Sunflower will have the prior written approval of KDHE before they are used. Written notification of adverse action may also be supplemented with telephonic and/or face-to-face notifications if necessary.

United: A Notice of Action is provided in writing to the member with a cc: to the provider.

IV. The entity responsible for issuing the notice

Amerigroup: Case Specialists in the Amerigroup Health Care Management Services Department are responsible for issuance of the notice (which includes the Amerigroup Medical Director's signature). These notices are sent from the Case Specialist to Amerigroup's Document Control Center for mailing.

Sunflower: Sunflower State Health Plan is responsible for issuing notifications to its enrolled members. Subcontracted entities who may be delegated appeal may also issue Notice of Action letters to members who are denied or received reduction of services that the delegated entity provides. All of the Sunflower's subcontracted entities will use the previously approved notice of action and grievance/appeal process letters that Sunflower uses.

United: UnitedHealthcare Community Plan will be issuing the notices.

V. The assistance (if any) that is provided to individuals in pursuing a Fair Hearing.

Amerigroup: The Amerigroup Quality Management Department includes Member Advocates that are dedicated to tasks such as helping members file grievances, appeals and Fair Hearings. If a member calls the Amerigroup Member Services line to request assistance with a Fair Hearing, our call center provides a transfer to the Member Advocate who assists the member.

Sunflower: Sunflower's Member Service Representative, Grievance and Appeals Coordinators and Care Managers will all

be available to provide personal assistance to members needing support at any stage of the grievance process including Fair Hearing. They will provide information to members about their rights, how access the Fair Hearing process, provide assistance in completing any required documentation and provide all information relevant to the issue giving rise to the need for a Fair Hearing. In addition, Members will have access to communication assistance such as translation, TTY/TTD availability, interpreter services or alternative formats for member materials.

United: UnitedHealthcare has Member Advocates who can provide general assistance and a Plan Grievance Coordinator who is available to assist members with filing the request and who will prepare the files for submission to the State.

VI. Specify where notices of adverse action and the opportunity to request a Fair Hearing are kept.

Amerigroup: Template Notice of Adverse Action letters are housed in Amerigroup's electronic document repository system (Macess). When individual letters are created, they are saved in the member's individual folder within this system. All these letters include notification of the opportunity to request a Fair Hearing.

Sunflower: Sunflower will maintain records of all notices of adverse action letters issued to members, with the required Fair Hear rights and process language, in our TruCare Medical Management application and in our Customer Relations Management (CRM) application used to track and report events in the grievance process.

United: Notice of Action letters are maintained in corporate letter archives. They are tied to the notification number in our CareOne Medical Management System. They are indexed by State, date of notice, member name. product (i.e. Medicaid) and notification number.

Appendix F: Participant-Rights

Appendix F-2: Additional Dispute Resolution Process

- **a. Availability of Additional Dispute Resolution Process.** Indicate whether the State operates another dispute resolution process that offers participants the opportunity to appeal decisions that adversely affect their services while preserving their right to a Fair Hearing. *Select one:*
 - No. This Appendix does not apply
 - Yes. The State operates an additional dispute resolution process
- **b. Description of Additional Dispute Resolution Process.** Describe the additional dispute resolution process, including: (a) the State agency that operates the process; (b) the nature of the process (i.e., procedures and timeframes), including the types of disputes addressed through the process; and, (c) how the right to a Medicaid Fair Hearing is preserved when a participant elects to make use of the process: State laws, regulations, and policies referenced in the description are available to CMS upon request through the operating or Medicaid agency.

Appendix F: Participant-Rights

Appendix F-3: State Grievance/Complaint System

- a. Operation of Grievance/Complaint System. Select one:
 - No. This Appendix does not apply
 - Yes. The State operates a grievance/complaint system that affords participants the opportunity to register grievances or complaints concerning the provision of services under this waiver
- **b. Operational Responsibility.** Specify the State agency that is responsible for the operation of the grievance/complaint system:

Under the KanCare program, nearly all Medicaid services - including nearly all HCBS waiver services - will be provided through one of the three contracting managed care organizations. However, for those situations in which the participant is not a KanCare member, this grievance/complaint system applies. The Single State Medicaid Agency, Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), employs the fiscal agent to operate the consumer complaint and grievance system. (A description as to how KanCare members are informed that filing a grievance is not a prerequisite for a Fair Hearing is inluded at Appendix F.1.)

c. Description of System. Describe the grievance/complaint system, including: (a) the types of grievances/complaints that participants may register; (b) the process and timelines for addressing grievances/complaints; and, (c) the

mechanisms that are used to resolve grievances/complaints. State laws, regulations, and policies referenced in the description are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

The Medical Assistance Customer Service Center (MACSC) at the fiscal agent is open to any complaint, concern, or grievance a consumer has against a Medicaid provider. The Consumer Assistance Unit staff logs and tracks all complaints, concerns, or grievances. If a provider has three complaints lodged against them, an investigation is initiated. KDHE and KDADS have access to this information at any time.

The MACSC transfers grievances to the Quality Assurance Team (QAT) on the date received. QAT has three (3) days to contact the grievant to acknowledge the grievance and thirty (30) days to complete the research and resolution. If more time is needed, QAT must request additional time from the state Program Manager. QAT trends grievances on a monthly basis. Criterion for further research is based on number of grievances per provider in a specific time frame.

Consumers who are not part of the KanCare program are educated that lodging a complaint and/or grievance is not a pre-requisite or substitute for a Fair Hearing and is a separate activity from a Fair Hearing. This information may also be provided by the Autism Waiver Program Manager.

Appendix G: Participant Safeguards

Appendix G-1: Response to Critical Events or Incidents

- **a. Critical Event or Incident Reporting and Management Process.** Indicate whether the State operates Critical Event or Incident Reporting and Management Process that enables the State to collect information on sentinel events occurring in the waiver program. *Select one:*
 - Yes. The State operates a Critical Event or Incident Reporting and Management Process (complete Items b through e)
 No. This Appendix does not apply (do not complete Items b through e)
 - No. This Appendix does not apply (do not complete Items b through e)

 If the State does not operate a Critical Event or Incident Reporting and Management Process, describe the process that the State uses to elicit information on the health and welfare of individuals served through the program.
- **b.** State Critical Event or Incident Reporting Requirements. Specify the types of critical events or incidents (including alleged abuse, neglect and exploitation) that the State requires to be reported for review and follow-up action by an appropriate authority, the individuals and/or entities that are required to report such events and incidents and the timelines for reporting. State laws, regulations, and policies that are referenced are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

The state provides for the reporting and investigation of the following major and serious incidents:

•Article 22, Kansas Code for Care of Children defines:

Article 22: Revised Kansas Code For Care Of Children

Statute 38-2202: Definitions. As used in the revised Kansas code for care of children, unless the context otherwise indicates:

- (a) "Abandon" or "abandonment" means to forsake, desert or, without making appropriate provision for substitute care, cease providing care for the child.
- (b) "Adult correction facility" means any public or private facility, secure or nonsecure, which is used for the lawful custody of accused or convicted adult criminal offenders.
- (c) "Aggravated circumstances" means the abandonment, torture, chronic abuse, sexual abuse or chronic, life threatening neglect of a child.
- (d) "Child in need of care" means a person less than 18 years of age at the time of filing of the petition or issuance of an ex parte protective custody order pursuant to K.S.A. 2009 Supp. 38-2242, and amendments thereto, who:
- (1) Is without adequate parental care, control or subsistence and the condition is not due solely to the lack of financial means of the child's parents or other custodian;
 - (2) is without the care or control necessary for the child's physical, mental or emotional health;
 - (3) has been physically, mentally or emotionally abused or neglected or sexually abused;

- (4) has been placed for care or adoption in violation of law;
- (5) has been abandoned or does not have a known living parent;
- (6) is not attending school as required by K.S.A. 72-977 or 72-1111, and amendments thereto;
- (7) except in the case of a violation of K.S.A. 21-4204a, 41-727, subsection (j) of K.S.A. 74-8810 or subsection (m) or (n) of K.S.A. 79-3321, and amendments thereto, or, except as provided in paragraph (12), does an act which, when committed by a person under 18 years of age, is prohibited by state law, city ordinance or county resolution but which is not prohibited when done by an adult;
- (8) while less than 10 years of age, commits any act which if done by an adult would constitute the commission of a felony or misdemeanor as defined by K.S.A. 21-3105, and amendments thereto;
- (9) is willfully and voluntarily absent from the child's home without the consent of the child's parent or other custodian;
- (10) is willfully and voluntarily absent at least a second time from a court ordered or designated placement, or a placement pursuant to court order, if the absence is without the consent of the person with whom the child is placed or, if the child is placed in a facility, without the consent of the person in charge of such facility or such person's designee;
- (11) has been residing in the same residence with a sibling or another person under 18 years of age, who has been physically, mentally or emotionally abused or neglected, or sexually abused;
- (12) while less than 10 years of age commits the offense defined in K.S.A. 21-4204a, and amendments thereto; or
 - (13) has had a permanent custodian appointed and the permanent custodian is no longer able or willing to serve.
- •Kansas statute (K.S.A. 3-1431), Reporting of certain abuse or neglect of children; persons reporting; reports, made to whom; penalties for failure to report or interference with making a report. a) When any of the following persons has reason to suspect that a child has been injured as a result of physical, mental, or emotional abuse or neglect or sexual abuse, the person shall report the matter promptly as provided in subsection (c) or (e): Person licensed to practice the healing arts or dentistry; persons licensed to practice optometry; persons engaged in postgraduate training programs approved by the state board of healing arts; licensed psychologists; licensed masters level psychologists; licensed clinical psychotherapists; licensed professional or practical nurses examining attending or treating a child under the age of 18; teachers, school administrators or other employees of a school which the child is attending; chief administrative officers of medical care facilities; licensed marriage and family therapists; licensed clinical marriage and family therapists; licensed professional counselors; registered alcohol and drug abuse counselors; person licensed by the secretary of health and environment to provide child care services or the employees of persons licensed at the place where the child care services are being provided to the child; licensed social workers; firefighters; emergency medical services personnel; mediators appointed under K.S. A 23-602 and amendments thereto; juvenile intake and assessment workers; and law enforcement officers.
- •The State of Kansas requires reporting of any suspected Abuse, Neglect, Exploitation or Fiduciary Abuse to SRS for review and follow-up within a reasonable time frame. Based on the age of the child, nature of the allegation, continued access of the perpetrator to the child, and other factors, department personnel establish the maximum response time for the report. If the report alleges that a child is in immediate, serious, physical danger, the SRS case work must take immediate action and/or request law enforcement assistance. If the report alleges that a child is not in immediate, serious, physical danger, but the report alleges critical neglect or physical/sexual abuse, SRS must respond within 72 hours. If the report alleges that a child is not in immediate, serious, physical danger and the report does not allege physical or sexual abuse or neglect, SRS must respond within 20 working days.
- •Reporters can call the Kansas Protection Report Center in-state toll free at 1-800-922-5330. Telephone lines are staffed in the report center 24 hours a day, including holidays. In the event of an emergency, a report can be made to local law enforcement or 911.

The report may be made orally and shall be followed by a written report if requested. When the suspicion is the result of medical examination or treatment of a child by a member of the staff of a medical care facility or similar institution, that the staff member shall immediately notify the superintendent, manager or other person in charge of the institution who shall make a written report forthwith. Every written report shall contain if known, the names and addresses of the child and the child's parents or other persons responsible for the child's care, the child's age, the nature and extent of the child's injury (including any evidence of previous injuries) and any other information that the maker of the report believes might be helpful in establishing the cause of the injuries and the identity of the persons responsible for the injuries.(b) Any other person who has reason to suspect that a child has been injured as a result of physical, mental or emotional abuse or neglect or sexual abuse may report the matter as provided in subsection (c) or (e). (c) Except as provided by subsection (e), reports made pursuant to this section shall be made to the state department of social and rehabilitation services. When the department is not open for business, the reports shall be made to the appropriate law enforcement agency. On the next day that the state department of social and

rehabilitation services is open for business, the law enforcement agency shall report to the department any report received and any investigation initiated pursuant to subsection (a) of K.S.A. 38-1524 and amendments thereto. The reports may be made orally or on request of the department in writing. (d) Any person who is required by this section to report an injury to a child and who knows of the death of a child shall notify immediately the coroner as provided by K.S.A.22a-242 and amendments thereto. (e) Reports of child abuse or neglect occurring in an institution operated by the secretary of social and rehabilitation services or the commissioner of juvenile justice shall be made to the attorney general. All other reports of child abuse or neglect by persons employed by or of children of persons employed by the state department of social and rehabilitation services or the juvenile justice authority shall by made to the appropriate law enforcement agency. (f) Willful and knowing failure to make a report required by this section is a class B misdemeanor. (g) Preventing or interfering with, the intent to prevent, the making of a report required by the section is a class B misdemeanor.

- **c. Participant Training and Education.** Describe how training and/or information is provided to participants (and/or families or legal representatives, as appropriate) concerning protections from abuse, neglect, and exploitation, including how participants (and/or families or legal representatives, as appropriate) can notify appropriate authorities or entities when the participant may have experienced abuse, neglect or exploitation.
 - •The participant's chosen KanCare MCO provides information and resources to all consumers and caregivers regarding strategies to identify, prevent, report, and correct any instances of potential Abuse, Neglect or Exploitation. Information and training on these subjects is provided by the MCOs to members in the member handbook, is available for review at any time on the MCO member website, and is reviewed with each member, by the care management staff responsible for service plan development, during the annual process of plan of care/service plan development. Depending upon the individual needs of each member, additional training or information is made available and related needs are addressed in the individual's service plan. The information provided by the MCOs is consistent with the state's abuse, neglect and exploitation incident reporting and management process (although the MCOs also have additional incident management information and processes beyond those regarding reporting/management of member abuse, neglect and exploitation).
- **d. Responsibility for Review of and Response to Critical Events or Incidents.** Specify the entity (or entities) that receives reports of critical events or incidents specified in item G-1-a, the methods that are employed to evaluate such reports, and the processes and time-frames for responding to critical events or incidents, including conducting investigations.
 - •• The entity that receives reports of each type of critical event or incident: Kansas Department for Children and Families.
 - The entity that is responsible for evaluating reports and how reports are evaluated. Kansas Department for Children and Families (DCF) Intake Unit is responsible for receiving reports and determining if each report is screened in or out based on current policies identified in The Kansas Economic and Employment Support Manual [KEESM] for screening reports [12210]. If the report indicates criminal activity, local law enforcement is notified immediately.
 - The timeframes for conducting an investigation and completing an investigation. For children, the State of Kansas requires reporting of any suspected Abuse, Neglect, Exploitation or Fiduciary Abuse of a child to DCF for review and follow-up. If the report alleges that a child is not in immediate, serious, physical danger, but the report alleges critical neglect or physical/sexual abuse, DCF must respond within 72 hours. If the report alleges that a child is not in immediate, serious, physical danger and the report does not allege physical or sexual abuse or neglect, DCF must respond within 20 working days. By policy, Children and Family Services (CFS) is required to make a case finding in 25 working days from case assignment.

For adults, the State of Kansas requires reporting of any suspected Abuse, Neglect, Exploitation or Fiduciary Abuse of an adult to DCF for review and follow-up. K.S.A. 39-1433 establishes time frames for personal visits with involved adults and due dates for findings for DCF investigations. This statute identifies the following:

- 1. Twenty-four (24) clock hours if the involved adult's health or welfare is in imminent danger.
- 2. Three (3) working days if the involved adult has been abused but is not in imminent danger.
- 3. Five (5) working days if the adult has been neglected or exploited and there is no imminent danger.
- The entity that is responsible for conducting investigations and how investigations are conducted. Kansas Department for Children and Families is responsible for contacting the involved adult, alleged perpetrator and all other collaterals to obtain relevant information for investigation purposes.
- 1. Interview the involved adult. If the involved adult has a legal guardian or conservator, contact the guardian and/or conservator.

2. Assess the risk of the involved adult.

decision, the date on the Case Finding CFS-2011.

- 3. The APS social worker should attempt to obtain a written release from involved adult or their guardian to receive/review relevant records maintained by others.
- The process and timeframes for informing the participant including the participant (or the participant's family or legal representative as appropriate) and other relevant parties (e.g., the waiver providers, licensing and regulatory authorities, the waiver operating agency) of the investigation results.

 2540 Notice of Department Finding:

The Notice of Department Finding for family reports is CFS 2012. The Notice of Department Finding for facility

reports is CFS 2013. The Notice of Department Finding informs pertinent persons who have a need to know of the contract of the
outcome of an investigation of child abuse/neglect. The Notice of Department Finding also provides persons
information regarding the appeal process. The following persons must receive a notice:
☐ The parents of the child who was alleged to have been maltreated
☐ The alleged perpetrator
☐ Child, as applicable if the child lives separate from the family
☐ Contractor providing services to the family if the family is receiving services from a CFS contract
☐ The director of the facility or the child placing agency of a foster home if abuse occurred in a facility or foster
home
☐ Kansas Department of Health and Environment if abuse occurred in a facility or a foster home
The Notice of Department Finding shall be mailed on the same day, or the next working day, as the case finding

All case decisions/findings shall be staffed with the APS Supervisor/designee and a finding shall be made within (30) working days of receiving the report [K.S.A. 39-1433(a)(3)].

KEESM [12360] allows for joint investigations with KDADS licensed facilities per the option of the DCF Service Center and the facility. Joint investigations require a Memorandum of Agreement between the DCF Service Center and the facility which must be approved by the DCF Central Office APS Attorney. Additionally, the KEESM manual [12230] requires copies of facility based reports be sent to the KDADS Regional Field Staff.

- e. Responsibility for Oversight of Critical Incidents and Events. Identify the State agency (or agencies) responsible for overseeing the reporting of and response to critical incidents or events that affect waiver participants, how this oversight is conducted, and how frequently.
 - •• The state entity or entities responsible for overseeing the operation of the incident management system.

Kansas Department for Children and Families, Division of Adult Protective Services is responsible for overseeing the reporting of and response to all critical incidents and events. Adult Protective Services maintains a data base of all critical incidents/events and makes available the contents of the data base to the Kansas Department for Aging and Disablity Services and the Kansas Department of Health and Environment, single state Medicaid agency, on an on-going basis.

• The methods for overseeing the operation of the incident management system, including how data are collected, compiled, and used to prevent re-occurrence.

Collaboration between the KDADS Field Staff and APS Social Worker includes meeting on a monthly basis to review trends and severity of Critical Events. KDADS Field Staff identify trends and severity with PD waiver providers to ensure adequate services and supports are in place.

The Performance Improvement Program Manger of KDADS, Community Supports & Programs, and the DCF Adult Protective Services Program Manager gather, trend and evaluate data from multiple sources that is reported to the KDADS CSP Director and the State Medicaid Agency.

This information will also be a monitoring, reporting and follow up element of the comprehensive KanCare quality improvement strategy, managed by an Interagency Monitoring Team to support overall quality improvement activities for the KanCare program.

Frequency of oversight activities

KDADS conducts on-going, on-site, in-person reviews to educate and assess the consumer's knowledge and ability

and freedom to prevent or report information about Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation. If it is determined that there is suspected for Abuse, Neglect or Exploitation, the KDADS Field Staff report immediately. Any areas of vulnerability would be identified for additional training and assurance of education. KDADS Field Staff will be conducting a portion of these reviews with MCO staff, and over time the MCO staff will also be responsible for ensuring these issues are effectively in place for waiver participants, as part of the overall KanCare quality improvement strategy.

Appendix G: Participant Safeguards

Appendix G-2: Safeguards Concerning Restraints and Restrictive Interventions (1 of 2)

- a. Use of Restraints or Seclusion. (Select one):
 - The State does not permit or prohibits the use of restraints or seclusion

Specify the State agency (or agencies) responsible for detecting the unauthorized use of restraints or seclusion and how this oversight is conducted and its frequency:

• The state agency (or agencies) responsible for overseeing the use of restraint or seclusion and ensuring that the state's safeguards are followed.

The Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services (KDADS) has primary responsibility for overseeing this issue, and works with the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), as part of the comprehensive KanCare quality improvement strategy to monitor this service issue.

• Methods for detecting unauthorized use, over use or inappropriate, ineffective use of restraint or seclusion and ensuring that all applicable state requirements are followed.

KDADS conducts on-going, on-site, in-person reviews to educate and assess the consumer's knowledge, ability and freedom from the use of restraint or seclusion. If it is determined that there is suspected un-authorized use, the KDADS Field Staff report immediately. Any areas of vulnerability would be identified for additional training and assurance of non-aversive methods. KDADS Field Staff will be conducting a portion of these reviews with MCO staff, and over time the MCO staff will also be responsible for ensuring these issues are effectively in place for waiver participants, as part of the overall KanCare quality improvement strategy.

• How data are analyzed to identify trends and patterns and support improvement strategies; and the methods for overseeing the operation of the incident management system including how data are collected, compiled, and used to prevent re-occurrence.

KDADS Field Staff conduct on-going, on-site, in-person reviews with the consumer and his/her informal supports and paid staff supports to ensure there is no use of restraint or seclusion. Additionally, KDADS Field staff review planning for each individual to ensure appropriate supports and services are in place to eliminate the need for restrictive intervention. On the rare occurrence of detection, the incident is addressed immediately. Any areas of vulnerability would be identified for additional training and assurance of non-aversive methods. KDADS Field Staff will be conducting a portion of these reviews with MCO staff, and over time the MCO staff will also be responsible for ensuring these issues are effectively in place for waiver participants, as part of the overall KanCare quality improvement strategy.

- The frequency of oversight: Continuous and ongoing.
- The use of restraints or seclusion is permitted during the course of the delivery of waiver services. Complete Items G-2-a-i and G-2-a-ii.
 - i. Safeguards Concerning the Use of Restraints or Seclusion. Specify the safeguards that the State has established concerning the use of each type of restraint (i.e., personal restraints, drugs used as restraints, mechanical restraints or seclusion). State laws, regulations, and policies that are referenced are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

ii. State Oversight Responsibility. Specify the State agency (or agencies) responsible for overseeing the use of restraints or seclusion and ensuring that State safeguards concerning their use are followed and how such oversight is conducted and its frequency:

Appendix G: Participant Safeguards

Appendix G-2: Safeguards Concerning Restraints and Restrictive Interventions (2 of 2)

b. Use of Restrictive Interventions. (Select one):

The State does not permit or prohibits the use of restrictive interventions

Specify the State agency (or agencies) responsible for detecting the unauthorized use of restrictive interventions and how this oversight is conducted and its frequency:

• The state agency (or agencies) responsible for overseeing the use of restrictive interventions and ensuring that the state's safeguards are followed.

The Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services (KDADS) has primary responsibility for overseeing this issue, and works with the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), as part of the comprehensive KanCare quality improvement strategy to monitor this service issue.

• Methods for detecting unauthorized use, over use or inappropriate, ineffective use of restrictive interventions and ensuring that all applicable state requirements are followed.

KDADS conducts on-going, on-site, in-person reviews to educate and assess the consumer's knowledge, ability and freedom from the use of unauthorized restrictive interventions. If it is determined that there is suspected un-authorized use, the KDADS Field Staff report immediately. Any areas of vulnerability would be identified for additional training and assurance of non-aversive methods. KDADS Field Staff will be conducting a portion of these reviews with MCO staff, and over time the MCO staff will also be responsible for ensuring these issues are effectively in place for waiver participants, as part of the overall KanCare quality improvement strategy.

• How data are analyzed to identify trends and patterns and support improvement strategies; and the methods for overseeing the operation of the incident management system including how data are collected, compiled, and used to prevent re-occurrence.

KDADS Field Staff conduct on-going, on-site, in-person reviews with the consumer and his/her informal supports and paid staff supports to ensure there is no use of unauthorized restrictive interventions. Additionally, KDADS Field staff review planning for each individual to ensure appropriate supports and services are in place to eliminate the need for restrictive intervention. On the rare occurrence of detection, the incident is addressed immediately. Any areas of vulnerability would be identified for additional training and assurance of non-aversive methods. KDADS Field Staff will be conducting a portion of these reviews with MCO staff, and over time the MCO staff will also be responsible for ensuring these issues are effectively in place for waiver participants, as part of the overall KanCare quality improvement strategy.

• The frequency of oversight: Continuous and ongoing.

- The use of restrictive interventions is permitted during the course of the delivery of waiver services Complete Items G-2-b-i and G-2-b-ii.
 - i. Safeguards Concerning the Use of Restrictive Interventions. Specify the safeguards that the State has in effect concerning the use of interventions that restrict participant movement, participant access to other individuals, locations or activities, restrict participant rights or employ aversive methods (not including restraints or seclusion) to modify behavior. State laws, regulations, and policies referenced in the specification are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency.

Application	for 1915(c) HCBS Waiver: KS.0476.R01.01 - Jan 01, 2013 (as of Jan 01 Page 103 of 136
	ii. State Oversight Responsibility. Specify the State agency (or agencies) responsible for monitoring and overseeing the use of restrictive interventions and how this oversight is conducted and its frequency:
Annondiv	G: Participant Safeguards
	Appendix G-3: Medication Management and Administration (1 of 2)
This Appendix unlicensed livi The Appendix	must be completed when waiver services are furnished to participants who are served in licensed or ing arrangements where a provider has round-the-clock responsibility for the health and welfare of residents. does not need to be completed when waiver participants are served exclusively in their own personal in the home of a family member.
a. Applic	rability. Select one:
	o. This Appendix is not applicable (do not complete the remaining items) es. This Appendix applies (complete the remaining items)
b. Medic	ation Management and Follow-Up
i.	Responsibility. Specify the entity (or entities) that have ongoing responsibility for monitoring participant medication regimens, the methods for conducting monitoring, and the frequency of monitoring.
ii.	Methods of State Oversight and Follow-Up. Describe: (a) the method(s) that the State uses to ensure that participant medications are managed appropriately, including: (a) the identification of potentially harmful practices (e.g., the concurrent use of contraindicated medications); (b) the method(s) for following up on potentially harmful practices; and, (c) the State agency (or agencies) that is responsible for follow-up and oversight.
	G: Participant Safeguards Appendix G-3: Medication Management and Administration (2 of 2)
c. Medic	ation Administration by Waiver Providers
A	nswers provided in G-3-a indicate you do not need to complete this section
i.	Provider Administration of Medications. Select one:
	Not applicable. (do not complete the remaining items)
	Waiver providers are responsible for the administration of medications to waiver participants who cannot self-administer and/or have responsibility to oversee participant self-administration of medications. (complete the remaining items)

ii. State Policy. Summarize the State policies that apply to the administration of medications by waiver providers or waiver provider responsibilities when participants self-administer medications, including (if applicable) policies concerning medication administration by non-medical waiver provider personnel. State laws, regulations, and policies referenced in the specification are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

iii.	Med	lication Error Reporting. Select one of the following:
		Providers that are responsible for medication administration are required to both record and report medication errors to a State agency (or agencies). Complete the following three items:
		(a) Specify State agency (or agencies) to which errors are reported:
		(b) Specify the types of medication errors that providers are required to <i>record</i> :
		(c) Specify the types of medication errors that providers must <i>report</i> to the State:
	\bigcirc	Providers responsible for medication administration are required to record medication errors but make information about medication errors available only when requested by the State.
		Specify the types of medication errors that providers are required to record:
iv.	perfe	e Oversight Responsibility. Specify the State agency (or agencies) responsible for monitoring the ormance of waiver providers in the administration of medications to waiver participants and how itoring is performed and its frequency.

Appendix G: Participant Safeguards

Quality Improvement: Health and Welfare

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

a. Methods for Discovery: Health and Welfare

The State, on an ongoing basis, identifies, addresses and seeks to prevent the occurrence of abuse, neglect and exploitation.

i. Performance Measures

For each performance measure/indicator the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator. Each performance measure must be specific to this waiver (i.e., data presented must be waiver specific).

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

Performance Standard =100%; Measure = total number of enrolled waiver participants / families in sample VS sample number of participants/families that identify they know how to prevent, protect from, and report abuse, neglect and exploitation.

Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specify: Autism Quality Review Pro			
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of collection/get (check each to	neration	Sampling Approach(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly		☐ 100% Review
✓ Operating Agency	Monthly	7	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	 Quarter	ly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95%
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annuall	у	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continu Ongoing	ously and	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:		
Data Aggregation and Anal Responsible Party for data and analysis (check each the	aggregation		f data aggregation and k each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	y	☐ Weekly	•
Operating Agency		Monthly	,
Sub-State Entity		Quarter	ly

Annually

KanCare MCOs contracting with

Other

Specify:

Kansas.

Responsible Party for data and analysis (check each the			data aggregation and k each that applies):
		Continu	ously and Ongoing
		Other Specify:	
	cipants with v	erification of a	ed waiver participants in san adequate training to prevent 1.
Oata Source (Select one): Other f 'Other' is selected, specify: Autism Quality Review Pro			
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of collection/ge (check each to	neration	Sampling Approach(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly		☐ 100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Ÿ	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	 Quarter	ly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95%
Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annuall	у	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continu Ongoin	ously and	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:		

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
✓ State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:
Performance Measure: Performance Standard =100%; Measure nvestigated VS total number of each type	

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Child Protective Services D	ata Base	-
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	№ 100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Responsible Party for data and analysis (check each the	at applies):	analysis(chec	f data aggregation and k each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	y	Weekly	
✓ Operating Agency		Monthly	
Sub-State Entity		Quarter	
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contract Kansas.	cting with	Annually	y
		Continu	ously and Ongoing
		Other	
		Specify:	
erformance Standard =10 legation VS total number ata Source (Select one): other 'Other' is selected, specify:	of each type i		er of each type of substantia
erformance Standard =10 llegation VS total number Data Source (Select one): Other f 'Other' is selected, specify: Child Protective Services D Responsible Party for data collection/generation	of each type is oata Base Frequency of collection/ge	nvestigated. f data neration	Sampling Approach(checked)
erformance Standard =10 llegation VS total number Data Source (Select one): Other f'Other' is selected, specify: Child Protective Services D Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	eata Base Frequency of collection/ge	nvestigated. f data neration	Sampling Approach(checked) each that applies):
erformance Standard =10 llegation VS total number Data Source (Select one): Other f 'Other' is selected, specify: Child Protective Services D Responsible Party for data collection/generation	of each type is oata Base Frequency of collection/ge	nvestigated. f data neration	Sampling Approach(check
Data Source (Select one): Other f 'Other' is selected, specify: Child Protective Services D Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies): State Medicaid	eata Base Frequency of collection/ge	nvestigated. of data eneration what applies):	Sampling Approach(checked) each that applies):
erformance Standard =10 llegation VS total number Pata Source (Select one): Other E'Other' is selected, specify: Child Protective Services D Responsible Party for lata collection/generation Echeck each that applies): State Medicaid Agency	eata Base Frequency o collection/ge (check each t	of data special one of the speci	Sampling Approach(checkeach that applies): 100% Review Less than 100%

	Continuously and Ongoing		Other Specify:	
	Other Specify:			
Data Aggregation and Anal	ysis:			
Responsible Party for data and analysis (check each the			data aggregation and k each that applies):	
V State Medicaid Agency	7	Weekly	**	
✓ Operating Agency		Monthly		
Sub-State Entity		Quarterl	ly	
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contrac Kansas.	ting with	Annually	у	
		Continuo	ously and Ongoing	
		Other Specify:		
Performance Measure: Performance Standard=100 In sample VS sample number participant is safe when recommended Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specify: Autism Quality Review Pro	er of waiver p eiving HCBS	articipants / fa		
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):		Sampling Approach(check each that applies):	
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly		100% Review	
✓ Operating Agency	Monthly	y	Less than 100% Review	
Sub-State Entity	 Quarter	rly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95%	

Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annually		Stratified Describe Group:	
	Continu Ongoing	ously and	Other Specify:	
	Other Specify:			
ata Aggregation and Anal Responsible Party for data nd analysis (check each the	aggregation		data aggregation and k each that applies):	
State Medicaid Agency	y	Weekly	Weekly	
Operating Agency		☐ Monthly ☑ Quarterly		
Sub-State Entity				
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contract Kansas.	cting with	Annually	7	
Specify: KanCare MCOs contrac	cting with		ously and Ongoing	
Specify: KanCare MCOs contrac	cting with			
Specify: KanCare MCOs contrac Kansas. erformance Measure: erformance Standard = 10 ample number of support s and report abuse, neglect an	0%; Measure staff that iden	Continuo Other Specify: = total numbe tify they know	ously and Ongoing r of support staff in sample V	
Specify: KanCare MCOs contract Kansas. erformance Measure: erformance Standard =10 ample number of support send report abuse, neglect and are port abuse, neglect are port selection. Other f'Other' is selected, specify:	0%; Measure staff that iden nd exploitation	Continuo Other Specify: = total numbe tify they know		
Specify: KanCare MCOs contract Kansas. erformance Measure: erformance Standard = 10c ample number of support so nd report abuse, neglect an Data Source (Select one): Other f 'Other' is selected, specify: Autism Quality Review Pro Responsible Party for data collection/generation	0%; Measure staff that iden nd exploitation	Continuo Other Specify: = total numbe tify they know n. f data neration	ously and Ongoing r of support staff in sample V	
Specify: KanCare MCOs contrac Kansas. erformance Measure: erformance Standard =10	0%; Measure staff that idented exploitation occass	Continuo Other Specify: = total numbe tify they know n. f data neration	ously and Ongoing r of support staff in sample V how to prevent, protect from	

Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 95%
Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	☐ Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
✓ State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	 Quarterly
OtherSpecify:KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

Collaboration between the KDADS Field Staff and DCF-APS Social Worker occurs on an on-going basis to review trends and severity of Critical Events. KDADS Field Staff identify trends and severity with PD waiver providers to ensure adequate services and supports are in place. Additionally, KDADS conducts ongoing, on-site, in-person reviews to educate and assess the consumer's knowledge and ability and freedom to prevent or report information about Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation. If it is determined that there is suspected Abuse, Neglect or Exploitation, the KDADS Field Staff report immediately. Any areas of vulnerability would be identified for additional training and assurance of education. KDADS Field Staff will be conducting a portion of these reviews with MCO staff, and over time the MCO staff will also be responsible for ensuring these issues are effectively in place for waiver participants, as part of the overall

KanCare quality improvement strategy.

DCF's Division of Adult Protective Services is responsible for overseeing the reporting of and response to all critical incidents and events. Adult Protective Services maintains a data base of all critical incidents/events and makes available the contents of the data base to the KDADS and KDHE on an on-going basis. The Performance Improvement Program Manager of KDADS-Community Services and Programs, and the DCF Adult Protective Services Program Manager, and Children and Family Services gather, trend and evaluate data from multiple sources that is reported to the KDADS-Community Services and Programs Director and the State Medicaid Agency.

These measures and collection/reporting protocols, together with others that are part of the KanCare MCO contract, are included in a statewide comprehensive KanCare quality improvement strategy which is regularly reviewed and adjusted. (The QIS is reviewed at least annually, and adjusted as necessary based upon that review.) That plan is contributed to and monitored through a state interagency monitoring team, which includes program managers, fiscal staff and other relevant staff/resources from both the state Medicaid agency and the state operating agency.

b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

i. Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items.
KDADS-Community Services & Programs is responsible for oversight of critical events/incidents, and unauthorized use of restraints/restrictive procedures, in accordance with Kansas regulatory and statutory requirements. Oversight of regulatory standards and statute is conducted by KDADS Field Staff.

DCF-Child Protective Services (CPS) and DCF-Adult Protective Services (APS) maintain data bases of all critical incidents and events. CPS and APS maintain data bases of all critical incidents and events and make available the contents of the data base to KDADS and KDHE through quarterly reporting.

KDADS and DCF-Child Protective Services (CPS) and DCF-Adult Protective Services (APS) meet on a quarterly basis to trend data, develop evidence-based decisions, and identify opportunities for provider improvement and/or training.

State staff request, approve, and assure implementation of contractor corrective action planning and/or technical assistance to address non-compliance with performance standards as detected through on-site monitoring, MCO compliance monitoring, survey results and other performance monitoring. These processes are monitored by both contract managers and other relevant state staff, depending upon the type of issue involved, and results tracked consistent with the statewide quality improvement strategy and the operating protocols of the Interagency Monitoring Team.

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation

Remediation related Data Aggregation and Applysis (including transl identification)

Remediation-related Data Aggregation and Analysis (including trend identificat	
Responsible Party (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
▼ State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
▽ Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
✓ Other	Annually
	✓ Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Responsible Party(check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):

c. Timelines

When the State does not have all elements of the Quality Improvement Strategy in place, provide timelines to design methods for discovery and remediation related to the assurance of Health and Welfare that are currently non-operational.

No

O Yes

Please provide a detailed strategy for assuring Health and Welfare, the specific timeline for implementing identified strategies, and the parties responsible for its operation.

Appendix H: Quality Improvement Strategy (1 of 2)

Under §1915(c) of the Social Security Act and 42 CFR §441.302, the approval of an HCBS waiver requires that CMS determine that the State has made satisfactory assurances concerning the protection of participant health and welfare, financial accountability and other elements of waiver operations. Renewal of an existing waiver is contingent upon review by CMS and a finding by CMS that the assurances have been met. By completing the HCBS waiver application, the State specifies how it has designed the waiver's critical processes, structures and operational features in order to meet these assurances.

Quality Improvement is a critical operational feature that an organization employs to continually determine whether it operates in accordance with the approved design of its program, meets statutory and regulatory assurances and requirements, achieves desired outcomes, and identifies opportunities for improvement.

CMS recognizes that a state's waiver Quality Improvement Strategy may vary depending on the nature of the waiver target population, the services offered, and the waiver's relationship to other public programs, and will extend beyond regulatory requirements. However, for the purpose of this application, the State is expected to have, at the minimum, systems in place to measure and improve its own performance in meeting six specific waiver assurances and requirements.

It may be more efficient and effective for a Quality Improvement Strategy to span multiple waivers and other long-term care services. CMS recognizes the value of this approach and will ask the state to identify other waiver programs and long-term care services that are addressed in the Quality Improvement Strategy.

Quality Improvement Strategy: Minimum Components

The Quality Improvement Strategy that will be in effect during the period of the approved waiver is described throughout the waiver in the appendices corresponding to the statutory assurances and sub-assurances. Other documents cited must be available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if appropriate).

In the QMS discovery and remediation sections throughout the application (located in Appendices A, B, C, D, G, and I) , a state spells out:

- The evidence based discovery activities that will be conducted for each of the six major waiver assurances;
- The *remediation* activities followed to correct individual problems identified in the implementation of each of the assurances:

In Appendix H of the application, a State describes (1) the *system improvement* activities followed in response to aggregated, analyzed discovery and remediation information collected on each of the assurances; (2) the correspondent *roles/responsibilities* of those conducting assessing and prioritizing improving system corrections and improvements; and (3) the processes the state will follow to continuously *assess the effectiveness of the QMS* and revise it as necessary and appropriate.

If the State's Quality Improvement Strategy is not fully developed at the time the waiver application is submitted, the state may provide a work plan to fully develop its Quality Improvement Strategy, including the specific tasks the State plans to

undertake during the period the waiver is in effect, the major milestones associated with these tasks, and the entity (or entities) responsible for the completion of these tasks.

When the Quality Improvement Strategy spans more than one waiver and/or other types of long-term care services under the Medicaid State plan, specify the control numbers for the other waiver programs and/or identify the other long-term services that are addressed in the Quality Improvement Strategy. In instances when the QMS spans more than one waiver, the State must be able to stratify information that is related to each approved waiver program.

Appendix H: Quality Improvement Strategy (2 of 2)

H-1: Systems Improvement

a. System Improvements

i. Describe the process(es) for trending, prioritizing, and implementing system improvements (i.e., design changes) prompted as a result of an analysis of discovery and remediation information.

The Kansas Quality Improvement Strategy (KQIS) provides oversight, monitoring and continuous improvement strategies in accordance the CMS Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS) Quality Framework. The framework serves as the driving force for systems with regard to health and safety assurances, as well as, continual systems improvement to promote and assure quality of life for participants. This approach will provide Kansas participants the opportunities to directly impact and move the service system in a manner which truly defines participant-centered approaches and outcomes. The Quality Improvement system is data-based and outcome-focused. The system provides for data-based decision making to improve the individual lives of each participant while pro-actively building statewide capacity. The KQIS is a two-prong approach utilizing identification of minimum standard compliance and assurance of corrective action to address systematic weaknesses while simultaneously utilizing the data to identify best practices to promote participant independence, productivity, community inclusion and opportunities for systems improvement to promote participant quality of life.

Statewide/Regional/Provider data is compiled, trended, reviewed, and disseminated to providers through the Performance Improvement Analysis Process. Each provider receives annual data trending which identifies provider specific performance levels related to statewide performance standards and statewide averages. Improvement Plan requests and/or technical assistance to remediate negative trending are included in annual provider reports where negative trending is evidenced. The state has a system intervention process in place that allows participants across the state to review cross-service system data to identify trends and opportunities for improvement related to all Kansas waivers, policy and procedure development and systems change initiatives. This systems integration process involves establishing relationships between parties that result in common goals, mission, and philosophy.

The following Performance Improvement Analysis Process occurs on an annual basis.

- 1. Performance Improvement Data Aggregation (Central Office Quality Program Management)
- 2. Performance Improvement Analysis Process including:
- a. Community Choice Reflection Team (100% consumer members) review of statewide data versus local provider trends)
- b. Performance Improvement Review Committee (Central Office Quality Program Management and Regional SRS field staff)
 - c. Performance Improvement Executive Review Committee (Central Office Assistant Director, Quality Program Management and waiver program managers.)
- 3. Performance Improvement Waiver Report provided to Kansas Health Policy Authority via the KHPA Long Term Care Committee, for review by the State Medicaid Agency (SSMA).

Data gathered by SRS/DBHS/CSS Regional Staff during the Quality Survey Process is provided quarterly to the SRS/DBHS/CSS Performance Improvement Review Committee [Chaired by Quality Program Management / staffed by Regional Field Staff statewide] for evaluation and trending to identify areas for improvement. Upon completion of identified areas of improvement this information is compiled into an executive report (quarterly and annually) which is submitted to the Performance Improvement Executive Committee [Chaired by the Assistant Director SRS/DBHS/CSS / staffed by Waiver Program Managers, Quality Program Management]. The Performance Improvement Executive Committee generates corrective action planning and improvement planning which is submitted to the Director of SRS/DBHS/CSS, the Medicaid Operating Agency, for review and approval/denial and sent to the Kansas Health Policy Authority (KPHA) via the KPHA Long Term Care Committee for review by the State Medicaid Agency (SSMA). The

approval/denial from the Director of SRS/DBHS/CSS would be returned to the Performance Improvement Executive Committee for corrective action or planning for implementation of improvement.

ii. System Improvement Activities

Responsible Party(check each that applies):	Frequency of Monitoring and Analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	✓ Quarterly
Quality Improvement Committee	Annually
Other Specify:	Other Specify:

b. System Design Changes

i. Describe the process for monitoring and analyzing the effectiveness of system design changes. Include a description of the various roles and responsibilities involved in the processes for monitoring & assessing system design changes. If applicable, include the State's targeted standards for systems improvement.

Statewide/Regional/Provider data is compiled, trended, reviewed, and disseminated to providers through the Performance Improvement Analysis Process. Each provider receives annual data trending which identifies provider specific performance levels related to statewide performance standards and statewide averages. Improvement Plan requests and/or technical assistance to remediate negative trending are included in annual provider reports where negative trending is evidenced. The state has a system intervention process in place that allows participants across the state to review cross-service system data to identify trends and opportunities for improvement related to all Kansas waivers, policy and procedure development and systems change initiatives. This systems integration process involves establishing relationships between parties that result in common goals, mission, and philosophy.

The following Performance Improvement Analysis Process occurs on an annual basis.

- 1. Performance Improvement Data Aggregation (Central Office Quality Program Management)
- 2. Performance Improvement Analysis Process including:
- a. Community Choice Reflection Team (100% consumer members) review of statewide data versus local provider trends)
- b. Performance Improvement Review Committee (Central Office Quality Program Management and Regional field staff)
 - c. Performance Improvement Executive Review Committee (Central Office Assistant Director, Quality Program Management and waiver program managers.)
- 3. Performance Improvement Waiver Report provided to Kansas Health Policy Authority via the KHPA Long Term Care Committee, for review by the State Medicaid Agency (SSMA).

Data gathered by SRS/DBHS/CSS Regional Staff during the Quality Survey Process is provided quarterly to the SRS/DBHS/CSS Performance Improvement Review Committee [Chaired by Quality Program Management / staffed by Regional Field Staff statewide] for evaluation and trending to identify areas for improvement. Upon completion of identified areas of improvement this information is compiled into an executive report (quarterly and annually) which is submitted to the Performance Improvement Executive Committee [Chaired by the Assistant Director SRS/DBHS/CSS / staffed by Waiver Program Managers, Quality Program Management]. The Performance Improvement Executive Committee generates corrective action planning and improvement planning which is submitted to the Director of SRS/DBHS/CSS, the Medicaid Operating Agency, for review and approval/denial and sent to the Kansas Health Policy Authority (KPHA) via the KPHA Long Term Care Committee for review by the State Medicaid Agency (SSMA). The approval/denial from the Director of SRS/DBHS/CSS would be returned to the Performance Improvement Executive Committee for corrective action or planning for implementation of improvement.

Kansas Health Policy Authority (KHPA), the single state Medicaid agency, and the Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services (SRS) work together to develop state operating agency priority identification regarding all waiver assurances and minimum standards / basic assurances. SRS/DBHS/CSS works in partnership with consumers, Community Choice Reflection Teams (100% primary consumers of services & families), advocacy organizations, provider groups and other interested stakeholders to tailor the performance standards and establish priorities for remediation and improvement. Included in the Kansas Quality Improvement Strategy evaluation is review of current processes, human resources, current tools, data availability and compilation, corrective action planning processes, and remediation, improvement and follow-up processes.

ii. Describe the process to periodically evaluate, as appropriate, the Quality Improvement Strategy.

The KQIS is specifically reviewed and / or revised at least annually and as determined appropriate by SRS/DBHS/CSS.

Annual periodic evaluation and revision is conducted with input from:
Community Choice Reflection Teams (100% primary consumers of services & families)
Performance Improvement Review Committee
Providers and other stakeholders
Performance Improvement Executive Committee

Annual reviews of protocol / forms / processes are approved by: Community Choice Reflection Teams (100% primary consumers of services & families) SRS/DBHS/CSS

KHPA (SRS/DBHS/CSS meets with KHPA during 9 of the 12 monthly meetings to discuss the KQIS)

SRS/DBHS/CSS Performance Improvement Review Committee [Chaired by Quality Program Management/ staffed by Regional Field Staff statewide] utilizes input from the above identified groups to make recommendations for annual revision to the Kansas Quality Improvement Strategy. This information is compiled into an executive report (quarterly and annually) which is submitted to the Performance Improvement Executive Committee [Chaired by the Assistant Director SRS/DBHS/CSS staffed by Waiver Program Managers, Quality Program Management]. The Performance Improvement Executive Committee generates corrective action planning and improvement planning which is submitted to the Director of SRS/DBHS/CSS of the Medicaid Operating Agency for review and approval/denial and sent to the Kansas Health Policy Authority (KPHA) via the KPHA Long Term Care Committee for review by the State Medicaid Agency (SSMA). The approval/denial from the Director of SRS/DBHS/CSS would be returned to the Performance Improvement Executive Committee for corrective action or planning for implementation of improvement.

Appendix I: Financial Accountability

I-1: Financial Integrity and Accountability

Financial Integrity. Describe the methods that are employed to ensure the integrity of payments that have been made for waiver services, including: (a) requirements concerning the independent audit of provider agencies; (b) the financial audit program that the state conducts to ensure the integrity of provider billings for Medicaid payment of waiver services, including the methods, scope and frequency of audits; and, (c) the agency (or agencies) responsible for conducting the financial audit program. State laws, regulations, and policies referenced in the description are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

Based on signed provider agreements, each HCBS provider is required to permit the Kansas Department of Health and Environment, the Kansas Department for Aging and Disabilities (KDADS), their designee, or any other governmental agency acting in its official capacity to examine any records and documents that are necessary to ascertain information pertinent to the determination of the proper amount of a payment due from the Medicaid program. Additionally, the Division of Legislative Post Audit contracts with an independent accounting firm to complete Kansas' statewide single audit on an annual basis. The accounting firm must comply with all requirements contained in the single audit act. The Medicaid program, including all home and community based services waivers is a required component of the single state audit. Independent audits of the waiver will look at cost-effectiveness, the quality of services, service access, and the substantiation of claims for HCBS payments. These issues are addressed in a variety of ways, including: statewide single annual audit; annual financial and other audits of the KanCare MCOs; encounter data, quality of care and other performance reviews/audits; and audits conducted on HCBS providers. There are business practices of the state that result in additional ongoing audit activities that provide infrastructure/safeguards for the HCBS programs, inculding:

- a. Because of other business relationships with the state, each of the following HCBS provider entities are required to obtain and submit annual financial audits, which are reviewed and used to inform their Medicaid business with Kansas: Area Agencies on Aging; Community Mental Health Centers; Community Developmental Disability Organizations; and Centers for Independent Living.
- b. As a core provider requirement, FMS providers must obtain and submit annual financial audits, which are reviewed and used to monitor their Medicaid business with Kansas.

Under the KanCare program, payment for services is being made through the monthly pmpm paid by the state to the contracting MCOs. (The payments the MCOs make to individual providers, who are part of their networks and subject to contracting protections/reviewes/member safeguards.) Payments to MCOs are subject to ongoing monitoring and reporting to CMS, consistent with the Special Terms and Conditions issued with approval of the related 1115 waiver. Those STCs include both monitoring of budget neutrality as well as general financial requirements, and also a robust evaluation of that demonstration project which addresses the impact of the KanCare program on access to care, the quality, efficience, and coordination of care, and the cost of care.

In addition, these services - as part of the comprehensive KanCare managed care program - will be part of the corporate compliance/program integrity activities of each of the KanCare MCOs. That includes both monitoring and enforcement of their provider agreements with each provider member of their network and also a robust treatment, consistent with federal regulation and state law requirements, of prevention, detection, intervention, reporting, correction and remediation program related to fraud, waste, abuse or other impropriety in the delivery of Medicaid services under the KanCare program. The activities include comprehensive utilization management, quality data reporting and monitoring, and a compliance officer dedicated to the KanCare program, with a compliance committee that has access to MCO senior management. As those activities are implemented and outcomes achieved, the MCOs will be providing regular and ad hoc reporting of results. KDHE will have oversight of all portions of the program and the KanCare MCO contracts, and will collaborate with KDADS regarding HCBS program management, including those items that touch on financial integrity and corporate compliance/program integrity. The key component of that collaboration will be through the KanCare Interagency Monitoring Team, an important part of the overall state's KanCare Quality Improvement Strategy, which will provide quality review and monitoring of all aspects of the KanCare program – engaging program management, contract management, and financial management staff from both KDHE and KDADS.

Some of the specific contractual requirements associated with the program integrity efforts of each MCO include:

Coordination of Program Integrity Efforts.

The CONTRACTOR shall coordinate any and all program integrity efforts with KDHE/DHCF personnel and Kansas' Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU), located within the Kansas Attorney General's Office. At a minimum, the CONTRACTOR shall:

- a. Meet monthly, and as required, with the KDHE/DHCF staff and MFCU staff to coordinate reporting of all instances of credible allegations of fraud, as well as all recoupment actions taken against providers;
- b. Provide any and all documentation or information upon request to KDHE/DHCF or MFCU related to any aspect of this contract, including but not limited to policies, procedures, subcontracts, provider agreements, claims data, encounter data, and reports on recoupment actions and receivables;
- c. Report within two (2) working days to the KDHE/DHCF, MFCU, and any appropriate legal authorities any evidence indicating the possibility of fraud and abuse by any member of the provider network; if the CONTRACTOR fails to report any suspected fraud or abuse, the State may invoke any penalties allowed under this contract including, but not limited to, suspension of payments or termination of the contract. Furthermore, the enforcement of penalties under the contract shall not be construed to bar other legal or equitable remedies which may be available to the State or MFCU for noncompliance with this section;
- d. Provide KDHE/DHCF with a quarterly update of investigative activity, including corrective actions taken;
- e. Hire and maintain a staff person in Kansas whose duties shall be composed at least 90% of the time in the oversight and management of the program integrity efforts required under this contract. This person shall be designated as the Program Integrity Manager. The program integrity manager shall have open and immediate access to all claims, claims processing data and any other electronic or paper information required to assure that program integrity activity of the CONTRACTOR is sufficient to meet the requirements of the KDHE/DHCF. The duties shall include, but not be limited to the following:
- (1) Oversight of the program integrity function under this contract;
- (2) Liaison with the State in all matters regarding program integrity;
- (3) Development and operations of a fraud control program within the CONTRACTOR claims payment system;
- (4) Liaison with Kansas' MFCU;
- (5) Assure coordination of efforts with KDHE/DHCF and other agencies concerning program integrity issues.

Appendix I: Financial Accountability

Quality Improvement: Financial Accountability

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

a. Methods for Discovery: Financial Accountability

State financial oversight exists to assure that claims are coded and paid for in accordance with the reimbursement methodology specified in the approved waiver.

i. Performance Measures

For each performance measure/indicator the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator. Each performance measure must be specific to this waiver (i.e., data presented must be waiver specific).

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

Performance Standard =100%; Measure = Number of claims by type received and processed in accordance with the reimbursement methodology specified in the approved waiver VS number of claims by type submitted in accordance with the reimbursement methodology specified in the approved waiver.

Data Source (Select one): **Financial records (including expenditures)** If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	☐ Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:

	Other Specify:			
Pata Aggregation and Anal Responsible Party for data and analysis (check each the	aggregation		data aggregation and k each that applies):	
State Medicaid Agency	7	Weekly		
Operating Agency		Monthly		
Sub-State Entity		Quarterl	y	
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contrac Kansas.	Annuall		у	
		Continuo	ously and Ongoing	
		Other Specify:		
	to support pa	id claims VS t	service recipient records witl otal number of service recip	
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency o collection/ge (check each t	neration	Sampling Approach(check each that applies):	
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly		V 100% Review	
Operating Agency	Monthly	y	Less than 100% Review	
Sub-State Entity	Quarter	ly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =	

KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.		Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
▼ State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
✓ Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	☐ Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

The state established a KanCare Interagency Coordination and Contract Monitoring (KICCM) to ensure effective interagency coordination as well as overall monitoring of MCO contract compliance. This work will be governed by the comprehensive state Quality Improvement Strategy for the KanCare program, a key component of which is the Interagency Monitoring Team that engages program management, contract management and financial management staff of both KDHE and KDADS.

b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

i. Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items.

These measures and collection/reporting protocols, together with others that are part of the KanCare MCO contract, are included in a statewide comprehensive KanCare quality improvement strategy which is regularly reviewed and adjusted. That plan is contributed to and monitored through a state interagency monitoring team, which includes program managers, contract managers, fiscal staff and other relevant staff/resources from both the state Medicaid agency and the state operating agency.

State staff request, approve, and assure implementation of contractor corrective action planning and/or technical assistance to address non-compliance with performance standards as detected through on-site

monitoring, survey results and other performance monitoring. These processes are monitored by both contract managers and other relevant state staff, depending upon the type of issue involved, and results tracked consistent with the statewide quality improvement strategy and the operating protocols of the Interagency Monitoring Team.

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation Remediation-related Data Aggregation and Analysis (including trend identification)

Responsible Party (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
✓ State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify: KanCare MCOs contracting with Kansas.	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

c. Timelines

When the State does not have all elements of the Quality Improvement Strategy in place, provide timelines to design
methods for discovery and remediation related to the assurance of Financial Accountability that are currently non-
operational.

No
Yes

Please provide a detailed strategy for assuring Financial Accountability, the specific timeline for implementing identified strategies, and the parties responsible for its operation.

Appendix I: Financial Accountability

I-2: Rates, Billing and Claims (1 of 3)

a. Rate Determination Methods. In two pages or less, describe the methods that are employed to establish provider payment rates for waiver services and the entity or entities that are responsible for rate determination. Indicate any opportunity for public comment in the process. If different methods are employed for various types of services, the description may group services for which the same method is employed. State laws, regulations, and policies referenced in the description are available upon request to CMS through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

Under the KanCare comprehensive managed care program, capitation rates are established consistent with federal regulation requirements, by actuarially sound methods, which take into account utilization, medical expenditures, program changes and other relevant environmental and financial factors. The resulting rates are certified to and approved by CMS.

b. Flow of Billings. Describe the flow of billings for waiver services, specifying whether provider billings flow directly from providers to the State's claims payment system or whether billings are routed through other intermediary entities. If billings flow through other intermediary entities, specify the entities:

Claims for services are submitted to the MCOs directly from waiver provider agencies or from Financial Management Service (FMS) agencies for those individuals self-directing their services. All claims are either submitted through the EVV system, the State's front end billing solution or directly to the MCO either submitted through paper claim format or through electronic format. Claims for services required in the EVV system are

generated from that system. Capitated payments in arrears are made only when the consumer was eligible for the Medicaid waiver program during the month.

Appendix	I:	Financial	Accountability	V

I-2: Rates, Billing and Claims (2 of 3)

- c. Certifying Public Expenditures (select one):
 - No. State or local government agencies do not certify expenditures for waiver services.
 - Yes. State or local government agencies directly expend funds for part or all of the cost of waiver services and certify their State government expenditures (CPE) in lieu of billing that amount to Medicaid.

Select at least one:

Certified Public Expenditures (CPE) of State Public Agencies.

Specify: (a) the State government agency or agencies that certify public expenditures for waiver services; (b) how it is assured that the CPE is based on the total computable costs for waiver services; and, (c) how the State verifies that the certified public expenditures are eligible for Federal financial participation in accordance with 42 CFR §433.51(b).(Indicate source of revenue for CPEs in Item I-4-a.)

Certified Public Expenditures (CPE) of Local Government Agencies.

Specify: (a) the local government agencies that incur certified public expenditures for waiver services; (b) how it is assured that the CPE is based on total computable costs for waiver services; and, (c) how the State verifies that the certified public expenditures are eligible for Federal financial participation in accordance with 42 CFR §433.51(b). (Indicate source of revenue for CPEs in Item I-4-b.)

Appendix I: Financial Accountability

I-2: Rates, Billing and Claims (3 of 3)

d. Billing Validation Process. Describe the process for validating provider billings to produce the claim for federal financial participation, including the mechanism(s) to assure that all claims for payment are made only: (a) when the individual was eligible for Medicaid waiver payment on the date of service; (b) when the service was included in the participant's approved service plan; and, (c) the services were provided:

A capitated payment is made to the MCOs for each month of Waiver eligibility. This is identified through KAECES, the State's eligibility system. The state also is requiring the MCOs to utilize the State's contracted Electronic Visit Verification for mandatory Waiver services. Those Waiver services are billed through EVV based on electronically verified provided services, connected to the consumer's plan of care detailing authorized services. All mandated services must be billed through the EVV system. Reviews to validate that services were in fact provided as billed is part of the financial integrity reviews described above in Section I-1.

e. Billing and Claims Record Maintenance Requirement. Records documenting the audit trail of adjudicated claims (including supporting documentation) are maintained by the Medicaid agency, the operating agency (if applicable), and providers of waiver services for a minimum period of 3 years as required in 45 CFR §92.42.

Appendix I: Financial Accountability

I-3: Payment (1 of 7)

a. Method of payments -- MMIS (select one):

Application for 1915(c) HCBS Waiver: KS.0476.R01.01 - Jan 01, 2013 (as of Jan 01... Page 123 of 136

All of the waiver services in this program are included in the state's contract with the KanCare MCOs.

Appendix	I :	Financial	Acco	untability
-----------------	------------	------------------	------	------------

I-3: Payment (3 of 7)

- **c. Supplemental or Enhanced Payments.** Section 1902(a)(30) requires that payments for services be consistent with efficiency, economy, and quality of care. Section 1903(a)(1) provides for Federal financial participation to States for expenditures for services under an approved State plan/waiver. Specify whether supplemental or enhanced payments are made. *Select one:*
 - No. The State does not make supplemental or enhanced payments for waiver services.
 - Yes. The State makes supplemental or enhanced payments for waiver services.

Describe: (a) the nature of the supplemental or enhanced payments that are made and the waiver services for which these payments are made; (b) the types of providers to which such payments are made; (c) the source of the non-Federal share of the supplemental or enhanced payment; and, (d) whether providers eligible to receive the supplemental or enhanced payment retain 100% of the total computable expenditure claimed by the State to CMS. Upon request, the State will furnish CMS with detailed information about the total amount of supplemental or enhanced payments to each provider type in the waiver.

Appendix I: Financial Accountability

I-3: Payment (4 of 7)

- **d.** Payments to State or Local Government Providers. Specify whether State or local government providers receive payment for the provision of waiver services.
 - No. State or local government providers do not receive payment for waiver services. Do not complete Item I -3-e.
 - Yes. State or local government providers receive payment for waiver services. Complete Item I-3-e.

Specify the types of State or local government providers that receive payment for waiver services and the services that the State or local government providers furnish: *Complete item I-3-e.*

Appendix I: Financial Accountability

I-3: Payment (5 of 7)

e. Amount of Payment to State or Local Government Providers.

Specify whether any State or local government provider receives payments (including regular and any supplemental payments) that in the aggregate exceed its reasonable costs of providing waiver services and, if so, whether and how the State recoups the excess and returns the Federal share of the excess to CMS on the quarterly expenditure report. *Select one:*

|--|

- The amount paid to State or local government providers is the same as the amount paid to private providers of the same service.
- The amount paid to State or local government providers differs from the amount paid to private providers of the same service. No public provider receives payments that in the aggregate exceed its reasonable costs of providing waiver services.

	The amount paid to State or local government providers differs from the amount paid to private
	providers of the same service. When a State or local government provider receives payments (including regular and any supplemental payments) that in the aggregate exceed the cost of waiver services, the State recoups the excess and returns the federal share of the excess to CMS on the quarterly expenditure report.
	Describe the recoupment process:
Appendi	x I: Financial Accountability
	I-3: Payment (6 of 7)
	vider Retention of Payments. Section 1903(a)(1) provides that Federal matching funds are only available for enditures made by states for services under the approved waiver. <i>Select one:</i>
	Providers receive and retain 100 percent of the amount claimed to CMS for waiver services. Providers are paid by a managed care entity (or entities) that is paid a monthly capitated payment.
	Specify whether the monthly capitated payment to managed care entities is reduced or returned in part to the State.
	No. The monthly capitated payments to the MCOs are not reduced or returned in part to the state.
Appendi	x I: Financial Accountability
	I-3: Payment (7 of 7)
g. Add	itional Payment Arrangements
	i. Voluntary Reassignment of Payments to a Governmental Agency. Select one:
	No. The State does not provide that providers may voluntarily reassign their right to direct payments to a governmental agency.
	Yes. Providers may voluntarily reassign their right to direct payments to a governmental agency as provided in 42 CFR §447.10(e).
	Specify the governmental agency (or agencies) to which reassignment may be made.
i	ii. Organized Health Care Delivery System. Select one:
	No. The State does not employ Organized Health Care Delivery System (OHCDS) arrangements under the provisions of 42 CFR §447.10.
	Yes. The waiver provides for the use of Organized Health Care Delivery System arrangements under the provisions of 42 CFR §447.10.
	Specify the following: (a) the entities that are designated as an OHCDS and how these entities qualify for designation as an OHCDS; (b) the procedures for direct provider enrollment when a provider does not voluntarily agree to contract with a designated OHCDS; (c) the method(s) for assuring that participants have free choice of qualified providers when an OHCDS arrangement is employed, including the selection of providers not affiliated with the OHCDS; (d) the method(s) for assuring that providers that furnish services under contract with an OHCDS meet applicable provider qualifications under the waiver;

Application for 1915(c) HCBS Waiver: KS.0476.R01.01 - Jan 01, 2013 (as of Jan 01... Page 125 of 136

(e) how it is assured that OHCDS contracts with providers meet applicable requirements; an financial accountability is assured when an OHCDS arrangement is used:	d, (f) how
iii. Contracts with MCOs, PIHPs or PAHPs. Select one:	
The State does not contract with MCOs, PIHPs or PAHPs for the provision of waiver s The State contracts with a Managed Care Organization(s) (MCOs) and/or prepaid inpa plan(s) (PIHP) or prepaid ambulatory health plan(s) (PAHP) under the provisions of § the Act for the delivery of waiver and other services. Participants may voluntarily elect waiver and other services through such MCOs or prepaid health plans. Contracts with plans are on file at the State Medicaid agency.	atient health 1915(a)(1) of t to receive
Describe: (a) the MCOs and/or health plans that furnish services under the provisions of §19 the geographic areas served by these plans; (c) the waiver and other services furnished by th and, (d) how payments are made to the health plans.	
This waiver is a part of a concurrent §1915(b)/§1915(c) waiver. Participants are require waiver and other services through a MCO and/or prepaid inpatient health plan (PIHP) prepaid ambulatory health plan (PAHP). The §1915(b) waiver specifies the types of heat are used and how payments to these plans are made.) or a
Appendix I: Financial Accountability	
I-4: Non-Federal Matching Funds (1 of 3)	
a. State Level Source(s) of the Non-Federal Share of Computable Waiver Costs. Specify the State sour of the non-federal share of computable waiver costs. Select at least one:	ce or sources
Appropriation of State Tax Revenues to the State Medicaid agency	
Appropriation of State Tax Revenues to a State Agency other than the Medicaid Agency.	
If the source of the non-federal share is appropriations to another state agency (or agencies), specify entity or agency receiving appropriated funds and (b) the mechanism that is used to transfer the fund Medicaid Agency or Fiscal Agent, such as an Intergovernmental Transfer (IGT), including any material arrangement, and/or, indicate if the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated to the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, and the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, and the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, and the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, and the funds are directly expend	ds to the ching
The non-federal share of the waiver expenditures is from direct state appropriations to the Departmet Aging and Disability Services (KDADS), through agreement with the Single State Medicaid Agency Department of Health and Environment(KDHE), as of July 1, 2012. The non-federal share of the way expenditures are directly expended by KDADS. Medicaid payments are processed by the State's fix through the Medicaid Management Information System using the InterChange STARS Interface Sy (iCSIS). iCSIS contains data tables with the current federal and state funding percentages for all fur types. State agencies are able to access iCSIS's reporting module to identify payments made by ear agency. KDHE – Division of Health Care Finance draws down federal Medicaid funds for all agency on the summary reports from iCSIS. Interfund transfers to the other state agencies are based on final summary reports. The full rate will be expended on capitation payments in the KanCare program. Other State Level Source(s) of Funds.	y, Kansas niver scal agent stem nding ch scies based
Specify: (a) the source and nature of funds; (b) the entity or agency that receives the funds; and, (c) mechanism that is used to transfer the funds to the Medicaid Agency or Fiscal Agent, such as an Intergovernmental Transfer (IGT), including any matching arrangement, and/or, indicate if funds are expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated in Item I-2- c:	

Appendix I: Financial Accountability
I-4: Non-Federal Matching Funds (2 of 3)
b. Local Government or Other Source(s) of the Non-Federal Share of Computable Waiver Costs. Specify the source or sources of the non-federal share of computable waiver costs that are not from state sources. <i>Select One</i> :
 Not Applicable. There are no local government level sources of funds utilized as the non-federal share. Applicable Check each that applies:
Appropriation of Local Government Revenues.
Specify: (a) the local government entity or entities that have the authority to levy taxes or other revenues; (b) the source(s) of revenue; and, (c) the mechanism that is used to transfer the funds to the Medicaid Agency or Fiscal Agent, such as an Intergovernmental Transfer (IGT), including any matching arrangement (indicate any intervening entities in the transfer process), and/or, indicate if funds are directly expended by local government agencies as CPEs, as specified in Item I-2-c:
Other Local Government Level Source(s) of Funds.
Specify: (a) the source of funds; (b) the local government entity or agency receiving funds; and, (c) the mechanism that is used to transfer the funds to the State Medicaid Agency or Fiscal Agent, such as an Intergovernmental Transfer (IGT), including any matching arrangement, and /or, indicate if funds are directly expended by local government agencies as CPEs, as specified in Item I-2- c:
Appendix I: Financial Accountability
I-4: Non-Federal Matching Funds (3 of 3)
c. Information Concerning Certain Sources of Funds. Indicate whether any of the funds listed in Items I-4-a or I-4-b that make up the non-federal share of computable waiver costs come from the following sources: (a) health carerelated taxes or fees; (b) provider-related donations; and/or, (c) federal funds. <i>Select one</i> :
None of the specified sources of funds contribute to the non-federal share of computable waiver costs
The following source(s) are used
Check each that applies: Health care-related taxes or fees
Provider-related donations
Federal funds
For each source of funds indicated above, describe the source of the funds in detail:

Appendix I: Financial Accountability

I-5: Exclusion of Medicaid Payment for Room and Board

a. Services Furnished in Residential Settings. Select one:

No services under this waiver are furnished in residential settings other than the private residence of the individual.
• As specified in Appendix C, the State furnishes waiver services in residential settings other than the
personal home of the individual.b. Method for Excluding the Cost of Room and Board Furnished in Residential Settings. The following describes
the methodology that the State uses to exclude Medicaid payment for room and board in residential settings: Do not complete this item.
Appendix I: Financial Accountability
I-6: Payment for Rent and Food Expenses of an Unrelated Live-In Caregiver
Reimbursement for the Rent and Food Expenses of an Unrelated Live-In Personal Caregiver. Select one:
No. The State does not reimburse for the rent and food expenses of an unrelated live-in personal caregiver who resides in the same household as the participant.
Yes. Per 42 CFR §441.310(a)(2)(ii), the State will claim FFP for the additional costs of rent and food that can be reasonably attributed to an unrelated live-in personal caregiver who resides in the same howehold as the weiver participant. The State describes its governors of live in corresion in Appendix (
household as the waiver participant. The State describes its coverage of live-in caregiver in Appendix C -3 and the costs attributable to rent and food for the live-in caregiver are reflected separately in the
computation of factor D (cost of waiver services) in Appendix J. FFP for rent and food for a live-in caregiver will not be claimed when the participant lives in the caregiver's home or in a residence that is
owned or leased by the provider of Medicaid services.
The following is an explanation of: (a) the method used to apportion the additional costs of rent and food attributable to the unrelated live-in personal caregiver that are incurred by the individual served on the waiver and (b) the method used to reimburse these costs:
Appendix I: Financial Accountability
I-7: Participant Co-Payments for Waiver Services and Other Cost Sharing (1 of 5)
a. Co-Payment Requirements. Specify whether the State imposes a co-payment or similar charge upon waiver
participants for waiver services. These charges are calculated per service and have the effect of reducing the total computable claim for federal financial participation. <i>Select one:</i>
No. The State does not impose a co-payment or similar charge upon participants for waiver services.
Yes. The State imposes a co-payment or similar charge upon participants for one or more waiver services
i. Co-Pay Arrangement.
Specify the types of co-pay arrangements that are imposed on waiver participants (<i>check each that applies</i>):
Charges Associated with the Provision of Waiver Services (if any are checked, complete Items I-7-a-ii through I-7-a-iv):
Nominal deductible
Coinsurance
Co-Payment Other charge
Other Charge

Application for 1915(c) HCBS Waiver: KS.0476.R01.01 - Jan 01, 2013 (as of Jan 01... Page 128 of 136

Specify:	

Appendix I: Financial Accountability

I-7: Participant Co-Payments for Waiver Services and Other Cost Sharing (2 of 5)

- a. Co-Payment Requirements.
 - ii. Participants Subject to Co-pay Charges for Waiver Services.

Answers provided in Appendix I-7-a indicate that you do not need to complete this section.

Appendix I: Financial Accountability

I-7: Participant Co-Payments for Waiver Services and Other Cost Sharing (3 of 5)

- a. Co-Payment Requirements.
 - iii. Amount of Co-Pay Charges for Waiver Services.

Answers provided in Appendix I-7-a indicate that you do not need to complete this section.

Appendix I: Financial Accountability

I-7: Participant Co-Payments for Waiver Services and Other Cost Sharing (4 of 5)

- a. Co-Payment Requirements.
 - iv. Cumulative Maximum Charges.

Answers provided in Appendix I-7-a indicate that you do not need to complete this section.

Appendix I: Financial Accountability

I-7: Participant Co-Payments for Waiver Services and Other Cost Sharing (5 of 5)

- **b.** Other State Requirement for Cost Sharing. Specify whether the State imposes a premium, enrollment fee or similar cost sharing on waiver participants. *Select one*:
 - No. The State does not impose a premium, enrollment fee, or similar cost-sharing arrangement on waiver participants.
 - Yes. The State imposes a premium, enrollment fee or similar cost-sharing arrangement.

Describe in detail the cost sharing arrangement, including: (a) the type of cost sharing (e.g., premium, enrollment fee); (b) the amount of charge and how the amount of the charge is related to total gross family income; (c) the groups of participants subject to cost-sharing and the groups who are excluded; and, (d) the mechanisms for the collection of cost-sharing and reporting the amount collected on the CMS 64:

J-1: Composite Overview and Demonstration of Cost-Neutrality Formula

Composite Overview. Complete the fields in Cols. 3, 5 and 6 in the following table for each waiver year. The fields in Cols. 4, 7 and 8 are auto-calculated based on entries in Cols 3, 5, and 6. The fields in Col. 2 are auto-calculated using the Factor D data from the J-2d Estimate of Factor D tables. Col. 2 fields will be populated ONLY when the Estimate of Factor D tables in J-2d have been completed.

Level(s) of Care: Hospital

Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6	Col. 7	Col. 8
Year	Factor D	Factor D'	Total: D+D'	Factor G	Factor G'	Total: G+G'	Difference (Col 7 less Column4)
1	16848.76	2724.00	19572.76	33730.00	2856.00	36586.00	17013.24
2	16887.27	2805.00	19692.27	36428.00	3084.00	39512.00	19819.73
3	14861.61	885.00	15746.61	38246.00	5854.00	44100.00	28353.39
4	12418.64	743.00	13161.64	38572.00	5904.00	44476.00	31314.36
5	10708.34	652.00	11360.34	38899.00	5954.00	44853.00	33492.66

Appendix J: Cost Neutrality Demonstration

J-2: Derivation of Estimates (1 of 9)

a. Number Of Unduplicated Participants Served. Enter the total number of unduplicated participants from Item B-3-a who will be served each year that the waiver is in operation. When the waiver serves individuals under more than one level of care, specify the number of unduplicated participants for each level of care:

Table: J-2-a: Unduplicated Participants

	Total Number	Distribution of	f Unduplicated Participants by Level of Care (if applicable)
Waiver Year	Unduplicated Number of Participants	Level of Care:	
	(from Item B -3-a)	Hospital	
Year 1	80	80	
Year 2	80	80	
Year 3	100	100	
Year 4	125	125	
Year 5	150	150	

Appendix J: Cost Neutrality Demonstration

J-2: Derivation of Estimates (2 of 9)

b. Average Length of Stay. Describe the basis of the estimate of the average length of stay on the waiver by participants in item J-2-a.

Average Length of Stay was calculated by using the total days of waiver coverage for SFY2010 (7/1/2009 - 6/30/2010): 17,406, divided by the unduplicated number served: 52, or 335 ALOS.

Appendix J: Cost Neutrality Demonstration

J-2: Derivation of Estimates (3 of 9)

c. Derivation of Estimates for Each Factor. Provide a narrative description for the derivation of the estimates of the following factors.

i. Factor D Derivation. The estimates of Factor D for each waiver year are located in Item J-2-d. The basis for these estimates is as follows:

Factor D was estimated by utilizing data from the Kansas MMIS system and reflects the average HCBS waiver service cost and utilization for AU waiver participants for the state fiscal years July 2007 through June 2010. This average expenditure was projected to Year 3 of the waiver, which is then projected to Year 4 and 5 of the waiver at an average annual trend of 0.60%.

The cost per member shown in Factor D is based on the unduplicated counts seen in table J-2-a. These unduplicated counts remain consistent with the previous submission.

ii. Factor D' Derivation. The estimates of Factor D' for each waiver year are included in Item J-1. The basis of these estimates is as follows:

Factor D was estimated by utilizing data from the Kansas MMIS system and reflects the average acute care cost and utilization for AU waiver participants for the state fiscal years July 2007 through June 2010. This average expenditure was projected to Year 3 of the waiver, which is then projected to Year 4 and 5 of the waiver at an average annual trend of 0.60%.

Factor D' does not include the cost of Medicare Part D Prescribed Drugs. This is not a Medicaid cost and is not paid through the MMIS system.

The cost per member shown in Factor D' is based on the unduplicated counts seen in table J-2-a. These unduplicated counts remain consistent with the previous submission.

iii. Factor G Derivation. The estimates of Factor G for each waiver year are included in Item J-1. The basis of these estimates is as follows:

Factor G was estimated by utilizing data from the Kansas MMIS system and reflects the average mental health nursing facility cost and utilization for state mental health facility members for the state fiscal years July 2007 through June 2010. This average expenditure was projected to Year 3 of the waiver, which is then projected to Year 4 and Year 5 of the waiver at an average annual trend of 0.85%.

iv. Factor G' Derivation. The estimates of Factor G' for each waiver year are included in Item J-1. The basis of these estimates is as follows:

Factor G' was estimated by utilizing data from the Kansas MMIS system and reflects the average acute care cost and utilization for state mental health facility members for the state fiscal years July 2007 through June 2010. This average expenditure was projected to Year 3 of the waiver, which is then projected to Year 4 and Year 5 of the waiver at an average annual trend of 0.85%.

Appendix J: Cost Neutrality Demonstration

J-2: Derivation of Estimates (4 of 9)

Component management for waiver services. If the service(s) below includes two or more discrete services that are reimbursed separately, or is a bundled service, each component of the service must be listed. Select "*manage components*" to add these components.

Waiver Services	
Intensive Individual Supports	
Respite Care	
Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist)	
Family Adjustment Counseling	
Interpersonal Communication Therapy	
Parent Support and Training (peer to peer) Provider	

Appendix J: Cost Neutrality Demonstration

J-2: Derivation of Estimates (5 of 9)

d. Estimate of Factor D.

i. Non-Concurrent Waiver. Complete the following table for each waiver year. Enter data into the Unit, # Users, Avg. Units Per User, and Avg. Cost/Unit fields for all the Waiver Service/Component items. Select Save and Calculate to automatically calculate and populate the Component Costs and Total Costs fields. All fields in this table must be completed in order to populate the Factor D fields in the J-1 Composite Overview table.

Waiver Year: Year 1

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost
Intensive Individual Supports Total:							527850.00
Intensive Individual Support		15 minutes	68	1242.00	6.25	527850.00	
Respite Care Total:							25707.00
Respite Care		15 minutes	41	209.00	3.00	25707.00	
Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist) Total:							197540.00
Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist)		15 minutes	68	166.00	17.50	197540.00	
Family Adjustment Counseling Total:							6680.00
Individual		15 minutes	8	1.00	10.00	80.00	
Group		15 minutes	8	165.00	5.00	6600.00	
Interpersonal Communication Therapy Total:							587773.44
Interpersonal Communication Therapy		15 minutes	64	526.00	17.46	587773.44	
Parent Support and Training (peer to peer) Provider Total:							2350.50
Individual		15 minutes	13	18.00	6.25	1462.50	
Group		15 minutes	8	37.00	3.00	888.00	
		Total Estimate	GRAND TOTA d Unduplicated Participan				1347900.94 80
			by number of participant				16848.76
		Average L	ength of Stay on the Waiv	er:			260

Appendix J: Cost Neutrality Demonstration

J-2: Derivation of Estimates (6 of 9)

d. Estimate of Factor D.

i. Non-Concurrent Waiver. Complete the following table for each waiver year. Enter data into the Unit, # Users, Avg. Units Per User, and Avg. Cost/Unit fields for all the Waiver Service/Component items. Select Save and Calculate to automatically calculate and populate the Component Costs and Total Costs fields. All fields in this table must be completed in order to populate the Factor D fields in the J-1 Composite Overview table.

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost
Intensive Individual Supports Total:							528275.00
Intensive Individual Support		15 minutes	68	1243.00	6.25	528275.00	
Respite Care Total:							25830.00
Respite Care		15 minutes	41	210.00	3.00	25830.00	
Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist) Total:							198730.00
Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist)		15 minutes	68	167.00	17.50	198730.00	
Family Adjustment Counseling Total:							6800.00
Individual		15 minutes	8	2.00	10.00	160.00	
Group		15 minutes	8	166.00	5.00	6640.00	
Interpersonal Communication Therapy Total:							588890.88
Interpersonal Communication Therapy		15 minutes	64	527.00	17.46	588890.88	
Parent Support and Training (peer to peer) Provider Total:							2455.75
Individual		15 minutes	13	19.00	6.25	1543.75	
Group		15 minutes	8	38.00	3.00	912.00	
GRAND TOTAL: 13 Total Estimated Unduplicated Participants: Factor D (Divide total by number of participants): Average Length of Stay on the Waiver:							1350981.63 80 16887.27 260

J-2: Derivation of Estimates (7 of 9)

d. Estimate of Factor D.

i. Non-Concurrent Waiver. Complete the following table for each waiver year. Enter data into the Unit, # Users, Avg. Units Per User, and Avg. Cost/Unit fields for all the Waiver Service/Component items. Select Save and Calculate to automatically calculate and populate the Component Costs and Total Costs fields. All fields in this table must be completed in order to populate the Factor D fields in the J-1 Composite Overview table.

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost
Intensive Individual Supports Total:							673102.94
Intensive Individual Support	V	15 minutes	67	1607.41	6.25	673102.94	
Respite Care Total:							27512.10
Respite Care	V	15 minutes	35	262.02	3.00	27512.10	
Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist) Total:							233055.73
Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist)	>	15 minutes	71	187.57	17.50	233055.72	
Family Adjustment Counseling Total:							2897.70
Individual	>	15 minutes	3	12.59	10.00	377.70	
Group	V	15 minutes	3	168.00	5.00	2520.00	
Interpersonal Communication Therapy Total:							544944.06
Interpersonal Communication Therapy	>	15 minutes	59	529.00	17.46	544944.06	
Parent Support and Training (peer to peer) Provider Total:							4648.50
Individual	>	15 minutes	24	22.99	6.25	3448.50	
Group	>	15 minutes	10	40.00	3.00	1200.00	
			GRAND TOTA d Unduplicated Participan l by number of participant	its:			1486161.02 100 14861.61
		Average L	ength of Stay on the Waiv	er:			335

J-2: Derivation of Estimates (8 of 9)

d. Estimate of Factor D.

i. Non-Concurrent Waiver. Complete the following table for each waiver year. Enter data into the Unit, # Users, Avg. Units Per User, and Avg. Cost/Unit fields for all the Waiver Service/Component items. Select Save and Calculate to automatically calculate and populate the Component Costs and Total Costs fields. All fields in this table must be completed in order to populate the Factor D fields in the J-1 Composite Overview table.

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost
Intensive Individual Supports Total:							705473.12
Intensive Individual Support	<	15 minutes	70	1612.51	6.25	705473.12	
Respite Care Total:							28835.58
Respite Care	V	15 minutes	37	259.78	3.00	28835.58	
Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist) Total:							244275.85
Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist)	>	15 minutes	74	188.63	17.50	244275.85	
Family Adjustment Counseling Total:							2946.00
Individual	>	15 minutes	3	13.20	10.00	396.00	
Group	>	15 minutes	3	170.00	5.00	2550.00	
Interpersonal Communication Therapy Total:							565546.86
Interpersonal Communication Therapy	>	15 minutes	61	531.00	17.46	565546.86	
Parent Support and Training (peer to peer) Provider Total:							5252.06
Individual	V	15 minutes	25	23.13	6.25	3614.06	
Group	>	15 minutes	13	42.00	3.00	1638.00	
GRAND TOTAL: Total Estimated Unduplicated Participants: Factor D (Divide total by number of participants): Average Length of Stay on the Waiver:							1552329.48 125 12418.64 335

J-2: Derivation of Estimates (9 of 9)

d. Estimate of Factor D.

i. Non-Concurrent Waiver. Complete the following table for each waiver year. Enter data into the Unit, # Users, Avg. Units Per User, and Avg. Cost/Unit fields for all the Waiver Service/Component items. Select Save and Calculate to automatically calculate and populate the Component Costs and Total Costs fields. All fields in this table must be completed in order to populate the Factor D fields in the J-1 Composite Overview table.

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost
Intensive Individual Supports Total:							742916.44
Intensive Individual Support	<	15 minutes	73	1628.31	6.25	742916.44	
Respite Care Total:							30365.01
Respite Care	V	15 minutes	39	259.53	3.00	30365.01	
Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist) Total:							257237.75
Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services (Autism Specialist)	>	15 minutes	77	190.90	17.50	257237.75	
Family Adjustment Counseling Total:							2137.00
Individual	V	15 minutes	3	13.90	10.00	417.00	
Group	>	15 minutes	2	172.00	5.00	1720.00	
Interpersonal Communication Therapy Total:							567676.98
Interpersonal Communication Therapy	<	15 minutes	61	533.00	17.46	567676.98	
Parent Support and Training (peer to peer) Provider Total:							5917.75
Individual	>	15 minutes	26	23.42	6.25	3805.75	
Group	>	15 minutes	16	44.00	3.00	2112.00	
			GRAND TOTA d Unduplicated Participar l by number of participan	nts:			1606250.93 150 10708.34
		Average L	ength of Stay on the Waiv	er:			335